

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
A. The Recent Situation in Israel	1
B. The Further International Context.....	6
C. Defining “Targeted Killings”	8
I. Different Terms Frequently Used	9
II. Aspects of Intention	14
1. Intention in Relation to the Targeted Person.....	14
a) Capital Punishment	15
b) Targeted Killings.....	16
c) Preventive Killings.....	16
d) Preventive Use of Force Presumably Lethal	17
e) Preventive Use of Non-Lethal Force.....	18
2. Intention in Relation to Innocent Third Persons	18
III. “Assassination”	19
IV. Conclusion: Targeted Killings and Preventive Killings	22
D. Moral Legitimacy and Effectiveness of Targeted Killings.....	22
E. The State of Research: Different Approaches	28
I. The Topic’s Perception in Legal Writing	29
II. Different Approaches.....	32
F. Terminology.....	37
I. Occupied Palestinian Territory	38
II. Terrorism.....	38
Part One – Human Rights	41
A. Human Rights Conventions and the Right to Life	42
I. The Scope of Protection of Human Rights	46
II. The Special Status of the Right to Life.....	47
B. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights	48
I. The Scope of Protection of Article 6.....	51
1. The Defensive Function (<i>status negativus</i>)	51
a) “Arbitrary Deprivation” as “Unlawful Deprivation” of Life	52
b) “Arbitrary Deprivation” as a Broader Concept than “Unlawful Deprivation” of Life.....	54
(1) Text and Context	54
(2) Object and Purpose	57

(3) Preparatory Work	59
c) Guiding Principles	61
d) Specific Examples	62
(1) Genocide, Mass Killings and Other Acts of Mass Violence	62
(2) Killings that Contradict International Human- itarian Law	63
(3) Deaths Resulting from Acts of Aggression	64
(4) Deaths Resulting from Torture or Other Ill-Treatment	66
(5) Enforced or Involuntary Disappearances	67
(6) Deaths Resulting from Excessive Use of Force by Law-Enforcement Personnel.....	67
(7) Executions Carried Out without Due Process of Law	68
e) Characteristics of the Examples Discussed	68
2. The Beneficiary Function (<i>status positivus</i>)	69
a) Effects on the Burden of Persuasion.....	70
b) The Duty to Investigate as Part of the Right to Life	72
3. Limits of the Scope of Protection: The Socio-Economic Aspect.....	74
II. Exceptions: Non-Arbitrary Deprivations of Life.....	74
1. The Death Penalty.....	75
a) General Limitations.....	76
b) Due Process Requirements	77
2. Deprivation of Life in Self-Defence or in Defence of Another Person	78
a) Capability of Furthering the Goal	81
b) Necessity of the Means	81
(1) Self-Defence	82
(2) Defence of Another Person	85
(3) New Concepts of Immediacy?	89
(4) Dismissal of the Concept of Immediacy?	89
(5) The Classical Concept of Immediacy.....	91
(6) Consequences.....	93
c) Proportionality in the Narrow Sense.....	93
3. Deprivation of Life in Order to Effect an Arrest or Prevent the Escape of a Person Detained	94
a) Capability to Further the Aim	95
b) Necessity of the Means	96
(1) Effecting an Arrest.....	96
(2) Preventing an Escape	97

c) Proportionality in the Narrow Sense.....	98
4. Deprivation of Life for the Purpose of Quelling a Riot	100
a) Capability to Further the Aim	100
b) Necessity Regarding the Quelling of a Riot or Insurrection.....	101
c) Proportionality in the Narrow Sense?.....	103
5. Absolute Limits and Non-Derogability of Article 6	103
6. Deprivation of Life in the Course of an Armed Conflict	106
7. Means of Control	107
a) Perspective of a Third Person as Standard?.....	108
b) Procedural Safeguards <i>ex post factum</i>	108
III. Conclusion: Killings Under the International Covenant	110
C. The American Convention on Human Rights.....	111
I. Article 4 American Convention on Human Rights' Scope of Protection	112
1. The Defensive Function (<i>status negativus</i>)	114
2. The Beneficiary Function (<i>status positivus</i>)	115
a) Effects on the Standards of Proof Applicable	117
b) The Duty to Investigate as Part of the Right to Life	118
II. Exceptions – Non-Arbitrary Deprivation of Life	120
1. The Death Penalty.....	120
2. Deprivation of Life in the Course of Administrative Police Action	122
a) A Different Concept of Immediacy?	124
b) A Different Concept Concerning the Quelling of a Riot?.....	125
3. Non-Derogability of Article 4.....	126
4. Deprivation of Life in the Course of Armed Conflict	127
III. Conclusion: Killings Under the American Convention.....	130
D. The African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights	130
I. Article 4 African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights' Scope of Protection	133
1. The Defensive Function (<i>status negativus</i>)	133
2. The Beneficiary Function (<i>status positivus</i>)	136
II. Exceptions – Non-Arbitrary Deprivation of Life	140
III. No Explicit Non-Derogability of Article 4.....	143
IV. Conclusion: Killings Under the African Charter.....	145
E. The European Convention on Human Rights.....	146
I. Article 2 European Convention on Human Rights' Scope of Protection	148

1. The Defensive Function (<i>status negativus</i>)	149
a) Does “Intentional Deprivation” Require Dolus?	150
b) Does Article 2 Cover Negligent Deprivation of Life?	151
2. The Beneficiary Function (<i>status positivus</i>)	153
a) Effects on the Standards of Proof Applicable	154
b) The Duty to Investigate as Part of the Rights to Life... ..	157
c) The Duty to Ensure Adequate Planning and Con- trol of Security Forces’ Operations.....	160
II. Exceptions – Permissible Deprivation of Life.....	162
1. The Death Penalty.....	163
2. Exceptions Enumerated in Article 2 Para. 2	164
a) The Test of Absolute Necessity.....	167
b) The Use of Force in Defence of Any Person from Unlawful Violence.....	169
c) The Use of Force in Order to Effect a Lawful Arrest or to Prevent the Escape of a Person Lawfully Detained	172
d) The Use of Force in Action Lawfully Taken for the Purpose of Quelling a Riot or Insurrection	177
3. Non-Derogability of Article 2 and Deprivation of Life in the Course of Armed Conflict.....	179
III. Conclusion: Killings Under the European Convention.....	181
F. Other Treaties Protecting the Right to Life	183
G. General International Law Protection of the Right to Life	183
I. Customary International Law	184
II. General Principles of Law and Natural Law Foundations	191
III. Content and Exceptions of the Right to Life in General International Law	192
IV. The Right to Life as <i>jus cogens</i>	195
H. Conclusion: The Human Right to Life	198
Part Two – International Humanitarian Law	203
A. The Relevant Sources of Law	205
I. The Hague Law	205
II. The Geneva Law	207
1. The 1949 Geneva Conventions	208
2. The 1977 Additional Protocols	211
III. Other International Humanitarian Instruments	213
IV. Customary International Humanitarian Law	214

B. The Basic Principles Underlying International Humanitarian Law	215
I. Military Necessity	216
II. Humanity	217
III. Proportionality	217
IV. Distinction <i>ratione personae</i>	217
C. Combatants.....	219
I. Combatant Status in International Armed Conflicts.....	221
1. Members of the Armed Forces	222
2. Members of Militias and Volunteer Corps.....	227
a) Being Organized.....	227
b) Belonging to a Party to the Conflict.....	228
c) Being Commanded by a Person Responsible for His Subordinates	229
d) Having a Fixed Distinctive Sign Recognizable at a Distance.....	229
e) Carrying Arms Openly.....	230
f) Conducting Operations in Accordance with the Laws and Customs of War.....	231
g) Non-Allegiance to the Detaining Power.....	232
3. “ <i>Levée en masse</i> ”.....	233
4. Conclusion: Combatant Status	234
II. Fighters in Non-International Armed Conflicts	234
1. Defining Criteria	236
2. Problems Concerning Distinction.....	238
a) Active Participation as the Criterion	238
b) Group Membership as the Criterion	239
c) Discussion.....	241
3. Conclusion.....	242
III. Protection of Combatants and Fighters.....	243
1. Prohibition of Perfidy.....	243
a) General Definition of Perfidy	244
b) Distinction of Perfidy and Ruses of War.....	247
c) Prohibitions of Individual Perfidious Acts	248
(1) Targeted Killings Under a “Perfidious Cover”	249
(i) The Feigning of Civilian Status.....	250
(ii) The Improper Use of Flags and Symbols.....	250
(2) Killings Rendered Perfidious Due to the Status of the Targeted Person.....	253
(3) Killings by Perfidious Means.....	254
(4) Other Perfidious or Treacherous Killings.....	255
2. Prohibition to kill Persons <i>hors de combat</i>	257

3. Prohibition to Carry Out Executions without Previous Judgement	260
4. Further Limits that Apply to Any Attack	260
IV. Conclusion: Targeted Killings of Combatants	262
D. Civilians	263
I. Civilian Status	263
II. Protection of Civilians	265
1. Individually Targeted Civilians	265
a) Prohibition to Attack Civilians	267
b) Prohibition to Target Non-Military Objectives	267
c) Exception: Civilians Taking Direct Part in Hostilities	271
(1) “Hostilities”	273
(2) Taking “Direct” or “Active” Part in Hostilities	276
(i) Clear Cases of Direct Participation	280
(ii) Clear Cases of Non-Direct Participation	281
(iii) Grey Areas	282
(3) The Duration of Direct Participation	289
(i) The “Revolving Door” Theory	291
(ii) The “One Way” Approach	292
(iii) Critique	294
d) No Exception: Protected Persons Suspected or Engaged in Activities Hostile to the Security of the State	298
e) Further Restrictions	302
f) Conclusion: Targeted Killings of Civilians	303
2. Protection of Civilians not Specifically Targeted	304
a) Prohibition of Indiscriminate Attacks	305
b) Proportionality, Collateral Damage and Precautions in Attack	309
(1) Direct Military Advantage Anticipated by an Attack	311
(2) Excessive Civilian Damages	314
(3) Consequence: Precautions in Attack	320
(4) Proportionality and Non-International Armed Conflicts	321
c) Conclusion	322
3. Prohibition to Direct Reprisals Against the Civil Population	322
III. Conclusion: Targeted Killings of Civilians	324
E. Is there a Third Category such as “Unlawful Combatants”?	324
I. Terminology and Its Historical Basis	326

II. New Notions	328
III. The Status of “Unlawful Combatants”	331
1. “Unlawful Combatants” in International Armed Conflicts.....	331
a) “Unlawful Combatants” as “Unprotected Persons”	331
b) “Unlawful Combatants” as “Protected Persons”	335
2. “Unlawful Combatants” in Non-International Armed Conflicts.....	340
IV. Conclusion: No such Third Status Exists.....	340
V. Consequence: No Special Status of “Terrorists” Under International Humanitarian Law	342
F. Conclusion: Targeted Killings and International Human- itarian Law	347

Part Three – No Additional Justifications or

Excuses	351
A. Concepts Generally Capable of Precluding Wrongfulness.....	354
B. Limits to Circumstances Precluding Wrongfulness	356
I. Peremptory Norms of General International Law (<i>Jus Cogens</i>).....	357
II. Human Rights.....	360
III. International Humanitarian Law	361
C. Conclusion: No Additional Justifications or Excuses.....	364

Part Four – The Applicability of the Relevant

International Law	367
A. The Territorial and Extraterritorial Applicability of Human Rights Provisions.....	369
I. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.....	371
II. The American Convention on Human Rights	378
III. The European Convention on Human Rights	381
1. Effective Control Over Territory	382
2. “De facto Control” Over Persons	391
IV. The African Charter on Human and Peoples’ Rights	395
V. Customary International Law	398
VI. Conclusion	399
B. The Law Applicable in Times of a Public Emergency Falling Short of Being an Armed Conflict	402
I. Human Rights and Public Emergencies	402
II. International Humanitarian Law and Public Emergency	407
C. The Law Applicable in Non-International Armed Conflicts.....	408

I.	Internal Disturbances	410
II.	Non-International Armed Conflicts.....	411
1.	Human Rights in Non-International Armed Conflicts.....	412
2.	International Humanitarian Law in Non-International Armed Conflicts.....	413
3.	The Relationship of Human Rights and Humanitarian Law in Non-International Armed Conflicts	421
4.	Conclusion.....	424
III.	The Treatment of Certain Non-International Armed Conflicts as International Armed Conflicts	425
D.	The Law Applicable in International Armed Conflicts	431
I.	The Intensity Necessary to Fulfil the Preconditions of an Armed Conflict.....	434
II.	The International Character of an Armed Conflict.....	435
1.	Horizontally Mixed Armed Conflicts.....	436
2.	Vertically Mixed Armed Conflicts.....	437
3.	Several Conflicts or One Situation that Must Be Regarded as a Whole?	438
III.	Conclusion	440
E.	Military Occupation.....	441
I.	“Calm” Occupations.....	442
II.	Special Exception to the Right to Life in Situations of “Calm” Occupation?.....	445
III.	Resumption or Outbreak of Hostilities in a Territory Under Occupation.....	447
IV.	Conclusion	452
F.	International Humanitarian Law and the “War on Terror”	453
I.	Is the “War on Terror” an International Armed Conflict?	456
1.	Level of Violence.....	457
2.	Parties to a Possible International Armed Conflict.....	460
a)	An International Armed Conflict between a State and “Terrorist” Non-State Actors?.....	461
b)	Armed Conflict between the Victim State of the Attack and a Foreign State Related to the “Terrorist” Group?	464
(1)	Non-State Actors as “Other Militias and Members of Volunteer Corps Belonging to a Party to a Conflict”	466
(2)	Attribution According to “ <i>Nicaragua</i> ” or “ <i>Tadić</i> ”?.....	467
(i)	“ <i>Nicaragua</i> ” and the International Law Commission: Effective Control.....	467

(ii) “ <i>Tadić</i> ”: Overall Control	469
(iii) Conclusion: “ <i>Nicaragua</i> ” v. “ <i>Tadić</i> ”?	472
(3) Attribution According to “ <i>Tehran Hostages</i> ”?	473
3. Conclusion.....	475
II. Is the “War on Terror” a Non-International Armed Conflict?	477
1. Level of Violence	477
2. Non-International Character	480
3. Kretzmer’s “Mixed Model”	481
4. Critique.....	483
III. Conclusion	485
1. No General Applicability of International Human- itarian Law to the “War on Terror” <i>de lege lata</i>	486
2. Applicability of International Humanitarian Law to the “War on Terror” <i>de lege ferenda</i> ?.....	488

Part Five – Consequences of the Aforementioned for the Situation in Israel	491
A. The International Law Applicable	494
I. The Applicability of Human Rights Law	494
II. The Applicability of International Humanitarian Law	496
1. Historical Background.....	496
2. The Legal Status of the Occupied Palestinian Territo- ries	499
a) Changes Due to the Oslo Accords?.....	502
(1) The Status of “Areas A”	505
(2) The Status of “Areas B” and “Areas C”	510
3. The Legal Nature of the Conflict	511
a) Is the Present Situation an Armed Conflict?.....	512
b) If at all, Is It an International, Internationalised or Non-International Armed Conflict?	515
III. Conclusion: The Law Applicable to the Situation in the Occupied Territories.....	518
B. The Standards Applicable to the Targeted Killings by Israeli Forces	519
I. Human Rights Standards	519
1. Targeted Killings as a Deterrent or Punishment Are Illegal.....	520
2. Preventive Killings Are Possible within Narrow Limitations.....	520
II. International Humanitarian Law	523

1. The Israeli Supreme Court's Assessment: The Law of Armed Conflict	523
2. "Terrorists" Are neither Combatants, nor "Unlawful Combatants" but Civilians	524
3. Direct Participation by Civilians in Hostilities	526
III. The Israeli Supreme Court's Further Preconditions for Targeted Killings	529
C. Conclusion: The Situation in Israel.....	531

Conclusion: Targeted Killings and International

Law	535
A. Generally, Human Rights Law Applies to Targeted Killings	536
B. These Standards Are in Some Situations Amended by International Humanitarian Law.....	538
C. No Justifications for Targeted Killings Exist Outside the Human Rights and International Humanitarian Law Systems	539
D. The Most Prominent Cases Do Not Meet these Standards	540

Bibliography/ Index of Authorities

A. Books, Treatises and Articles.....	543
B. Cases.....	606
I. International Tribunals and Human Rights Bodies	606
II. Arbitration Awards	626
III. National Courts.....	626
C. International Treaties and Conventions (by Date)	630
D. Other International Sources	638
E. National Sources	645
F. Press.....	647

Index	651
--------------------	-----



<http://www.springer.com/978-3-642-24857-3>

Targeted Killings and International Law
With Special Regard to Human Rights and International
Humanitarian Law

Otto, R.

2012, XVIII, 661 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-642-24857-3