

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Collective Redress and Cross-Border Mass Disputes	1
1.2	Parameters of This Book	4
1.3	Book Structure	8
1.4	Typologies/Classifications of Collective Redress Mechanisms in the EU	9
1.4.1	Public Law Mechanisms and Private Law Mechanisms	9
1.4.2	Aggregate Litigation and Representative Litigation	11
1.5	Goals of Collective Redress Mechanisms	14
1.5.1	Introduction	14
1.5.2	Efficient Legal Protection	15
1.5.3	Effective Legal Protection	17
1.5.4	Reduction of the Administrative Burden on the Judiciary	17
1.6	Goals of the Brussels Regulation	18
1.6.1	Introduction	18
1.6.2	Free Movement of Judgments	19
1.6.3	Rights of the Defence	19
1.6.4	Legal Certainty	20
1.6.5	Resolving a Dispute Before an Appropriate Court	21
	References	22

Part I Collective Redress Mechanisms in the EU

2	German KapMuG Procedure	27
2.1	Introduction	27
2.2	Deutsche Telekom and KapMuG History	29
2.3	How a KapMuG Procedure Is Initiated	31
2.4	What Plaintiffs Can Achieve Through a KapMuG Procedure	33

2.5	Recent Experience with the Act, and Future Developments	33
	References.	34
3	Dutch Collective Action.	35
3.1	Introduction	35
3.2	History of Collective Action.	36
3.3	Parties That Can Bring a Collective Action?	37
3.4	Criteria for Bringing a Collective Action	38
3.5	The Result of Bringing a Collective Action	39
3.6	Recent Experience with Collective Actions	41
3.7	Future Developments	42
	References.	44
4	Dutch WCAM Procedure	47
4.1	Collective Settlement History	47
4.2	The Conditions for Arranging a WCAM Settlement.	48
4.3	What Can Eventually Be Achieved with a WCAM Settlement	50
4.4	WCAM Case Law	50
	4.4.1 Dexia Case	51
	4.4.2 Vedior Case.	52
	4.4.3 Shell Case	52
	4.4.4 Converium Case	54
4.5	Current and Future Developments	56
	4.5.1 Amendments to the WCAM	56
	4.5.2 Preliminary Questions Supreme Court.	57
	References.	59
 Part II Jurisdiction in Cross-Border Mass Disputes		
5	Jurisdiction and the KapMuG	63
5.1	Introduction	66
5.2	Submission.	67
5.3	Jurisdiction in Consumer-Related Matters.	69
	5.3.1 Application of Chapter II, Section 4 Brussels I-Bis.	69
	5.3.2 Jurisdiction in KapMuG Procedure Relating to Financial Products.	72
5.4	Choice of Forum Agreement	73
	5.4.1 Choice of Forum Agreement in Consumer-Related Matters	74
	5.4.2 Choice of Forum Agreement in Non-Consumer-Related Matters.	78
	5.4.3 Conclusion	82
5.5	General Provision	83

5.6	Jurisdiction in Contractual Matters	84
5.6.1	Various Places of Performance	86
5.7	Jurisdiction in Tortious Matters	87
5.7.1	Place Where the Harmful Event Occurred or May Occur	88
5.8	Effect of Grounds of Jurisdiction on the Goals of Collective Redress	92
5.8.1	Effective Legal Protection	92
5.8.2	Efficient Legal Protection	95
5.8.3	Administrative Burden of the Judiciary	97
	References.	99
6	Jurisdiction and the Dutch Collective Action	101
6.1	Introduction	101
6.2	Submission Rule.	103
6.3	Jurisdiction in Consumer-Related Matters.	104
6.4	Choice of Forum Agreement	105
6.4.1	Mass Dispute Relating to a Financial Product.	106
6.4.2	Securities Mass Dispute.	109
6.5	General Provision	110
6.6	Jurisdiction in Contractual Matters	110
6.7	Jurisdiction in Tortious Matters	112
6.8	Effect of Grounds of Jurisdiction on the Goals of Collective Redress	114
6.8.1	Effective Legal Protection	114
6.8.2	Efficient Legal Protection	116
6.8.3	Administrative Burden of the Judiciary	117
6.9	Conclusions	118
	References.	118
7	Jurisdiction and the WCAM	119
7.1	Introduction	119
7.2	Procedural Role of Parties and Applicability of the Brussels Regulation	121
7.3	Submission Rule.	123
7.4	Jurisdiction in Consumer-Related Matters.	125
7.5	Choice of Forum Agreement	126
7.5.1	Choice of Forum Agreement Between the Victims and the Perpetrator	127
7.5.2	Choice of Forum Agreement as Part of the Settlement Agreement.	128
7.6	General Provision and Co-Defendants	129
7.6.1	Co-Defendants Pursuant to Article 8(1) Brussels I-Bis	133

7.7 Jurisdiction in Contractual Matters 134

7.8 Jurisdiction in Tortious Matters 137

7.9 Effect of Ground of Jurisdiction on the Goals of Collective Redress 137

 7.9.1 Effective Legal Protection and Finality 138

 7.9.2 Efficient Legal Protection 139

 7.9.3 Administrative Burden of the Judiciary 141

 7.9.4 Conclusion 141

References. 142

8 Parallel Proceedings 145

 8.1 Introduction 145

 8.2 Lis Pendens 146

 8.2.1 Requirements. 146

 8.2.2 Application of Lis Pendens Rule to Collective Redress Mechanisms 147

 8.3 Related Actions 150

 8.3.1 Requirements. 150

 8.3.2 Application of Related Actions Rule to Collective Redress Mechanisms 151

 8.4 Conclusions 154

 8.5 Parallel Proceedings and Collective Redress Goals. 154

References. 157

Part III Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Collective Redress Judgments

9 Goals of the Brussels Regulation Regarding Jurisdiction 159

 9.1 Interim Conclusions Regarding Jurisdiction 159

 9.2 Goals of the Brussels Regulation 160

 9.2.1 Legal Certainty 160

 9.2.2 Most Appropriate Court. 163

 9.2.3 Preliminary Conclusions 165

Reference 166

10 Recognition and Enforcement of KapMuG Judgments 169

 10.1 Introduction 171

 10.2 ‘Judgment’ or Court Settlement? 172

 10.3 Non-Recognition and Non-Enforcement of a KapMuG Judgment 174

 10.3.1 Public Policy. 175

 10.3.2 Defaulting Defendant. 177

 10.3.3 Irreconcilable Judgment. 178

- 10.3.4 Conflict with a Judgment Given in Another Member State 180
- 10.3.5 Summary 181
- 10.4 Goals of Collective Redress 182
- References. 183
- 11 Recognition and Enforcement in Relation to a Collective Action Procedure. 185**
 - 11.1 Introduction 185
 - 11.2 Cross-Border Effect on Third Parties 186
 - 11.3 Enforcement in a Collective Action Procedure 189
 - 11.4 Non-Recognition and Non-Enforcement in a Collective Action Procedure 189
 - 11.4.1 Defaulting Defendant. 190
 - 11.4.2 Public Policy. 191
 - 11.4.3 Irreconcilable Judgment. 192
 - 11.4.4 Conflict with Judgment Given in Another Member State 193
 - 11.4.5 Summary 194
 - 11.5 Goals of Collective Redress 195
 - References. 197
- 12 Recognition and Enforcement of a WCAM Judgment 199**
 - 12.1 Introduction 199
 - 12.2 ‘Judgment’ or Court Settlement 200
 - 12.3 Enforcement of a WCAM Judgment. 203
 - 12.4 Non-Recognition and Non-Enforcement of a WCAM Judgment 203
 - 12.5 Defaulting Defendant 203
 - 12.5.1 Public Policy. 205
 - 12.5.2 Irreconcilable Judgment. 209
 - 12.5.3 Conflict with Judgment Given in Another Member State 209
 - 12.5.4 Summary 210
 - 12.6 Goals of Collective Redress 211
 - References. 212
- 13 Goals of the Brussels Regulation Regarding Recognition and Enforcement. 213**
 - 13.1 Interim Conclusions Regarding Recognition and Enforcement. 213
 - 13.2 Goals of the Brussels Regulation 214

Part IV Making Cross-Border Collective Redress Possible

14 Summary	221
14.1 Typologies of Collective Redress Mechanisms	221
14.2 Application of the Rules on Jurisdiction	223
14.2.1 KapMuG Procedure	223
14.2.2 Dutch Collective Action	225
14.2.3 WCAM Procedure	226
14.2.4 Lis Pendens Rule	226
14.3 Application of the Rules of Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	227
14.3.1 KapMuG Procedure	227
14.3.2 Collective Action	228
14.3.3 WCAM Procedure	228
14.4 Principles of Collective Redress and the Brussels Regulation	229
14.4.1 Principles of Collective Redress	230
14.4.2 Goals of the Brussels Regulation	233
14.5 Applicability of Brussels Regulation and Recommendations ...	235
Reference	239
15 Relevant Developments and Possible Future Research	241
15.1 Introduction	241
15.2 Consultation ‘Towards a Coherent Approach to Collective Redress’	242
15.3 Recommendation ‘Towards a European Horizontal Framework for Collective Redress’	244
15.4 IBA Guidelines	246
15.5 Insolvency Regulation	246
15.6 Alternative Solutions and Possible Future Research	248
References	251
Bibliography	253
Case Law	261
Curriculum Vitae	265
Index	267



<http://www.springer.com/978-94-6265-185-2>

Collective Redress and Private International Law in the
EU

Bosters, M.W.F.

2017, XV, 268 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-94-6265-185-2

A product of T.M.C. Asser Press