




Volume 44, Number 6  
December 2017

ISSN: 0147-6882

 PLEIADES PUBLISHINGDistributed by  Springer

6 issues/year

**Electronic access**

- ▶ [link.springer.com](http://link.springer.com)

**Subscription information**

- ▶ [springer.com/librarians](http://springer.com/librarians)

**Scientific and Technical Information Processing**

Editor-in-Chief: R.S. Gilyarevskii

- ▶ Presents research articles covering organizational and procedural aspects of scientific information analysis and processing
- ▶ Covers organizational systems for institutes, industrial information systems, information flow, library user needs and comparison of Russian and foreign methods
- ▶ A publication of VINITI, the All-Russian Institute for Scientific and Technical Information

*Scientific and Technical Information Processing* is a refereed journal that covers all aspects of management and use of information technology in libraries and archives, information centres, and the information industry in general. Emphasis is on practical applications of new technologies and techniques for information analysis and processing.

PEER REVIEW *Scientific and Technical Information Processing* is a peer reviewed journal. We use a single blind peer review format. Our team of reviewers includes 14 reviewers, both internal and external (20%), from 6 countries. The average period from submission to first decision in 2017 was 30 days, and that from first decision to acceptance was 120 days. The rejection rate for submitted manuscripts in 2017 was 35%. The final decision on the acceptance of an article for publication is made by the Editor-in-Chief.

Any invited reviewer who feels unqualified or unable to review the manuscript due to the conflict of interests should promptly notify the editors and decline the invitation. Reviewers should formulate their statements clearly in a sound and reasoned way so that authors can use reviewer's arguments to improve the manuscript. Personal criticism of the authors must be avoided. Reviewers should indicate in a review (i) any relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors, (ii) anything that has been reported in previous publications and not given appropriate reference or citation, (ii) any substantial similarity or overlap with any other manuscript (published or unpublished) of which they have personal knowledge.

On the homepage of [Scientific and Technical Information Processing](http://www.springer.com) at [springer.com](http://www.springer.com) you can

- ▶ Sign up for our Table of Contents Alerts
- ▶ Get to know the complete Editorial Board
- ▶ Find submission information

