

# Contents

## Part I Academic Governance in Context

<b>1</b>	<b>The Changing Nature of the University Sector</b> . . . . .	3
	The Changing Nature of the University . . . . .	3
	The UK, US and Australian University Sectors . . . . .	5
	The United Kingdom University Sector: Some Historical Dispositions . . . . .	5
	The United States University Sector: Some Historical Dispositions . . . . .	10
	The Australian University Sector: Some Historical Dispositions . . .	13
	Concluding Comments . . . . .	17
	References . . . . .	19
<b>2</b>	<b>University Governance Within an International Context</b> . . . . .	25
	Academic and Corporate Governance Within Universities . . . . .	25
	Historical Changes in University Governance Within the UK, the US and Australia . . . . .	27
	Governance Developments Within the UK Higher Education Sector . . . . .	28
	Governance Developments Within the US Higher Education Sector . . . . .	33
	Governance Developments Within the Australian Higher Education Sector . . . . .	37
	Concluding Comments . . . . .	40
	References . . . . .	41
<b>3</b>	<b>What Is Academic Governance?</b> . . . . .	47
	Introducing Academic Governance . . . . .	47
	Levels of Academic Governance . . . . .	49
	Traditional Collegial Academic Governance . . . . .	50
	Changes in Institutional-Level Academic Governance . . . . .	52
	Academic Freedom . . . . .	58

New Academic Governance Regimes Such as Academic Workload Models. . . . . 61

Student Participation in Academic Governance. . . . . 61

Concluding Comments . . . . . 63

References. . . . . 65

**4 Comparing Academic Governance Across Anglophone Nations. . . . . 71**

Comparisons of Certain Aspects of Academic Governance Structures Within the UK, US and Australia, Drawing on Publicly Available Data . . . . . 71

Academic Board Equivalents Within England . . . . . 73

Academic Board Equivalents Within the US . . . . . 79

Australian Academic Boards or Equivalent Bodies . . . . . 83

Comparisons Between Characteristics of US, English and Australian University Academic Boards . . . . . 87

Concluding Comments . . . . . 89

References. . . . . 90

**5 The Theoretical and Policy Context . . . . . 91**

Higher Education and the State . . . . . 91

Globalisation and Governance . . . . . 96

Practices of Globalisation . . . . . 98

    The Knowledge Economy . . . . . 99

    Managerialism . . . . . 100

    Neoliberalism . . . . . 101

The Impact of Globalisation on Higher Education Governance. . . . . 102

Concluding Comments . . . . . 105

References. . . . . 106

**6 University Governance Models . . . . . 111**

Forms of University Governance as Represented in the Literature . . . . . 112

Collegial Governance . . . . . 113

Bureaucratic Governance. . . . . 115

Managerial Governance. . . . . 115

Entrepreneurial Governance. . . . . 116

Network Governance . . . . . 119

How Useful Are These Common Governance Models and What Might Be Missing? . . . . . 122

Concluding Comments . . . . . 124

References. . . . . 125

## Part II Case Studies of Academic Governance in Practice

<b>7</b>	<b>Changes in the Case Study Academic Boards Over Time</b> . . . . .	131
	The Australian Case Study Research. . . . .	131
	How Have the Case Study Academic Boards Changed Over Time? . . . . .	133
	Changes in the Level of Discussion and Proactivity of Each Board . . . . .	140
	Concluding Comments . . . . .	143
	References. . . . .	144
<b>8</b>	<b>Academic Board Strengths and Weaknesses</b> . . . . .	147
	Strengths and Weaknesses Amongst the Case Study Academic Boards . . . . .	147
	Common Weaknesses Amongst the Case Study Academic Boards . . . . .	150
	Fulfilment of Academic Board Terms of Reference or Officially Designated Responsibilities . . . . .	150
	Other Reported Weaknesses . . . . .	152
	Common Strengths: Information Transmission to Elected Members and Networking Sites . . . . .	154
	Academic Board Committees—A Strength or a Weakness? . . . . .	157
	Concluding Comments . . . . .	158
	References. . . . .	159
<b>9</b>	<b>Academic Governance Within University Power and Authority Relationships</b> . . . . .	161
	Formal and Informal Power of the Academic Board . . . . .	161
	The Locus of Power Within Each University and Its Impact on the Academic Board. . . . .	166
	Power of the Chair of the Academic Board . . . . .	170
	Governance Modes at the Case Study Academic Boards . . . . .	175
	The Nature of Domination. . . . .	176
	Concluding Comments . . . . .	178
	References. . . . .	179
<b>10</b>	<b>The Academic Board and Academic Quality Assurance</b> . . . . .	181
	Introduction. . . . .	181
	Discourses Around Quality and Academic Quality Assurance . . . . .	181
	Quality Assurance Systems in Higher Education . . . . .	184
	The Public Role of University Academic Boards in Academic Quality Assurance . . . . .	187
	The Contribution of Australian Academic Boards to External Credibility for Academic Quality . . . . .	195
	Concluding Comments . . . . .	197
	References. . . . .	197

### Part III Moving Forward

<b>11 Key Issues and Challenges Facing Academic Governance</b> . . . . .	203
Key Changes Affecting Academic Governance. . . . .	203
The Adoption of Commercial and Business Practices . . . . .	204
The Move from Academic to Corporate and Other Forms of Governance . . . . .	205
The Ascendancy of Leaders and Managers . . . . .	206
Quality Assurance as a Mode of Governance. . . . .	208
Challenges Facing Academic Governance . . . . .	209
Overlap Between the Responsibilities of University Senior Executives and Academic Governance Bodies . . . . .	210
The Lack of Academic and Student Voice Within Academic Governance Processes. . . . .	211
Separation of Academic Strategy from Academic Practice or Work . . . . .	214
Symbolic Rather Than Actual Roles of Academic Governance Bodies in Academic Quality Assurance. . . . .	214
The Push for Smaller Academic Boards . . . . .	215
Concluding Comments . . . . .	216
References. . . . .	217
<b>12 Strengthening Academic Governance into the Future</b> . . . . .	221
Existing Literature on Strengthening Academic Boards . . . . .	221
Reprioritising the Academic Board Role . . . . .	225
Working with University Executives. . . . .	226
Letting Academic Board Committees Do the ‘Leg Work’ . . . . .	231
A Meaningful Contribution to Academic Quality and Quality Assurance . . . . .	232
Playing a Recognised Role in University Planning . . . . .	233
Strengthening What Academic Boards Actually Do . . . . .	235
Formally Recognising Latent Functions. . . . .	236
Concluding Comments . . . . .	237
References. . . . .	238
<b>Index</b> . . . . .	241



<http://www.springer.com/978-981-10-2686-7>

Academic Governance in the Contemporary University

Perspectives from Anglophone nations

Rowlands, J.

2017, XXIV, 247 p. 2 illus., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-981-10-2686-7