

# Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b> . . . . .	1
1.1 Torture At Abu Ghraib. . . . .	1
1.2 Outlining the Issue. . . . .	2
1.3 The Occasion . . . . .	2
1.4 Methodology and Limitations . . . . .	3
1.5 Definitions . . . . .	4
1.6 Structure of the Book. . . . .	6
References . . . . .	7
<b>2 The Theory of Mistake of Law in National Criminal Law Systems</b> . . . . .	9
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	9
2.2 Mistake of Law in the Common Law Systems of the USA and the UK. . . . .	10
2.2.1 Introduction: Ignorantia Legis Non Excusat . . . . .	10
2.2.2 The Exceptions to the Rule . . . . .	10
2.2.2.1 American Law . . . . .	10
2.2.2.2 English Law. . . . .	18
2.2.3 Conclusion: An Ad Hoc Approach . . . . .	24
2.3 Mistake of Law in the Civil Law Systems of Germany and France . . . . .	25
2.3.1 Introduction . . . . .	25
2.3.2 Germany: Mistake of Law is an Excuse. . . . .	26
2.3.2.1 Das Recht . . . . .	26
2.3.2.2 The Landmark Decision . . . . .	27
2.3.2.3 The Codification. . . . .	33
2.3.2.4 The German Border Guard Cases . . . . .	40
2.3.2.4.1 The German Border Guard Cases: The Principle of Legality . . . . .	43
2.3.2.4.2 The German Border Guard Cases: Mistake of Law. . . . .	44

- 2.3.2.5 Conclusion . . . . . 47
  - 2.3.3 France: Mistake of Law is a Ground for Excluding Criminal Responsibility . . . . . 48
    - 2.3.3.1 The Provision . . . . . 50
    - 2.3.3.2 Avoidable Mistake . . . . . 51
    - 2.3.3.3 Conclusion . . . . . 53
  - 2.4 Superior Orders . . . . . 54
    - 2.4.1 USA and UK . . . . . 54
    - 2.4.2 Germany and France . . . . . 56
    - 2.4.3 Conclusion . . . . . 58
  - 2.5 Conclusion: Comparative Analysis . . . . . 58
  - References . . . . . 59
- 3 Comparing the National Approaches: Theorising About the Issue . . . . . 63**
  - 3.1 Introduction: Twofold and Threefold Structures . . . . . 63
  - 3.2 Relevant Distinctions . . . . . 64
    - 3.2.1 Justification and Excuse . . . . . 64
    - 3.2.2 Wrongdoing and Attribution . . . . . 67
    - 3.2.3 Defeasible and Comprehensive Rules . . . . . 68
    - 3.2.4 Conduct Rules and Decision Rules . . . . . 68
    - 3.2.5 Analysis . . . . . 70
  - 3.3 Other Issues . . . . . 71
    - 3.3.1 Criminal Intent . . . . . 71
    - 3.3.2 Putative Justifications . . . . . 72
    - 3.3.3 An Element of ‘Unlawfulness’ in the Crime Definition . . . . . 73
    - 3.3.4 The Principle of Legality . . . . . 75
  - 3.4 Conclusion . . . . . 76
  - References . . . . . 78
- 4 The Theory of Mistake of Law in International Criminal Law . . . . . 81**
  - 4.1 Introduction . . . . . 81
  - 4.2 Article 32(2): Mistake of Law . . . . . 82
    - 4.2.1 Article 32 Mistake . . . . . 82
    - 4.2.2 Negate the Mental Element . . . . . 83
  - 4.3 Article 33: Superior Orders . . . . . 90
    - 4.3.1 Introduction . . . . . 90
    - 4.3.2 The Provision . . . . . 90
    - 4.3.3 Criticism: Departure from Customary International Law . . . . . 91
    - 4.3.4 Criticism: Manifest Illegality . . . . . 94
    - 4.3.5 Conclusion: Superior Orders a Separate Defence? . . . . . 95
  - 4.4 Concluding Analysis . . . . . 96
  - References . . . . . 98

**5 Applying the Theory of Mistake of Law: An Analysis of (Inter)national Case Law . . . . . 101**

5.1 Introduction . . . . . 101

5.2 The Case Law . . . . . 102

5.2.1 Pre-WWII Case Law . . . . . 103

5.2.2 WWII Case Law . . . . . 104

5.2.2.1 United States . . . . . 104

5.2.2.2 United Kingdom . . . . . 108

5.2.2.3 Other Countries . . . . . 111

5.2.3 Trials Related to Other Armed Conflicts After WWII . . . . . 118

5.2.4 Recent Decisions . . . . . 122

5.2.4.1 International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia . . . . . 122

5.2.4.2 Special Court for Sierra Leone . . . . . 123

5.2.4.3 International Criminal Court . . . . . 126

5.3 Conclusion . . . . . 127

References . . . . . 129

**6 Applying the Theory of Mistake of Law: An Analysis of Elements of Crimes and a Survey of Dilemmas on the Battlefield . . . . . 133**

6.1 Introduction . . . . . 133

6.2 Subjective Requirements of Criminal Responsibility . . . . . 134

6.2.1 Elements of Crimes . . . . . 134

6.2.1.1 Elements of Crimes Against Humanity . . . . . 137

6.2.1.1.1 Specific Elements: Deportation, Torture and Persecution . . . . . 137

6.2.1.2 Elements of War Crimes . . . . . 138

6.2.1.2.1 Specific Elements: Improper use of a flag, Insignia or Uniform . . . . . 141

6.2.1.3 Conclusion . . . . . 143

6.3 Dilemmas on the Battlefield . . . . . 144

6.3.1 Mistakes About the Prohibition . . . . . 145

6.3.1.1 Military Objectives . . . . . 146

6.3.2 Putative Justifications . . . . . 151

6.3.2.1 Mistake of Self-Defence . . . . . 151

6.3.2.2 Necessity . . . . . 152

6.3.3 Mistaken Defences Under Public International Law . . . . . 154

6.3.3.1 Military Necessity . . . . . 154

6.3.3.2 Reprisals . . . . . 157

6.4 Conclusion . . . . . 161

References . . . . . 162

<b>7 Concluding Remarks</b> . . . . .	167
7.1 Introduction . . . . .	167
References . . . . .	169
<b>Bibliography</b> . . . . .	171
<b>Table of Cases</b> . . . . .	179



<http://www.springer.com/978-90-6704-866-8>

Mistake of Law

Excusing Perpetrators of International Crimes

van Verseveld, A.

2012, XIV, 182 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-90-6704-866-8

A product of T.M.C. Asser Press