# Contents

1 **Introduction** .................................................. 1
   1.1 Background .................................................. 1
       1.1.1 Motivation for Writing This Book .................. 1
       1.1.2 Doping Cases Won or Lost on Evidence .......... 2
       1.1.3 Doping Cases Inevitably Involve Scientific Issues ... 2
   1.2 Subject Matter ............................................... 3
       1.2.1 Scope of the Topics Addressed .................... 3
       1.2.2 What This Book Is and What It Is Not ............. 6

Part I **Legal and Scientific Constraints on Evidence in Anti-Doping**

2 **Focus of the Analysis** ....................................... 11
   2.1 Focus on International Doping Cases .................... 11
       2.1.1 Reconciling the Universality of Science
            with the Locality of Law ............................ 12
       2.1.2 International Doping Cases Before CAS .......... 21
       2.1.3 Importance of Swiss Law in International
            Doping Cases .......................................... 24
   2.2 Focus on Evidence Under the 2015 WADC ............... 29
       2.2.1 Evidentiary Regime of the WADC ................... 30
       2.2.2 Gathering Scientific Evidence through
            Doping Control ....................................... 37
   2.3 Focus on the Interplay of Science and Law .............. 46
       2.3.1 Reflections on the Logic of Anti-Doping Programs... 46
       2.3.2 Analytical Science as the Core Source of Scientific
            Evidence ............................................... 51
       2.3.3 Legal Approaches to Anti-Doping Science .......... 56
### 3 Legal Constraints on Evidence in Anti-Doping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Principles Governing Evidence in International Arbitration</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.1</td>
<td>Legal Characterisation of Evidentiary Issues</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.2</td>
<td>Substantive Aspects of Evidence</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.3</td>
<td>Procedural Aspects of Evidence</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.4</td>
<td>Evaluation of Evidence</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Limits of Private Autonomy in Anti-Doping</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.1</td>
<td>Private Autonomy on Evidentiary Issues in Anti-Doping</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.2</td>
<td>The Main Limits on Private Autonomy Under Swiss Law</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.3</td>
<td>Integrated Approach to Consent in Anti-Doping</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>The WADC Evidentiary Regime Demystified</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3.1</td>
<td>Anti-Doping Regulations: Private Agreements on Evidence</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3.2</td>
<td>Doping Control: Private Gathering of Scientific Evidence</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4 Scientific Constraints on Evidence in Anti-Doping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Role(s) of Science in the Fight Against Doping</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.1</td>
<td>Anti-Doping Regulations as “Science-Based Law”</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.2</td>
<td>Defining “Science” in a Legal Context</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Interplay Between Science and Law</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.1</td>
<td>Challenges of Law in the Face of Science</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.2</td>
<td>Evidentiary Mechanisms to Overcome These Challenges</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Mutual Influences Between Science and Law</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.1</td>
<td>Scientists in the Judicial Process</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.2</td>
<td>Lawyers in the Realm of Science</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Roadmap to a Combined Scientific and Legal Approach</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.1</td>
<td>Consent?: Yes, But…</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.2</td>
<td>Scientific Foundations as the Key Factor</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part II Science in the WADC Evidentiary Regime

### 5 Doping Control—Gathering Scientific Evidence for Legal Purposes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Operational Framework of Doping Control</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.1</td>
<td>Scientific Participants in Doping Control</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.2</td>
<td>Technical Rules Governing Evidence-Gathering in Doping Control</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Procedural Defects in Doping Control</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2.1</td>
<td>Importance of Procedural Defects Under the WADC</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2.2</td>
<td>General “Presumption” of Adherence to Applicable Procedures</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2.3 Materiality of Procedural Defects ................................................. 301
5.2.4 “False Positives” and the Risk of Hazard ........................................ 317
5.3 Suggestions for a Sound Gathering of Evidence in Doping Control ........................................ 329
  5.3.1 Real Stakes: Admissibility of Scientific Evidence in the Judicial Process ........................................ 330
  5.3.2 Soundness of the Current Distribution of the Burden of Proof ................. 332
  5.3.3 Effects of Procedural Defects on the Outcome of the Process ................. 337
  5.3.4 Fallibility of Analytical Science Over and Above Procedural Defects ........... 341

6 Analytical Science—Approaches in “Traditional” Doping Control ........................................ 343
  6.1 Technology Race versus Mastery of Existing Technologies ....... 343
    6.1.1 “State-of-the-Art” Policy in the WADC Regime ......... 344
    6.1.2 Attempts to Reduce Discrepancies in Analytical Science ................. 349
  6.2 Zero Tolerance versus Complexity of Analytical Science ....... 356
    6.2.1 Scientific Justification for Zero Tolerance Under the WADC ................. 356
    6.2.2 Formal and Concealed Limits to the Zero Tolerance Rule ................. 359
    6.2.3 Deceptive Absoluteness of Adverse Analytical Findings ................. 371
    6.2.4 Evidentiary Significance of the B Sample Confirmation ................. 390
  6.3 Scientific Innovation versus Legal Scrutiny ................. 409
    6.3.1 Approaches to Scientific Innovation in the WADC Regime ................. 409
    6.3.2 Evolution in CAS Judicial Review of Analytical Science ................. 412
    6.3.3 New Presumption of Scientific Validity for the 2015 WADC ................. 419
    6.3.4 Discussion on the Soundness of the New Presumption ................. 427
  6.4 Evidentiary Strength of Traditional Doping Control in Question ................. 431
    6.4.1 Gap Between Original Pillars of the WADC Regime and Current Realities ................. 431
    6.4.2 Blurring Evidentiary Value of Adverse Analytical Findings ................. 433
    6.4.3 Struggle to Fit Scientific Issues into a Manageable Legal Framework ................. 435
7 Standardisation in Anti-Doping—Science versus Evidentiary Pragmatism

7.1 WADA Prohibited List—Scientific or Political Tool? 
7.1.1 Scientific Foundations of the Prohibited List. 
7.1.2 Combining Scientific Flexibility with Legal Stability of the Prohibition. 

7.2 Impact on Sports Performance—A Forced Irrelevance? 
7.2.1 Limited Relevance of Performance Enhancement 
7.2.2 “Automatic” Disqualification as a Corrective Measure 
7.2.3 Other Hybrid Types of Disqualification 
7.2.4 Greater Consistency in the Approach to Performance Enhancement for Disqualification 

7.3 Role of Fault—A Matter of Evidentiary Convenience? 
7.3.1 Fault-Related Components of the WADC Regime. 
7.3.2 Strict Liability Rule Under the WADC 
7.3.3 Presumed Fault for Disciplinary Sanctions 
7.3.4 Need for Ongoing Monitoring and Re-evaluation 

8 Scientific Evidence in CAS Arbitration for Doping Disputes 

8.1 Dealing with Scientific Evidence in Doping Disputes. 
8.1.1 Freedom of Evidence in Doping Disputes. 
8.1.2 Restrictions on the Freedom of Evidence in Doping Disputes. 

8.2 Access to Scientific Documentation for the Athlete 
8.2.1 Access to Scientific Documentation Based on the WADC Regime. 
8.2.2 Athlete Rights to Further Scientific Documentation 
8.2.3 Consequences for the Evaluation of the Evidence 

8.3 Doping Disputes: An Expert’s Business 
8.3.1 Expert Independence in Doping Disputes 
8.3.2 Methods for Managing Expert Evidence in Doping Disputes. 
8.3.3 Evaluation of Expert Evidence in Doping Disputes. 

8.4 CAS Panels and Scientific Evidence—Caution Required 
8.4.1 Restrictions on Athletes’ Access to Scientific Evidence 
8.4.2 Search for Proficient and Independent Scientific Experts 
8.4.3 Control and Evaluation of Expert Evidence.
Part III  Rethinking Scientific Evidence in Anti-Doping

9  Mechanisms Used in “Traditional” Doping Control .......................... 649
  9.1  Mechanisms of Avoidance: Legal Hands-off Approach to Science .......................... 649
         9.1.1  Approach to Science in Traditional Doping Control ................................ 650
         9.1.2  Legal Regime That Disregards Causality .................................................. 653
  9.2  Mechanisms of Coordination: Leaving Space to Science ...................... 660
         9.2.1  Flexibility for Advances in Anti-Doping Science ......................................... 660
         9.2.2  Rebuttable Presumptions to Back-up Anti-Doping Science ............................ 661
  9.3  Mechanisms of Control: Science to the Scientist, Law to the Lawyer .............. 663
         9.3.1  Legal Control Over Science to Compensate for Imbalance in Knowledge .......... 664
         9.3.2  Use of Science as a Rubber Stamp for Rationality ...................................... 666

10  Paths to Improvement Through New Approaches ............................... 669
  10.1  Reinforced Interactions Between Scientists and Lawyers ..................... 669
         10.1.1  Tackling Scientific Evidence in Anti-Doping Beyond 2015 ............................ 670
         10.1.2  Judicial Review of Science and Its “Healthy” Limits ................................ 673
  10.2  Stronger Qualitative Approach in Gathering Evidence ....................... 678
         10.2.1  Harmonised Testing Conditions to Secure Quality of the Evidence .................. 678
         10.2.2  Clarifying the Position of Analytical Science ........................................... 683
  10.3  Higher Refinement in the Evaluation of Scientific Evidence ................... 690
         10.3.1  Drawing Legal Inferences from Scientific Evidence ................................... 690
         10.3.2  Methodology for the Evaluation of Scientific Evidence in Anti-Doping ............ 700

11  Athlete Biological Passport: A Paradigm Shift? ............................... 727
  11.1  Main Features of the Athlete Biological Passport ................................. 727
         11.1.1  Basic Principles Underlying the Athlete Biological Passport ...................... 728
         11.1.2  Current Haematological and Steroidal Modules ......................................... 730
         11.1.3  Procedure for Cases Based on the Athlete Biological Passport .................... 732
  11.2  Challenges for Implementing the Athlete Biological Passport ............... 734
         11.2.1  System of Legal Rules or Scientific Evidentiary Method? ............................ 734
         11.2.2  Integrating a New Paradigm into a Pre-Existing Framework .......................... 737
         11.2.3  Practical and Operational Challenges ....................................................... 738
11.3 Evaluation of Evidence for the Athlete Biological Passport
  11.3.1 Statistics, Probabilities and “Reliable Means”
  11.3.2 Determining Relevant Probabilities and Framing Hypotheses
  11.3.3 Irreducible Qualitative Factors
11.4 Role Distribution Between Scientific Experts and Hearing Panels
  11.4.1 Independence and Impartiality of the Experts
  11.4.2 Hearing Panel’s Dependency on Expert Opinions
11.5 Demise of Strict Liability and Presumed Fault?
  11.5.1 The “Doping Scenario”
  11.5.2 Residual Room for Fault-Related Adjustment of the Sanction
12 General Conclusions: Interdisciplinary Dialogue and Reflection
  12.1 Only Clarify
  12.2 Only Dialogue
  12.3 Only Refine
  12.4 Only Anticipate
References
Table of Cases
Index
Evidence in Anti-Doping at the Intersection of Science & Law
Viret, M.
2016, XXVI, 821 p., Hardcover
ISBN: 978-94-6265-083-1
A product of T.M.C. Asser Press