

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Preliminary Reflections upon Interpretative Power	1
1.2	Current State of Research	6
1.3	Scope of this Study	9
1.3.1	Treaty Interpretation	10
1.3.1.1	Treaties	10
1.3.1.2	Interpretation	11
1.3.2	Inter-State Level	14
1.3.2.1	Domestic Judiciaries	14
1.3.2.2	Accessibility and Reliability of Jurisprudence	16
1.3.2.3	Sample Period	17
1.3.3	Intra-State Level	17
1.4	Outline and Methodology	19
Part I Subsequent Agreements and Subsequent Practice		
2	The Origins of Subsequent Agreements and Subsequent Practice	27
2.1	International Legal Doctrine	28
2.1.1	‘Classical’ Writers	28
2.1.2	‘Post-Classical’ Writers	30
2.1.3	State-Sponsored and Private Codification Efforts	34
2.1.4	Conclusion	40
2.2	Judicial Settlement of International Disputes	41
2.2.1	Permanent Court of International Justice	42
2.2.2	International Court of Justice	46
2.2.3	Conclusion	52
2.3	Domestic Jurisprudence	53
2.3.1	Germany	53
2.3.2	Switzerland	55
2.3.3	United States of America	59
2.3.4	Conclusion	63

3	Subsequent Agreements and Subsequent Practice in Context: The 1969 VCLT	65
3.1	Genesis of the Vienna Convention and its Rules of Interpretation . . .	66
3.2	The Vienna Rules as a Reflection of Customary Law	75
3.3	The Vienna Rules of Interpretation	80
3.3.1	The General Rule of Interpretation	82
3.3.1.1	Ordinary and Special Meaning	83
3.3.1.2	Context	87
3.3.1.3	Object and Purpose	89
3.3.2	Supplementary Means of Interpretation	90
3.3.3	Interpretation as a Single Combined Operation	92
4	Subsequent Agreements and Subsequent Practice under Articles 31 and 32 VCLT	99
4.1	Relationship between Subsequent Agreements and Subsequent Practice	99
4.2	Subsequent Agreements under Article 31(3)(a) VCLT	103
4.2.1	‘Agreement’	103
4.2.1.1	Agreement and Treaties	104
4.2.1.2	Interpretative Treaties	105
4.2.1.3	The Form of Agreement	105
4.2.2	‘Between the Parties’	108
4.2.2.1	Who Are ‘the Parties’?	108
4.2.2.2	How Many Parties Are ‘the Parties’?	112
4.2.3	The Object of the Agreement	113
4.2.3.1	Sole Purpose v Unintended Side-Effect	113
4.2.3.2	Interpretation and Application Distinguished	114
4.2.3.3	Interpretation and Modification Distinguished	116
4.2.4	‘Subsequent’	119
4.3	Subsequent Practice under Article 31(3)(b) VCLT	121
4.3.1	Objective Components of Subsequent Practice	121
4.3.1.1	What Constitutes ‘Practice’?	121
4.3.1.2	Whose Practice Counts as ‘Practice’?	125
4.3.1.3	‘In the Application of the Treaty’	128
4.3.2	Subjective Components of Subsequent Practice	129
4.3.2.1	The Agreement of the Parties	129
4.3.2.2	Interpretation and Modification Distinguished	131
4.3.2.3	How to ‘Establish’ the Agreement?	133
4.4	‘Other’ Subsequent Conduct under Article 32 VCLT	135
4.5	Conclusion	137

Part II The Jurisprudence of Domestic Courts

5 Legal Status and Effects of Subsequent Agreements and Subsequent Practice 141

5.1 The Status of Subsequent Agreements and Subsequent Practice . . . 141

5.1.1 Authentic Means of Interpretation 142

5.1.1.1 The Origins and Re-emergence of ‘Authentic Interpretation’ 143

5.1.1.2 Authentic Interpretation in Domestic Jurisprudence 147

5.1.2 Relative Weight in the Interpretative Process 150

5.2 The Effects of Subsequent Agreements and Subsequent Practice . . . 156

5.2.1 Extensive and Restrictive Interpretation 156

5.2.1.1 Different Concepts of Extensive and Restrictive Interpretation 157

5.2.1.2 Extensive and Restrictive Interpretation in Domestic Jurisprudence 158

5.2.2 Dynamic and Static Interpretation 161

5.2.2.1 Defining and Qualifying Dynamic and Static Interpretation 162

5.2.2.2 Dynamic and Static Interpretation in Domestic Jurisprudence 164

5.3 Conclusion 168

6 The Frequency of Subsequent Agreements 171

6.1 The Number of Parties to a Treaty 172

6.2 Solid Evidence of Subsequent Agreements 174

6.3 Constitutional Impediments 179

6.3.1 Negative Impact on Parliamentary Participation Rights 179

6.3.1.1 Parliamentary Participation in Foreign Affairs in Germany 180

6.3.1.2 Different Approaches to the Ratification Requirement 182

6.3.1.2.1 Objective Approach 182

6.3.1.2.2 Mixed Approach 186

6.3.1.3 Parliamentary Participation and Subsequent Practice in Other States 190

6.3.2 Legal Advantages over Other Means of Interpretation 193

6.4 Conclusion 196

7 Practice by Non-Party Entities 199

7.1 The Output of Treaty Bodies 200

7.1.1 The Interpretative Value of Treaty Body Output 202

7.1.2 Treaty Body Output in the Jurisprudence of Domestic Courts 206

7.1.3 Evaluation 212

7.2	The Office of the UN High Commissioner for Refugees	214
7.2.1	The Link between the Refugee Convention, the Refugee Protocol, and the UNHCR	214
7.2.2	UNHCR Publications in Domestic Jurisprudence	217
7.2.3	Evaluation	224
7.3	The OECD ‘Model Convention on Income and Capital’	225
7.3.1	The OECD, its Model Convention, and Related Documents	227
7.3.2	The OECD Model Convention in Domestic Jurisprudence	232
7.3.3	Evaluation	237
7.4	Conclusion	239
8	The Notion of ‘Agreement’	241
8.1	Agreement and Silence	242
8.1.1	Eloquent Silence in International Law	243
8.1.2	How Domestic Courts Interpret Silence or Inaction	246
8.1.3	Evaluation	253
8.2	Agreement and Selected Practice	254
8.2.1	Ascertaining the Parties’ Agreement	255
8.2.2	The Spectrum of Selectivity	259
8.2.2.1	Quantitative Selectivity	262
8.2.2.2	Regional Selectivity	264
8.2.2.3	Qualitative Selectivity	266
8.2.3	Selected Practice and Uniform Interpretation	269
8.2.4	Evaluation	273
8.3	Conclusion	275
9	Conclusion and Outlook	277
9.1	Ancient Origins but Undiminished Validity	278
9.2	Neutral Ingredients for the Crucible	280
9.3	The True Masters of the Treaty	282
9.4	Towards Uniform Interpretation?	284
	Bibliography	287



<http://www.springer.com/978-3-662-54936-0>

Subsequent Agreements and Subsequent Practice in
Domestic Courts

Berner, K.

2017, XLV, 300 p. 3 illus., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-662-54936-0