

# Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b> . . . . .	1
1.1 Definitions of International Crimes, State Sovereignty and Cosmopolitanism . . . . .	2
1.2 Why Do We Need a Definition for Terrorism?. . . . .	7
1.3 Terrorism and the ICC: Why Terrorism Was Not Included into the Rome Statute . . . . .	9
1.3.1 Efforts to Include Terrorism into the Rome Statute. . . . .	9
1.3.2 Why Terrorism Was Not Included into the Rome Statute . . . . .	12
1.4 State Sovereignty Theories and International Law. . . . .	16
1.4.1 State-Centric Theory and Cosmopolitanism in International Law . . . . .	16
1.4.2 Procedural and Substantive Issues of the Relationship Between State Sovereignty and International Criminal Law . . . . .	19
1.5 The Architecture of the Book: The Interplay Between State Sovereignty Theories and Cosmopolitanism on the Process of Criminalisation and Definition of Aggression and Terrorism . . . . .	22
References . . . . .	24
<b>2 State Sovereignty, Cosmopolitanism and the International Criminal Court</b> . . . . .	27
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	28
2.2 The Two Theories . . . . .	29
2.2.1 The Traditional State-Centric Theory About the Relationship Between Sovereignty and International Law . . . . .	29
2.2.2 Cosmopolitan Theory and International Law . . . . .	31
2.3 Sovereignty and International Law: The UN Charter Provisions . . . . .	34

2.4	Sovereignty and International Law: The Rome Statute and the Principle of Complementarity . . . . .	39
2.4.1	Complementarity in Principle . . . . .	40
2.4.2	Complementarity in Practice . . . . .	47
2.4.3	The Applicability of the Complementarity Regime on Cases of Aggression. . . . .	51
2.5	Conclusion . . . . .	57
	References . . . . .	58
<b>3</b>	<b>The Paradigm of Aggression: State-Centric and Cosmopolitan Approaches in the Effort to Outlaw and Criminalise Aggression. . . . .</b>	<b>63</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	64
3.2	The Covenant of the League of Nations: A Cosmopolitan Idea with a State-Centric Application . . . . .	65
3.3	A Cosmopolitan Approach: ‘Crimes Against Peace’ Under the Nuremberg and Tokyo Charters. . . . .	69
3.4	A State-Centric Approach: ‘Act of Aggression’ Under the UN Charter and UNGA Resolution 3314 . . . . .	72
3.4.1	The Discretionary Powers of the Security Council Under UN Charter Article 39. . . . .	72
3.4.2	The UNGA Resolution 3314: A Poor Legal Precedent . . . . .	75
3.5	Conclusion . . . . .	77
	References . . . . .	78
<b>4</b>	<b>The Paradigm of Aggression: The Kampala Definition and Lessons Learnt for the Purpose of Defining International Terrorism . . . . .</b>	<b>81</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	82
4.2	The ‘Leadership Requirement’ Clause . . . . .	85
4.3	Act of Aggression: The Threshold Clause . . . . .	88
4.4	Sovereignty Versus Cosmopolitan Dynamics in the Context of the ICC’s Jurisdiction Over the Crime of Aggression . . . . .	92
4.4.1	The Issue of Consistency with the UN Charter and the Role of the Security Council . . . . .	92
4.4.2	Article 15 <i>bis</i> : A Fair Compromise?. . . . .	95
4.5	Lessons Learnt from the Paradigm of Aggression . . . . .	97
4.5.1	How the ‘Leadership Requirement’ Clause of the Definition of Aggression Serves Cosmopolitan Purposes in the Context of Criminalising Terrorism . . . . .	98
4.5.2	Why the ‘Manifest Violation’ Threshold in the Definition of Aggression Does Not Effectively Address the Issue of Balancing State-Centric and Cosmopolitan Concerns and Should Be Abandoned in the Context of Criminalising Terrorism. . . . .	100

4.5.3 How Article 15*bis* is a Manifestation of Some Pragmatic Limitations to Cosmopolitan Aspirations in the Context of the ICC’s Exercise of Jurisdiction. . . . . 102

4.6 Conclusion . . . . . 105

References . . . . . 106

**5 The Paradigm of Terrorism: State-Centric and Cosmopolitan Approaches in Some Current Efforts Towards Its Criminalisation . . . . . 109**

5.1 Introduction . . . . . 110

5.2 Why Terrorism Should Be Introduced into the Article 5 Crimes of the Rome Statute . . . . . 112

5.2.1 A Brief Historical Account of the Efforts to Criminalise Terrorism . . . . . 112

5.2.2 International Jurisdiction Over Terrorism as a More Effective Response to Terrorist Acts . . . . . 115

5.2.3 The Question of the Inclusion of Treaty Crimes into the Rome Statute . . . . . 121

5.3 How State Sovereignty Concerns Have Influenced the Process of Criminalising International Terrorism in Prominent Anti-Terrorist Security Council Resolutions . . . . . 125

5.3.1 ‘Threat to the Peace’, ‘Armed Attack’ or Both? The Pro-State Sovereignty Ambiguousness of Resolution 1368 . . . . . 126

5.3.2 Resolution 1373: Security Council Legislation Without UN Definition . . . . . 130

5.4 Pro-Cosmopolitan Efforts to Define and Criminalise International Terrorism . . . . . 135

5.4.1 Judicial Activism Versus State Sovereignty: A Customary Law Definition of International Terrorism? . . . . . 135

5.4.2 The UNGA Draft Comprehensive Convention on International Terrorism: A Road to Balance? . . . . . 138

5.5 Conclusion . . . . . 140

References . . . . . 141

**6 A Definition of Terrorism in the Making: Balancing State Interests with Cosmopolitan Ideals . . . . . 145**

6.1 Analysing Existing Definitions for Terrorism in International and Regional Instruments: Common Ground and Points of Contention . . . . . 146

6.1.1 A Comparative Analysis of the Definition of the Financing of Terrorism Convention and Definitions in Regional and Domestic Law Instruments . . . . . 147

- 6.1.2 The Definition of the UN Draft Comprehensive Convention on Terrorism. . . . . 153
- 6.1.3 The Appeal’s Chamber Decision of the UN Special Tribunal for Lebanon . . . . . 156
- 6.2 Reaching the Required Balance: How the Consented, Contested and the ‘Internationality’ Elements Can Contribute to the Creation of Due Balance Between State-Centric and Cosmopolitan Concerns in Defining Terrorism. . . . . 161
  - 6.2.1 ‘Creation of a State of Terror’, the Intention to Influence Politics and the Political/Ideological Motive Requirement . . . . . 161
  - 6.2.2 Exemption of Activities of Particular Groups or Individuals. . . . . 166
  - 6.2.3 The International Element of a Terrorist Act . . . . . 168
- References . . . . . 174
- 7 Conclusion . . . . . 177**
  - 7.1 Putting the Pieces Together . . . . . 178
  - Reference . . . . . 184
- Index . . . . . 185**



<http://www.springer.com/978-94-6265-203-3>

Defining International Terrorism  
Between State Sovereignty and Cosmopolitanism

Margariti, S.

2017, XI, 186 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-94-6265-203-3

A product of T.M.C. Asser Press