

# 1

## Review of Probability

In this chapter we shall recall some basic notions and facts from probability theory. Here is a short list of what needs to be reviewed:

- 1) Probability spaces,  $\sigma$ -fields and measures;
- 2) Random variables and their distributions;
- 3) Expectation and variance;
- 4) The  $\sigma$ -field generated by a random variable;
- 5) Independence, conditional probability.

The reader is advised to consult a book on probability for more information.

### 1.1 Events and Probability

#### Definition 1.1

Let  $\Omega$  be a non-empty set. A  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\Omega$  is a family of subsets of  $\Omega$  such that

- 1) the empty set  $\emptyset$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ ;
- 2) if  $A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ , then so does the complement  $\Omega \setminus A$ ;

- 3) if  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  is a sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then their union  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

### Example 1.1

Throughout this course  $\mathbb{R}$  will denote the set of real numbers. The family of *Borel sets*  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  is a  $\sigma$ -field on  $\mathbb{R}$ . We recall that  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing all intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### Definition 1.2

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -field on  $\Omega$ . A *probability measure*  $P$  is a function

$$P : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

such that

- 1)  $P(\Omega) = 1$ ;
- 2) if  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  are pairwise disjoint sets (that is,  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ ) belonging to  $\mathcal{F}$ , then

$$P(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots) = P(A_1) + P(A_2) + \dots$$

The triple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is called a *probability space*. The sets belonging to  $\mathcal{F}$  are called *events*. An event  $A$  is said to occur *almost surely* (a.s.) whenever  $P(A) = 1$ .

### Example 1.2

We take the unit interval  $\Omega = [0, 1]$  with the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  of Borel sets  $B \subset [0, 1]$ , and *Lebesgue measure*  $P = \text{Leb}$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Then  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is a probability space. Recall that  $\text{Leb}$  is the unique measure defined on Borel sets such that

$$\text{Leb}[a, b] = b - a$$

for any interval  $[a, b]$ . (In fact  $\text{Leb}$  can be extended to a larger  $\sigma$ -field, but we shall need Borel sets only.)

### Exercise 1.1

Show that if  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  is an *expanding* sequence of events, that is,

$$A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots,$$

then

$$P(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n).$$

Similarly, if  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  is a *contracting* sequence of events, that is,

$$A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots,$$

then

$$P(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n).$$

*Hint* Write  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots$  as the union of a sequence of disjoint events: start with  $A_1$ , then add a disjoint set to obtain  $A_1 \cup A_2$ , then add a disjoint set again to obtain  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$ , and so on. Now that you have a sequence of disjoint sets, you can use the definition of a probability measure. To deal with the product  $A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots$  write it as a union of some events with the aid of De Morgan's law.

### Lemma 1.1 (Borel–Cantelli)

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  be a sequence of events such that  $P(A_1) + P(A_2) + \dots < \infty$  and let  $B_n = A_n \cup A_{n+1} \cup \dots$ . Then  $P(B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \dots) = 0$ .

### Exercise 1.2

Prove the Borel–Cantelli lemma above.

*Hint*  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  is a contracting sequence of events.

## 1.2 Random Variables

### Definition 1.3

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field on  $\Omega$ , then a function  $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be  $\mathcal{F}$ -*measurable* if

$$\{\xi \in B\} \in \mathcal{F}$$

for every Borel set  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . If  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is a probability space, then such a function  $\xi$  is called a *random variable*.

### Remark 1.1

A short-hand notation for events such as  $\{\xi \in B\}$  will be used to avoid clutter. To be precise, we should write

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : \xi(\omega) \in B\}$$

in place of  $\{\xi \in B\}$ . Incidentally,  $\{\xi \in B\}$  is just a convenient way of writing the inverse image  $\xi^{-1}(B)$  of a set.

#### Definition 1.4

The  $\sigma$ -field  $\sigma(\xi)$  generated by a random variable  $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  consists of all sets of the form  $\{\xi \in B\}$ , where  $B$  is a Borel set in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### Definition 1.5

The  $\sigma$ -field  $\sigma\{\xi_i : i \in I\}$  generated by a family  $\{\xi_i : i \in I\}$  of random variables is defined to be the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing all events of the form  $\{\xi_i \in B\}$ , where  $B$  is a Borel set in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $i \in I$ .

#### Exercise 1.3

We call  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a *Borel function* if the inverse image  $f^{-1}(B)$  of any Borel set  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  is a Borel set. Show that if  $f$  is a Borel function and  $\xi$  is a random variable, then the composition  $f(\xi)$  is  $\sigma(\xi)$ -measurable.

*Hint* Consider the event  $\{f(\xi) \in B\}$ , where  $B$  is an arbitrary Borel set. Can this event be written as  $\{\xi \in A\}$  for some Borel set  $A$ ?

#### Lemma 1.2 (Doob–Dynkin)

Let  $\xi$  be a random variable. Then each  $\sigma(\xi)$ -measurable random variable  $\eta$  can be written as

$$\eta = f(\xi)$$

for some Borel function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

The proof of this highly non-trivial result will be omitted.

#### Definition 1.6

Every random variable  $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  gives rise to a probability measure

$$P_\xi(B) = P\{\xi \in B\}$$

on  $\mathbb{R}$  defined on the  $\sigma$ -field of Borel sets  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . We call  $P_\xi$  the *distribution* of  $\xi$ . The function  $F_\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by

$$F_\xi(x) = P\{\xi \leq x\}$$

is called the *distribution function* of  $\xi$ .

**Exercise 1.4**

Show that the distribution function  $F_\xi$  is non-decreasing, right-continuous, and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F_\xi(x) = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} F_\xi(x) = 1.$$

*Hint* For example, to verify right-continuity show that  $F_\xi(x_n) \rightarrow F_\xi(x)$  for any decreasing sequence  $x_n$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . You may find the results of Exercise 1.1 useful.

**Definition 1.7**

If there is a Borel function  $f_\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for any Borel set  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$P\{\xi \in B\} = \int_B f_\xi(x) dx,$$

then  $\xi$  is said to be a random variable with *absolutely continuous distribution* and  $f_\xi$  is called the *density* of  $\xi$ . If there is a (finite or infinite) sequence of pairwise distinct real numbers  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  such that for any Borel set  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$P\{\xi \in B\} = \sum_{x_i \in B} P\{\xi = x_i\},$$

then  $\xi$  is said to have *discrete distribution* with values  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  and *mass*  $P\{\xi = x_i\}$  at  $x_i$ .

**Exercise 1.5**

Suppose that  $\xi$  has continuous distribution with density  $f_\xi$ . Show that

$$\frac{d}{dx} F_\xi(x) = f_\xi(x)$$

if  $f_\xi$  is continuous at  $x$ .

*Hint* Express  $F_\xi(x)$  as an integral of  $f_\xi$ .

**Exercise 1.6**

Show that if  $\xi$  has discrete distribution with values  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ , then  $F_\xi$  is constant on each interval  $(s, t]$  not containing any of the  $x_i$ 's and has jumps of size  $P\{\xi = x_i\}$  at each  $x_i$ .

*Hint* The increment  $F_\xi(t) - F_\xi(s)$  is equal to the total mass of the  $x_i$ 's that belong to the interval  $[s, t)$ .

### Definition 1.8

The *joint distribution* of several random variables  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  is a probability measure  $P_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$P_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n}(B) = P\{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in B\}$$

for any Borel set  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If there is a Borel function  $f_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$P\{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in B\} = \int_B f_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n$$

for any Borel set  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $f_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n}$  is called the *joint density* of  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ .

### Definition 1.9

A random variable  $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be *integrable* if

$$\int_{\Omega} |\xi| dP < \infty.$$

Then

$$E(\xi) = \int_{\Omega} \xi dP$$

exists and is called the *expectation* of  $\xi$ . The family of integrable random variables  $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  will be denoted by  $L^1$  or, in case of possible ambiguity, by  $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

### Example 1.3

The *indicator function*  $1_A$  of a set  $A$  is equal to 1 on  $A$  and 0 on the complement  $\Omega \setminus A$  of  $A$ . For any event  $A$

$$E(1_A) = \int_{\Omega} 1_A dP = P(A).$$

We say that  $\eta : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a *step function* if

$$\eta = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i 1_{A_i},$$

where  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$  are real numbers and  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are pairwise disjoint events.

Then

$$E(\eta) = \int_{\Omega} \eta dP = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i \int_{\Omega} 1_{A_i} dP = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i P(A_i).$$

### Exercise 1.7

Show that for any Borel function  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h(\xi)$  is integrable

$$E(h(\xi)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x) dP_{\xi}(x).$$

*Hint* First verify the equality for step functions  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then for non-negative ones by approximating them by step functions, and finally for arbitrary Borel functions by splitting them into positive and negative parts.

In particular, Exercise 1.7 implies that if  $\xi$  has an absolutely continuous distribution with density  $f_{\xi}$ , then

$$E(h(\xi)) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(x) f_{\xi}(x) dx.$$

If  $\xi$  has a discrete distribution with (finitely or infinitely many) pairwise distinct values  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ , then

$$E(h(\xi)) = \sum_i h(x_i) P\{\xi = x_i\}.$$

### Definition 1.10

A random variable  $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called *square integrable* if

$$\int_{\Omega} |\xi|^2 dP < \infty.$$

Then the *variance* of  $\xi$  can be defined by

$$\text{var}(\xi) = \int_{\Omega} (\xi - E(\xi))^2 dP.$$

The family of square integrable random variables  $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  will be denoted by  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  or, if no ambiguity is possible, simply by  $L^2$ .

### Remark 1.2

The result in Exercise 1.8 below shows that we may write  $E(\xi)$  in the definition of variance.

### Exercise 1.8

Show that if  $\xi$  is a square integrable random variable, then it is integrable.

*Hint* Use the Schwarz inequality

$$[E(\xi\eta)]^2 \leq E(\xi^2) E(\eta^2) \quad (1.1)$$

with an appropriately chosen  $\eta$ .

### Exercise 1.9

Show that if  $\eta : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a non-negative square integrable random variable, then

$$E(\eta^2) = 2 \int_0^\infty tP(\eta > t) dt.$$

*Hint* Express  $E(\eta^2)$  in terms of the distribution function  $F_\eta(t)$  of  $\eta$  and then integrate by parts.

## 1.3 Conditional Probability and Independence

### Definition 1.11

For any events  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $P(B) \neq 0$  the *conditional probability* of  $A$  given  $B$  is defined by

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}.$$

### Exercise 1.10

Prove the *total probability formula*

$$P(A) = P(A|B_1)P(B_1) + P(A|B_2)P(B_2) + \dots$$

for any event  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and any sequence of pairwise disjoint events  $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots = \Omega$  and  $P(B_n) \neq 0$  for any  $n$ .

*Hint*  $A = (A \cap B_1) \cup (A \cap B_2) \cup \dots$ .

### Definition 1.12

Two events  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  are called *independent* if

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B).$$

In general, we say that  $n$  events  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{F}$  are *independent* if

$$P(A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}) = P(A_{i_1})P(A_{i_2}) \dots P(A_{i_k})$$



for any indices  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ .

### Exercise 1.11

Let  $P(B) \neq 0$ . Show that  $A$  and  $B$  are independent events if and only if  $P(A|B) = P(A)$ .

*Hint* If  $P(B) \neq 0$ , then you can divide by it.

### Definition 1.13

Two random variables  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are called *independent* if for any Borel sets  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  the two events

$$\{\xi \in A\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\eta \in B\}$$

are independent. We say that  $n$  random variables  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  are *independent* if for any Borel sets  $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  the events

$$\{\xi_1 \in B_1\}, \dots, \{\xi_n \in B_n\}$$

are independent. In general, a (finite or infinite) family of random variables is said to be *independent* if any finite number of random variables from this family are independent.

### Proposition 1.1

If two integrable random variables  $\xi, \eta : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are independent, then they are *uncorrelated*, i.e.

$$E(\xi\eta) = E(\xi)E(\eta),$$

provided that the product  $\xi\eta$  is also integrable. If  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are independent integrable random variables, then

$$E(\xi_1\xi_2 \cdots \xi_n) = E(\xi_1)E(\xi_2) \cdots E(\xi_n),$$

provided that the product  $\xi_1\xi_2 \cdots \xi_n$  is also integrable.

### Definition 1.14

Two  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  contained in  $\mathcal{F}$  are called *independent* if any two events

$$A \in \mathcal{G} \quad \text{and} \quad B \in \mathcal{H}$$

are independent. Similarly, any finite number of  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_n$  contained in  $\mathcal{F}$  are *independent* if any  $n$  events

$$A_1 \in \mathcal{G}_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$$

are independent. In general, a (finite or infinite) family of  $\sigma$ -fields is said to be *independent* if any finite number of them are independent.

### Exercise 1.12

Show that two random variables  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are independent if and only if the  $\sigma$ -fields  $\sigma(\xi)$  and  $\sigma(\eta)$  generated by them are independent.

*Hint* The events in  $\sigma(\xi)$  and  $\sigma(\eta)$  are of the form  $\{\xi \in A\}$ , and  $\{\eta \in B\}$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are Borel sets.

Sometimes it is convenient to talk of independence for a combination of random variables and  $\sigma$ -fields.

### Definition 1.15

We say that a random variable  $\xi$  is *independent* of a  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{G}$  if the  $\sigma$ -fields

$$\sigma(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}$$

are independent. This can be extended to any (finite or infinite) family consisting of random variables or  $\sigma$ -fields or a combination of them both. Namely, such a family is called *independent* if for any finite number of random variables  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$  and  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_n$  from this family the  $\sigma$ -fields

$$\sigma(\xi_1), \dots, \sigma(\xi_m), \mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_n$$

are independent.

## 1.4 Solutions

### Solution 1.1

If  $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$ , then

$$A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots = A_1 \cup (A_2 \setminus A_1) \cup (A_3 \setminus A_2) \cup \dots,$$

where the sets  $A_1, A_2 \setminus A_1, A_3 \setminus A_2, \dots$  are pairwise disjoint. Therefore, by the definition of probability measure

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots) &= P(A_1 \cup (A_2 \setminus A_1) \cup (A_3 \setminus A_2) \cup \dots) \\ &= P(A_1) + P(A_2 \setminus A_1) + P(A_3 \setminus A_2) + \dots \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds because the partial sums in the series above are

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_1) + P(A_2 \setminus A_1) + \dots + P(A_n \setminus A_{n-1}) &= P(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n) \\ &= P(A_n). \end{aligned}$$

If  $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots$ , then the equality

$$P(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n)$$

follows by taking the complements of  $A_n$  and applying De Morgan's law

$$\Omega \setminus (A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots) = (\Omega \setminus A_1) \cup (\Omega \setminus A_2) \cup \dots$$

### Solution 1.2

Since  $B_n$  is a contracting sequence of events, the results of Exercise 1.1 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} P(B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \dots) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(B_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n \cup A_{n+1} \cup \dots) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (P(A_n) + P(A_{n+1}) + \dots) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds because the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n)$  is convergent. The inequality above holds by the subadditivity property

$$P(A_n \cup A_{n+1} \cup \dots) \leq P(A_n) + P(A_{n+1}) + \dots$$

It follows that  $P(B_1 \cap B_2 \cap \dots) = 0$ .

### Solution 1.3

If  $B$  is a Borel set in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Borel function, then  $f^{-1}(B)$  is also a Borel set. Therefore

$$\{f(\xi) \in B\} = \{\xi \in f^{-1}(B)\}$$

belongs to the  $\sigma$ -field  $\sigma(\xi)$  generated by  $\xi$ . It follows that the composition  $f(\xi)$  is  $\sigma(\xi)$ -measurable.

**Solution 1.4**

If  $x \leq y$ , then  $\{\xi \leq x\} \subset \{\xi \leq y\}$ , so

$$F_\xi(x) = P\{\xi \leq x\} \leq P\{\xi \leq y\} = F_\xi(y).$$

This means that  $F_\xi$  is non-decreasing.

Next, we take any sequence  $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots$  and put

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x.$$

Then the events

$$\{\xi \leq x_1\} \supset \{\xi \leq x_2\} \supset \dots$$

form a contracting sequence with intersection

$$\{\xi \leq x\} = \{\xi \leq x_1\} \cap \{\xi \leq x_2\} \cap \dots.$$

It follows by Exercise 1.1 that

$$F_\xi(x) = P\{\xi \leq x\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{\xi \leq x_n\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_\xi(x_n).$$

This proves that  $F_\xi$  is right-continuous.

Since the events

$$\{\xi \leq -1\} \supset \{\xi \leq -2\} \supset \dots$$

form a contracting sequence with intersection  $\emptyset$  and

$$\{\xi \leq 1\} \subset \{\xi \leq 2\} \subset \dots$$

form an expanding sequence with union  $\Omega$ , it follows by Exercise 1.1 that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F_\xi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_\xi(-n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{\xi \leq -n\} = P(\emptyset) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F_\xi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_\xi(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{\xi \leq n\} = P(\Omega) = 1,$$

since  $F_\xi$  is non-decreasing.

**Solution 1.5**

If  $\xi$  has a density  $f_\xi$ , then the distribution function  $F_\xi$  can be written as

$$F_\xi(x) = P\{\xi \leq x\} = \int_{-\infty}^x f_\xi(y) dy.$$

Therefore, if  $f_\xi$  is continuous at  $x$ , then  $F_\xi$  is differentiable at  $x$  and

$$\frac{d}{dx} F_\xi(x) = f_\xi(x).$$

**Solution 1.6**

If  $s < t$  are real numbers such that  $x_i \notin (s, t]$  for any  $i$ , then

$$F_\xi(t) - F_\xi(s) = P\{\xi \leq t\} - P\{\xi \leq s\} = P\{\xi \in (s, t]\} = 0,$$

i.e.  $F_\xi(s) = F_\xi(t)$ . Because  $F_\xi$  is non-decreasing, this means that  $F_\xi$  is constant on  $(s, t]$ . To show that  $F_\xi$  has a jump of size  $P\{\xi = x_i\}$  at each  $x_i$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \searrow x_i} F_\xi(t) - \lim_{s \nearrow x_i} F_\xi(s) &= \lim_{t \searrow x_i} P\{\xi \leq t\} - \lim_{s \nearrow x_i} P\{\xi \leq s\} \\ &= P\{\xi \leq x_i\} - P\{\xi < x_i\} = P\{\xi = x_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Solution 1.7**

If  $h$  is a step function,

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i 1_{A_i},$$

where  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  are real numbers and  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are pairwise disjoint Borel sets covering  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} E(h(\xi)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n h_i E(1_{A_i}(\xi)) = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i P\{\xi \in A_i\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n h_i P_\xi(A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{A_i} h(x) dP_\xi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x) dP_\xi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Next, any non-negative Borel function  $h$  can be approximated by a non-decreasing sequence of step functions. For such an  $h$  the result follows by the monotone convergence of integrals. Finally, this implies the desired equality for all Borel functions  $h$ , since each can be split into its positive and negative parts,  $h = h^+ - h^-$ , where  $h^+, h^- \geq 0$ .

**Solution 1.8**

By the Schwarz inequality (1.1) with  $\eta = 1$ , if  $\xi$  is square integrable, then

$$[E(|\xi|)]^2 = [E(1|\xi|)]^2 \leq E(1^2) E(\xi^2) = E(\xi^2) < \infty,$$

i.e.  $\xi$  is integrable.

**Solution 1.9**

Let  $F(t) = P\{\eta \leq t\}$  be the distribution function of  $\eta$ . Then

$$E(\eta^2) = \int_0^\infty t^2 dF(t).$$

Since  $P(\eta > t) = 1 - F(t)$ , we need to show that

$$\int_0^\infty t^2 dF(t) = 2 \int_0^\infty t(1 - F(t)) dt \quad (1.2)$$

First, let us establish a version of (1.2) with  $\infty$  replaced by a finite number  $a$ . Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^a t^2 dF(t) &= \int_0^a t^2 d(F(t) - 1) \\ &= t^2(F(t) - 1)\Big|_0^a - 2 \int_0^a t(F(t) - 1) dt \\ &= -a^2(1 - F(a)) + 2 \int_0^a t(1 - F(t)) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

We see that (1.2) follows from (1.3), provided that

$$a^2(1 - F(a)) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

But

$$0 \leq a^2(1 - F(a)) = a^2 P(\eta > a) \leq (n+1)^2 P(\eta > n) \leq 4n^2 P(\eta \geq n),$$

where  $n$  is the integer part of  $a$ , and

$$E(\eta^2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\{k \leq \eta \leq k+1\}} \eta^2 dP < \infty.$$

Hence,

$$n^2 P(\eta \geq n) \leq \int_{\{\eta \geq n\}} \eta^2 dP = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \int_{\{k \leq \eta < k+1\}} \eta^2 dP \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.5)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which proves (1.4).

### Solution 1.10

Since  $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots = \Omega$ ,

$$A = A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots) = (A \cap B_1) \cup (A \cap B_2) \cup \dots,$$

where

$$(A \cap B_i) \cap (A \cap B_j) = A \cap (B_i \cap B_j) = A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset.$$

By countable additivity

$$\begin{aligned} P(A) &= P((A \cap B_1) \cup (A \cap B_2) \cup \dots) \\ &= P(A \cap B_1) + P(A \cap B_2) + \dots \\ &= P(A|B_1)P(B_1) + P(A|B_2)P(B_2) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

**Solution 1.11**

If  $P(B) \neq 0$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  are independent if and only if

$$P(A) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}.$$

In turn, this equality holds if and only if  $P(A) = P(A|B)$ .

**Solution 1.12**

The  $\sigma$ -fields  $\sigma(\xi)$  and  $\sigma(\eta)$  consist, respectively, of events of the form

$$\{\xi \in A\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\eta \in B\},$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are Borel sets in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,  $\sigma(\xi)$  and  $\sigma(\eta)$  are independent if and only if the events  $\{\xi \in A\}$ , and  $\{\eta \in B\}$  are independent for any Borel sets  $A$  and  $B$ , which in turn is equivalent to  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  being independent.



<http://www.springer.com/978-3-540-76175-4>

Basic Stochastic Processes  
A Course Through Exercises  
Brzezniak, Z.; Zastawniak, T.  
1999, X, 226 p., Softcover  
ISBN: 978-3-540-76175-4