15.9 Urethral Trauma
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15.9.1 Anatomical and Etiological Considerations

The male urethra is divided into the anterior and posterior sections by the urogenital diaphragm. The posterior urethra consists of the prostatic and the membranous urethra (Fig. 15.9.1). The anterior urethra consists of the bulbar and penile urethra. Only the posterior urethra exists in the female; the anterior urethra corre-

15.9.1.1 Posterior Urethral Injuries

Injuries to the posterior urethra occur with pelvic fractures, which are commonly caused by road traffic accidents, crush injuries, or falls from height. Approximately two-thirds (70%) of pelvic fractures occur as a result of motor vehicle accidents, with an incidence of 20% in fatal motor accidents, as a driver or passenger, and nearly 50% in fatal pedestrian accidents. Twenty-five per cent of cases present as a result of a fall from a height (Koraitim et al. 1996; Sevitt 1968). Altogether, blunt trauma accounts for more than 90% of urethral injuries (Dixon 1996). Overall, the male posterior urethra is concomitantly injured in approximately 3.5%–19% and the female urethra in 0%–6% of all

Fig. 15.9.1. Anatomy of the male urethra (© Hohenfellner 2007)

Specifically with a crush or deceleration impact injury, the severe shearing forces necessary to fracture the pelvis are transmitted to the prostatomembranous junction, resulting in disruption of the prostate from its connection to the anterior urethra at the prostatic apex. Retrograde urethrography and magnetic resonance imaging have been correlated with this location of the injury (Colapinto and McCallum 1977; Dixon et al. 1992). Recent cadaveric anatomic studies suggest that in most cases the membranous urethra is torn distally to the urogenital diaphragm (Mouraview and Santucci 2005).

Accurate knowledge of the functional anatomy of the sphincter mechanism is essential to the success of posterior urethral surgery. The feasibility of anastomotic reconstruction of subprostatic pelvic fracture urethral distraction defects depends upon the independent function of the proximal bladder neck and of the distal urethral sphincter mechanism, each of which is competent and independently capable of maintaining continence in the absence of the other (Turner-Warwick 1973).

In order to accurately diagnose and treat pelvic ring disruptions, the surgeon must have a concept of pelvic stability, which should be determined in both the horizontal and vertical planes. A mechanically stable pelvis is defined as one that can withstand normal physiological forces without abnormal deformation (Tile and Pennal 1980). The degree of instability is best indicated by the disruption and posterior displacement at the sacroiliac area and is of extreme importance as a prognostic indicator for the general resuscitation of the patient (Pennal et al. 1980). The anteroposterior and lateral compression types of fracture, while vastly different, may be associated with both stable and unstable subtypes.

The vertical shear fracture is always unstable. The latter described by Malgaigne in 1855 consists of a fracture anteriorly through both rami of the symphysis pubis, in association with massive posterior disruption, either through the sacrum, the sacroiliac joint, or the ilium.

**Stable Pelvic Fracture**

In a stable pelvic fracture, urethral disruption can occur when the large external force, which has fractured two or all four pelvic rami (straddle fracture), propels the resultant butterfly fragment backward together with the prostate, which is fixed to the back of the pubic bone (Fig. 15.9.2). The shearing force that results disrupts the membranous urethra, as it passes through the perineum and inevitably destroys the distal urethral sphincter mechanism in almost all such cases.

**Unstable Pelvic Fractures**

Unstable fractures that involve the anterior part of the pubic ring and the sacroiliac joint, ileum, or sacrum can also cause injuries to the posterior urethra, either as a result of tears by bony fractures or, more commonly, as a result of disruptions of the urethra caused by distortions of the bony pelvis during major trauma.

This distortion is thought to result in lateral shearing forces, acting on the membranous urethra, as the puboprostatic ligaments and the membranous urethral area are pulled in opposite directions (Pokorny et al. 1978). Unstable diametric pelvic fractures (Conolly and Hedbert 1969; Devine and Devine 1982; Flaherty et al. 1968; Palmer et al. 1983; Pokorny et al. 1978) or bilateral ischiopubic rami fractures have the highest likelihood of injuring the posterior urethra. In particular, the combination of straddle fractures with diastasis of the sacroiliac joint has the highest risk of urethral injury; the odds ratio is about seven times higher than for straddle or Malgaigne fractures (Table 15.9.1) (Fig. 15.9.3) (Koraitim et al. 1996).

Lower urinary tract injury has been reported in about 16% of patients with unilateral rami fractures, but in 41% of patients with bilateral rami fractures (Zorn 1960). Anteroposterior compression injuries from frontal crushes produce more severe pelvic fractures, major retroperitoneal bleeding, and more frequent injury to the lower urinary tract than do lateral crashes (Siegel et al. 1990).
Table 15.9.1. Odds ratio of urethral injury with different types of pelvic fracture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of fracture</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single ramus</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ipsilateral rami</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malgaigne's</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straddle</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straddle plus sacroiliac</td>
<td>24.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15.9.2. Organ injury scaling III classification of urethral injuries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Contusion</td>
<td>Blood at the urethral meatus; normal urethrogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Stretch injury</td>
<td>Elongation of the urethra without extravasation on urethrography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Partial disruption</td>
<td>Extravasation of contrast at injury site with contrast visualized in the bladder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Complete disruption</td>
<td>Extravasation of contrast at injury site without visualization in the bladder; &lt; 2 cm of urethral separation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Complete disruption</td>
<td>Complete transection with &gt; 2 cm urethral separation, or extension into the prostate or vagina</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Moore et al. 1992

Table 15.9.3 presents a summary of the different types of blunt trauma of the posterior and anterior urethra, along with their radiographic appearance and different treatment alternatives.

**Urethral Injuries in Children**

Urethral injuries in children tend to follow the same mechanism of injury as in adults. The only significant difference is that straddle pelvic fractures, Malgaigne’s fractures, or the association of straddle plus sacroiliac joint fracture are more common in children than in adults. In addition, posterior urethral injuries can involve the prostatic urethra and the bladder neck, as well as the membranous urethra. The tear is often in the prostatic urethra or at the bladder neck because of the rudimentary nature of the prostate and is more likely to be a complete rupture (69% vs 42%). Urethral stretching is less common than in adults. It has been shown that the more proximal the injury, the greater the risk of incontinence, impotence, and stricture formation in the long term (Chapple and Png 1999; Koraitim 1997, 1999; Koraitim et al. 1996).

**Urethral Injuries in Women**

These are rare events since the female urethra is short and mobile, without any significant attachments to the pubic bone. They usually occur in children and are accompanied by severe pelvic fractures, where bony fragments of the fractured pelvis provoke lacerations of the urethra, frequently extending into the bladder neck or vagina, and disrupting the normal continence mechanism (Hemal et al. 2000; Perry and Husmann 1992). Injury to the female urethra is usually a partial tear of the anterior wall and is rarely a complete disruption of the proximal or distal urethra (Koraitim 1999).
Table 15.9.3. Different types of blunt trauma of the posterior and anterior urethra, their radiographic appearance and different treatment alternatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I Stretch injury. Elongation of the urethra without extravasation on urethrography</td>
<td>No treatment required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II Contusion. Blood at the urethral meatus; no extravasation on urethrography</td>
<td>Conservative management with supra-pubic cystostomy or urethral catheterization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III Partial disruption of anterior or posterior urethra. Extravasation of contrast at injury site with contrast visualized in the proximal urethra or bladder</td>
<td>Conservative management with supra-pubic cystostomy or urethral catheterization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV Complete disruption of anterior urethra. Extravasation of contrast at injury site without visualization of proximal urethra or bladder</td>
<td>Conservative management with supra-pubic cystostomy. Open or endoscopic treatment, primary or delayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V Complete disruption of posterior urethra. Extravasation of contrast at injury site without visualization of bladder</td>
<td>Conservative management with supra-pubic cystostomy. Open or endoscopic treatment, primary or delayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI Complete or partial disruption of posterior urethra with associated tear of the bladder neck or vagina</td>
<td>Primary open repair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Penetrating Injuries to the Perineum

These can occur involving the urethra, as well as being iatrogenic injuries caused by endoscopic instrumentation or during surgery for vaginal repair. In developing countries, urethral and bladder neck damage occur quite often as a result of ischemic injury during obstructed labor.

15.9.1.2 Anterior Urethral Injuries

Anterior urethral injuries result from blunt trauma more frequently than from penetrating trauma (Table 15.9.4).

Blunt Trauma

Most anterior urethral injuries are caused by vehicular accidents, falls, or blows; in contrast to posterior urethral trauma, they are rarely associated with pelvic fractures. They are usually straddle-type injuries caused by blows of blunt objects against the perineum, such as bicycle handlebars or the top of a fence. In this type of accident, the relatively immobile bulbular urethra is trapped and compressed by a direct force on it against the inferior surface of the symphysis pubis. These injuries are more common in children than adults (Koraitim 1997).

Intercourse-Related Trauma

Another less frequent cause of blunt anterior urethral trauma occurs in association with ruptures of the corpora cavernosa, which usually occur with an erect penis, often during intercourse (Fig. 15.9.4). In these injuries, the urethra is involved in 20% of the cases (Nicolaisen et al. 1983). Intraluminal stimulation of the urethra with foreign objects has also been reported to cause anterior urethral trauma. Most are short and incomplete and occur in the distal penile urethra. Surgery is rarely indicated and depends on the degree and extent of injury to the urethra.

Table 15.9.4. Etiology of anterior urethral injuries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blunt trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicular accidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall astride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kicks in the perineum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blows in the perineum from bicycle handlebars, tops of fences, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual intercourse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penile fractures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urethral intraluminal stimulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constriction bands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penetrating trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunshot wounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stab wounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog bites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External impalement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penile amputations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constriction bands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraplegia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iatrogenic injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopic instrumentations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urethral catheters, dilators</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Penetrating Trauma

Penetrating injuries to the anterior urethra usually occur from gunshot wounds and involve the pendulous and bulbar urethral segments equally; these injuries are often found with penetrating penile or testicular trauma, depending on the missile tract (Figs. 15.9.5, 15.9.6). These can involve the rectum, which may result in pelvic abscesses and fistulae formation. (Gomez et al. 1993; Pontes and Pierce 1978). Other less frequent causes of external anterior urethral injuries include stab wounds, animal bites (Fig. 15.9.7), penile amputation, and external impalement.

Constriction Band-Related Trauma

Individuals with paraplegia, who use a constriction device for urinary incontinence and forget to release the band due to the lack of sensation, can cause severe ischemic injuries involving the penis and urethra (Fig. 15.9.8).

Iatrogenic Trauma

Iatrogenic urethral injuries caused by instrumentation are by far the most common cause of urethral trauma. Urethral ischemic injuries related to cardiac bypass procedures are not infrequent and can result in long and fibrotic strictures.
Fig. 15.9.6a–c. Gunshot wound to the penis. The bullet went through the corpora cavernosa, superficially damaged the urethral spongy tissue, and ended in the subcutaneous tissue of the right thigh. Urethroplasty was not required. 

a and b Show external aspect at admission. 

c CT scan showing bullet in the posterior aspect of the right thigh. (Courtesy of Dr. J.J. López-Tello)

Fig. 15.9.8a, b. Ischemic necrosis of penis due to plastic constriction device (neck of a plastic bottle) used to improve erections (Courtesy of Dr. S. Luengo)

Fig. 15.9.7. Dog bite with urethral laceration at the penoscrotal angle that required immediate open repair.
15.9.2
Diagnosis: Initial Emergency Assessment

15.9.2.1
Clinical Assessment

The initial management of all urethral injuries is resuscitation of the patient as a result of associated possibly life-threatening injuries. In the absence of blood at the meatus or hematoma, a urological injury is very unlikely and will be rapidly excluded by catheterization that promptly occurs in all major trauma victims as part of the process of resuscitation. Airway and respiratory function are maintained, the cervical spine secured in case of polytrauma, and excessive hemorrhage addressed. This is particularly important in posterior urethral injuries because of their close association with pelvic fractures.

The next step includes taking a complete history and carrying out physical, laboratory, and radiographic evaluations in order to identify all injuries accurately. A diagnosis of acute urethral trauma should be suspected from the history. A pelvic fracture, or any external penile or perineal trauma, can be suggestive of urethral trauma (Armenakas and McAninch 1994, 1996).

For penetrating injuries, the type of weapon used, including the caliber of the bullet with gunshot wounds, is helpful in assessing potential tissue damage. In the conscious patient, a thorough voiding history should be obtained to establish the time of last urination, force of urinary stream, painful urination, and presence of hematuria. The following clinical indicators of acute urethral trauma warrant a complete urethral evaluation:

- Blood at the meatus
  Blood at the meatus is present in 37%–93% of patients with posterior urethral injury and at least 75% of patients with anterior urethral trauma (Lim and Chng 1989; McAninch 1981). Its presence should preclude any attempts at urethral instrumentation, until the entire urethra is adequately imaged. In an unstable patient, an attempt can be made to pass a urethral catheter, but if there is any difficulty a suprapubic catheter is inserted and a retrograde urethrogram performed when appropriate. It is extremely unlikely that gentle passage of a urethral catheter will do any additional damage to that caused by a fracture of the pelvis (Mundy 1996; Venn and Mundy 1998), although it has been suggested that this may convert a partial tear into one that is complete (Corriere and Harris 1981). There are no convincing data indicating a higher rate of infection or urethral stricture after a single attempt at catheterization (Dixon 1996). Indeed, if a urethral injury is suspected, urethrography prior to attempted catheterization is the most prudent approach.

- Blood at the vaginal introitus
  Blood at the vaginal introitus is present in more than 80% of female patients with pelvic fractures and co-existing urethral injuries (Perry and Husmann 1992).

- Hematuria
  Although nonspecific, hematuria on a first voided specimen may indicate urethral injury. The amount of urethral bleeding correlates poorly with the severity of injury, as a mucosal contusion or small partial tear may be accompanied by copious bleeding, while total transection of the urethra may result in little bleeding (Antoci and Schiff 1982).

- Pain on urination or inability to void
  The inability to void suggests urethral disruption.

- Hematoma or swelling
  With anterior urethral trauma, the pattern of the hematoma can be useful in identifying the anatomical boundaries violated by the injury. Extravasation of blood or urine in a sleeve distribution along the penile shaft indicates that the injury is confined by Buck’s fascia. Disruption of Buck’s fascia results in a pattern of extravasation limited only by Colles fascia, extending therefore up to the coracoclavicular fascia superiorly and the fascia lata inferiorly (Fig. 15.9.9). This results in a characteristic butterfly pattern of bruising in the perineum. In female patients with severe pelvic fractures, the presence

Fig. 15.9.9a, b. Large genital hematoma limited by Colles fascia
of labial swelling may be an indicator of urethral injury. It can be caused by urinary extravasation from a urethral fistula and warrants immediate attention.

- High-riding prostate
  This is a relatively unreliable finding in the acute phase, since the pelvic hematoma associated with pelvic fractures often precludes the adequate palpation of a small prostate, particularly in younger men (Dixon 1996). A boggy mass is usually palpated without recognition of a prostate gland (Fallon et al. 1984). Rectal examination is more important as a tool to screen for rectal injuries, which can be associated with pelvic fractures. Blood on the examination finger is highly suggestive of such an injury. Assessment of concomitant genital injuries is mandatory in every case of external urethral trauma as well.

### 15.9.2.2 Radiographic Examination

Retrograde urethrography is considered the gold standard for evaluating urethral injury. A scout film should be taken first to assess the radiographic technique and to detect pelvic fractures, as well as the presence of any foreign bodies such as bullets or stones, which may not be delineated once the contrast material has been given. This is taken using a 12- or 14-F Foley catheter in the fossa navicularis, with the balloon inflated using 1–2 ml of saline to occlude the urethra. Then, 20–30 ml of undiluted contrast material is injected and films taken during the injection in a 30°oblique position. When severe pelvic fractures and associated patient discomfort are present, the oblique position may not always be possible. Radiographic appearance of the urethra permits classification of the injury and facilitates subsequent management.

If posterior urethral injury is suspected, a suprapubic catheter is inserted; a simultaneous cystogram and ascending urethrogram can be carried out at a later date to assess the site, severity, and length of the urethral injury. This is usually done within 1 week of injury, if primary repair is contemplated, or after 3 months if a delayed or late repair is considered (Fig. 15.9.10).

When the proximal urethra is not visualized in a simultaneous cystogram and urethrogram, either magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the posterior urethra (McAninch 1996) or endoscopy through the suprapubic tract can be used (Fig. 15.9.11) to define the anatomy of the posterior urethra. Since manipulation in the bladder can cause the bladder neck to open and give the false impression of incompetence; consequently, the endoscopic appearance of the bladder neck should be noted immediately on placing the scope into the bladder (Jordan 1996).

After assessing the endoscopic appearance of the bladder neck, the flexible endoscope can be advanced through the bladder neck into the posterior urethra to the level of obstruction. If there is a question regarding the length of the distraction, a simultaneous retrograde
urethrogram can be performed while the endoscope is in the posterior urethra. The radiographic appearance of the bladder neck is important but not as reliable an indicator of continence as the endoscopic appearance. Furthermore, there are patients who, despite evidence of an open bladder neck or a scar at the bladder neck, will have acceptable continence after reconstruction. For this reason, concomitant bladder neck surgery at the time of urethral reconstruction is debatable (Iselin and Webster 1999; Jordan 1996).

Ultrasonography is not a routine investigation in the initial assessment of urethral injuries but can be very useful in determining the position of the pelvic hematomas and the high-riding bladder when a suprapubic catheter is indicated.

Computed tomography and MRI have no place in the initial assessment of urethral injuries. However, they are useful in defining the distorted pelvic anatomy after severe injury and assessing associated injuries of penile crura, bladder, kidneys, and intraabdominal organs (Dixon et al. 1992; Kane et al. 1989).

15.9.2.3 Endoscopic Examination

Urethroscopy has no role in the initial diagnosis of urethral trauma in males. In females, however, where the short urethra precludes adequate retrograde urethrography, urethroscopy is an important adjunct to the physical examination for the identification and staging of urethral injuries (McAninch 1992).

15.9.3 Management

The management of urethral injuries remains controversial due to the variety of injury patterns, associated injuries, and treatment options available. In addition, urethral injuries are relatively uncommon; hence the limited experience of most urologists worldwide and absence of randomized prospective studies.

15.9.3.1 Anterior Urethral Injuries

Blunt Injuries

Partial tears can be managed with a suprapubic catheter or with urethral catheterization. Suprapubic cystostomy has the advantage of not only diverting the urine away from the site of injury, but also avoiding urethral manipulation (Glassberg et al. 1979). In addition, it allows for a simultaneous study to be carried out at a later date. If the bladder is not easily palpable suprapubically, transabdominal sonography should be used to guide the placement of the catheter. The cystostomy tube is maintained for approximately 4 weeks to allow urethral healing. Voiding cystourethrography is then performed and if normal voiding can be reestablished and no contrast extravasation nor subsequent stricture is present, then the tube can be safely removed.

The potential early complications of acute urethral injuries include strictures and infections. Extravasated blood or urine from the urethral tear produces an inflammatory reaction that can progress to the formation of an abscess. Extension of the infection depends on the fascial planes violated (see Sect. 15.9.2.1 above). Potential sequelae of these infections include urethrocutaneous fistulae, periurethral diverticula, and, rarely, necrotizing fasciitis. Prompt urinary diversion coupled with the appropriate administration of antibiotics decreases the incidence of these complications.

After the patient has adequately recovered from any associated injuries, and the urethral injury has stabilized, the urethra can be thoroughly reevaluated radiographically and, when necessary, the appropriate reconstructive procedure planned. Blunt anterior urethral injuries are associated with important spongiosa contusion, which makes it more difficult to evaluate the limits of urethral debridement in the acute phase. Therefore, acute or early urethralplasty is not indicated and the best management is simply suprapubic diversion. Satisfactory urethral luminal recanalization occurs in approximately 50% of partial anterior urethral disruptions (Cass and Godec 1978; Jackson and Williams 1974). Short and flimsy strictures can be managed with optical urethrotomy or urethral dilation. Denser strictures require formal urethral reconstruction. Anastomotic urethroplasty is indicated in strictures less than 1 cm in length.

Longer strictures of the anterior urethra should not be repaired by an end-to-end anastomosis to avoid chordee. In these cases, flap or graft urethroplasty is indicated. Almost all complete ruptures of the anterior urethra require anastomotic or patch urethroplasty at 3–6 months (Figs. 15.9.12, 15.9.13). The only exception to this is urethral injury associated with penile fracture, which usually results in partial urethral disruption and can be repaired at the time of cavernosal closure.

Open Injuries

Male Urethral Injuries

Stab wounds, gunshot wounds, and dog bites to the urethra often involve the penis and testes, necessitating immediate exploration (Figs. 15.9.5–15.9.7). During this procedure, the urethral injury can be surgically evaluated and repaired as needed, limiting subsequent stricture formation to less than 15% (Husmann et al. 1993).

Primary urethral suturing involves direct visualization of the severed urethral ends, with creation of a wa-
Complete bulbar urethral stricture after a straddle injury. Result after delayed end-to-end anastomosis.

Partial traumatic bulbar urethral stricture treated unsuccessfully with internal visual urethrotomy in another center. Dorsal buccal mucosa graft urethroplasty gave good results. The stricture is too long for an end-to-end urethroplasty.

er-tight, tension-free repair. The exposure is obtained using a circumferential subcoronal incision to deglove the penis with the patient in the supine position. In complete disruptions, the corpus spongiosum is mobilized at the level of the injury and the urethral ends dissected distally and proximally. Urethral ends are spatulated and end-to-end anastomosis is fashioned over a 14-F Foley catheter. Small lacerations can be sutured with fine absorbable material. Attention is directed to overclosure of the corpus spongiosum and overlying tissues to minimize subsequent fistulae formation (Chapple and Png 1999). Urethral debridement should be kept to a minimum since the vascular characteristics of the corpus spongiosum will permit excellent healing with a properly performed urethroplasty.

Perioperative prophylactic antibiotics should be used to avoid infections. At 10 days to 2 weeks, a cystourethrogram should be obtained with the urethral cathe-
ter in situ. Provided there is no leakage at the anastomotic site, the urethral catheter can be removed. If there is leakage, then the catheter is left longer and the cystourethrogram should be repeated 1 week later.

If at the time of initial exploration, the urethra is found to be so extensively disrupted that primary anastomosis is not feasible – this occurs with defects over 1–1.5 cm length – the procedure should be aborted. The surgeon should marsupialize the urethra preparatory to a two-stage urethral repair with proximal suprapubic urinary diversion. A delayed elective procedure is usually carried out after a minimum of 3 months after injury. There is no role for urethral substitution, with either a graft or flap, in the initial management of any urethral injury, since contamination or decreased blood supply can compromise such a repair (Armenakas and McAninch 1996).

**Female Urethral Injuries**

Most female urethral disruptions can be sutured primarily. This is because these injuries occur more frequently in association with bladder ruptures, necessitating prompt exploration. For proximal urethral injuries, urethral exposure is best obtained transvesically, permitting direct visualization of the bladder, bladder neck, and proximal urethra. Distal urethral injuries can be approached via the vaginal route (Koraitim 1999). Early repair of posttraumatic urethral fistulas can be accomplished using a transvaginal approach (Hemal et al. 2000; Perry and Husmann 1992).

### 15.9.3.2 Posterior Urethral Injuries

It is important to make a distinction between posterior urethral stricture and a subprostatic pelvic fracture urethral distraction defect, as the principles of their surgical management are entirely different. Urethral stricture should be used to indicate a narrowing of the urethral continuity, such as sphincter strictures due to instrumentation or partial urethral tears. In subprostatic complete urethral fractures, a urethral distraction defect exists and there is a gap between the prostatic apex or membranous urethra and the bulbar urethral end. The dismembered end of the urethra retracts and the space between them is filled with fibrous tissue, resulting from organization and healing of the hematoma and urinary extravasation. There is no urethral wall in the scarred space and any lumen represents merely a fistulous tract between the urethral ends. A further difference with inflammatory strictures is that urethral ends, regardless of the length of the distraction defect, present very limited fibrosis and when reanastomosed without tension, usually heal with little potential for re-stricturing (Fig. 15.9.14) (Martínez-Piñeiro et al. 1997).

Erectile dysfunction occurs in 20%–60% of patients after traumatic posterior urethral rupture (Corriere 2001; Dhabuwala et al. 1990; Gibson 1970; King 1975; Martínez-Piñeiro et al. 1997). Available data suggest that the severity of the initial injury is the most important determining factor associated with impotence. Only 5% of complete erectile dysfunction follows as a consequence of open surgical repair (Martínez-Piñeiro et al. 1997; Webster 1990). King reported an incidence of 42% in cases of pelvic fracture and urethral injury, but only 5% when the urethra was not injured (King 1975). Barbagli et al. reported an incidence of 60% in patients with posterior urethral injury compared with 14% in patients with bulbar injury (Barbagli et al. 1987).

Factors that correlate with the development of impotence are age, defect length, and the type of fracture; bilateral pubic rami fractures are the most frequent cause of impotence, which is almost always of neurogenic etiology due to bilateral damage of the cavernous nerves at the prostatomembranous urethra behind the symphysis pubis (Mark et al. 1995). Associated vasculogenic erectile failure may occur in up to 80% of cases (Armenakas et al. 1993). Dixon et al. presented evidence that impotence may also be a consequence of avulsion.

---

![Difference between urethral distraction defect and urethral stricture.](image)

**Fig. 15.9.14a, b.** Difference between urethral distraction defect and urethral stricture. *a* Urethral distraction defect.
of the corpora cavernosa from the ischium (Dixon et al. 1992). Five of six patients in this series, with avulsion of the corpora cavernosa off the inferior pubic ramus, were impotent. Spontaneous return of potency may occur up to 2 years after injury (McAninch 1996). Gibson reported an incidence of improved sexual function after 18 months in 21% of patients (Gibson 1970).

**Partial Urethral Rupture**

Partial tears of the posterior urethra can be managed in most cases with a suprapubic or urethral catheter and repeat retrograde urethrography at 2-week intervals until healing has occurred (Koraitim 1999; Venn and Mundy 1998). They may heal without significant scarring or obstruction if managed by diversion alone (Glassberg et al. 1979; Kielb et al. 2001). Any residual or subsequent stricture can be managed with urethral dilation or optical urethroscopy, if short and flimsy, or by anastomotic urethroplasty if denser (Chapple and Png 1999; Venn and Mundy 1998).

**Complete Urethral Rupture**

The treatment options available include primary realignment, immediate open urethroplasty, delayed primary urethroplasty, delayed urethroplasty, and delayed endoscopic incision.

---

**Primary Realignment**

Urethral realignment can be achieved either transpubically (open realignment) or with endoscopic techniques (endoscopic realignment).

**Primary Open Realignment**

In posterior urethral injuries associated with concomitant bladder neck or rectal injuries, immediate open exploration, repair and urethral realignment is advisable. Bladder neck injury risks incontinence and infection of the pelvic fractures. Rectal injury carries the obvious risk of sepsis and fistula, and early exploration is indicated to evacuate contaminated hematoma and perform colostomy. Urethral realignment over a stenting catheter is appropriate in such cases (Antoci and Schiff 1982; Berman and Tom 1974; Koraitim 1999; Muhlbauer and Bard 1980; Turner-Warwick 1989).

**Primary Endoscopic Realignment**

The overall condition of the patient and the extent of associated injuries greatly affect the decision to proceed with primary endoscopic realignment. Most patients with pelvic crush injuries have multiple organ injuries. Associated lower extremity fractures can prevent placement in the lithotomy position, which is often required for primary endoscopic realignment, while head injuries increase the adverse risks of anesthesia. If these conditions are controlled, such that a hemodynamically stable patient can safely undergo a lengthier anesthesia and can be placed in the lithotomy position.
Fig. 15.9.15. Endoscopic realignment in posterior urethral distraction defect by means of a flexible and rigid cystoscope (© Hohenfellner 2007)

position, endoscopic urethral realignment may be considered during the first 2 weeks after trauma (Fig. 15.9.15).

The proposed benefits of primary open or endoscopic alignment follow:

1. There is a lower stricture rate than with suprapubic catheter placement alone (69% vs 10%) (Webster et al. 1983). This avoids a second operation for urethral reconstruction in about one-third of patients (Dixon 1996).
2. If scarring occurs, restoration of urethral continuity is simplified and may be accomplished by endoscopic procedures or dilation.
3. If a urethroplasty is required later, it is technically easier when the prostate and urethra are well aligned.

The disadvantage of open realignment may be a higher incidence of erectile dysfunction and incontinence when compared to delayed reconstruction (Koraitim 1996; Webster et al. 1983). Webster studied 301 patients from 15 series in which primary realignment (open and endourologic) was used, and compared the incontinence, impotence, and stricture rates to those in 236 patients from five series, in which cystostomy and delayed repair was performed (Webster et al. 1983). In this series, primary realignment was performed with different endourologic and open techniques and cannot be used as a valid comparison between open and endoscopic primary realignment. Overall, impotence occurred in 44% of patients treated with primary alignment compared with 11% of patients treated with delayed repair. Incontinence was also higher after primary alignment (20% vs 2%). Stricture rates after cystostomy tube placement approach 100%, whereas after primary realignment, strictures occurred in 64% of patients. In most cases of delayed repair, a stricture-free anastomosis can be achieved with success rates greater than 90% (Coffield and Weems 1977; McAninch 198; Morehouse and Mackinnon 1980; Webster 1989; Webster et al. 1983). In an extensive review of the English literature for the last 50 years, Koraitim came to a similar conclusion. Primary realignment was found to double the incidence of impotence (36% vs 19%) and to have the incidence of stricture compared to suprapubic cystostomy and delayed repair (53% vs 97%) (Koraitim 1999). The implication was that primary realignment led to higher impotence and incontinence rates because of iatrogenic factors and thus should be avoided.

However, the series of primary realignment reviewed by Webster and Koraitim encompassed a number of techniques (open and endourologic), which may explain the poor results of their analysis, compared with those reported by other authors (Elliott and Barret 1997). Recent publications show that the injury itself and not the type of management is probably responsible for the loss of potency and continence after urethral trauma (Asci et al. 1999; Husmann et al. 1990; McAninch 1997; Morey and McAninch 1997). Elliott and Barret (1997) have reported on a series of 57 patients who underwent primary endoscopic urethral realignment, with a mean follow-up of 10.5 years: 21% had some degree of erectile dysfunction, 3.7% had mild stress incontinence, 68% had postalignment strictures. The effects on continence and potency with immediate endourologic realignment following urethral disruption were also reviewed by Kotkin and Koch (1996) in 20 nearly case-matched patients with posterior urethral injuries treated by primary surgical realignment.
or catheter placement. Continence was preserved in 83% and 80% of patients, respectively, while erectile function returned in 76% and 70%, respectively. A recent series reported by Mouraview et al. (2005) has also shown a lower incidence of impotence and incontinence in patients treated with early realignment than with delayed open reconstruction.

The great variation of techniques used in the past for primary realignment procedures confuses any comparison with delayed repair procedures (Follis et al. 1992; Herschorn et al. 1992; Porter et al. 1997). Primary realignment techniques have included:

- Simple passage of a catheter across the defect (Herschorn et al. 1992)
- Endoscopically assisted catheter realignment using flexible, rigid endoscopes and biplanar fluoroscopy (Gelbard et al. 1989; Guille et al. 1991)
- Use of interlocking sounds (“railroading”) or magnetic catheters to place the catheter (Porter et al. 1997)
- Pelvic hematoma evacuation and dissection of the prostatic apex (with or without suture anastomosis) over a catheter
- Catheter traction or perineal traction sutures to pull the prostate back to its normal location (Turner-Warwick 1977)

Realignment, even with traction, may be insufficient to join completely the margins of the severed urethra and 1.5- to 4-cm defects have been observed (Ragde and McInnes 1969). This finding agrees with experimental animal data, which show that when the urethra is transacted and apparently good urethral junction is achieved by catheter traction, there is no evidence of epithelialization of the mucosal gap but rather that the intervening area is filled with fibrous tissue (McRoberts and Radge 1970). Moreover, sustained traction on the balloon catheter has been noted to damage the only remaining sphincter mechanism at the bladder neck from pressure necrosis (Dixon 1996; Turner-Warwick 1989). If series that use true immediate urethral realignment with minimal traction and without suture repair bolsters only are included, the results with immediate realignment are much more favorable (Table 15.9.5).

This type of summary of the literature suggests that immediate realignment is associated with an impotence rate of approximately 35%, an incontinence rate of 5%, and a stricture rate of 60%.

Immediate Open Urethroplasty (<48 h After Injury)

Immediate open urethroplasty of posterior injuries is not indicated because of poor visualization and the inability to assess accurately the degree of urethral disruption during the acute phase, characterized by extensive swelling and ecchymosis. The difficulty in identifying structures and planes hamper adequate mobilization and subsequent surgical apposition (Chapple and Png 1999). Incontinence and impotence rates are high-

| Table 15.9.5. Results of immediate realignment in complete urethral disruption |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Series                           | No. of patients | Follow-up months (range) | Erectile dysfunction N (%) | Incontinence N (%) | Restricture rate N (%) |
| Gibson 1974                      | 35b             | NA                       | 12 (34)                     | 1 (3)               | 26 (74.3)               |
| Crassweller et al. 1977          | 38              | 24–240                   | 19/42 (45)                  | NA                 | 12 (31.6)               |
| Malek et al. 1977c               | 7               | 168 (96–264)             | 0                           | 0                   | 1 (14.3)                |
| Gelbard et al. 1989              | 7               | 10.2 (2–24)              | 1/6 (16.7)                  | 0                   | 2 (33)                  |
| Cohen et al. 1991                | 7               | 28 (17–35)               | 2 (50)                      | 0                   | 2 (50)                  |
| Melekos et al. 1992              | 4               | NA                       | 0                           | 0                   | 4 (100)                 |
| Follis et al. 1992               | 20              | 42 (1–36)                | 4 (20)                      | 2 (10)              | 12 (60)                 |
| El-Abd 1995                      | 44              | NA                       | 35 (79.5)                   | 0                   | 44 (100)                |
| Gheiler and Frontera 1997        | 3               | 6 (5–9)                  | 0                           | 0                   | 1 (33.3)                |
| Larderan et al. 1997             | 4               | 20.2 (12–35)             | 1 (25)                      | 0                   | 3 (75%)                 |
| Elliott and Barret 1997          | 53              | 126 (1–120)              | 11 (21)                     | 2 (3.8)             | 36 (68)                 |
| Porter et al. 1997               | 10              | 10.9 (2–31)              | 1/7 (14)                    | 0                   | 5 (50)                  |
| Rehman et al. 1998               | 3               | 11 (1–26)                | 1 (16.7)                    | 0                   | 2 (66.7)                |
| Sahin et al. 1998                | 5               | 31 (21–53)               | 1 (20)                      | 1 (20)              | 4 (80)                  |
| Tahan et al. 1999                | 13              | 29                       | 3 (23)                      | 0                   | 5 (38.5)                |
| Jeppson et al. 1999              | 8               | 50.4 (35–85)             | 3 (37.5)                    | 1 (12.5)            | 5 (62.5)                |
| Asci et al. 1999                 | 20              | 39 (19–78)               | 4 (20)                      | 2 (10)              | 9 (45)                  |
| Ying-Hao et al. 2000             | 4               | 56 (39–85)               | 0                           | 0                   | 1 (25)                  |
| Moudouni et al. 2001             | 23              | 68 (18–155)              | 4/29 (14)                   | 0                   | 16 (69.5)               |
| Mouraview et al. 2005            | 57              | <24 (2–15)               | 19/57 (34)                  | 10/57 (10)          | 28/57 (49)              |
| **Totals**                       | **362**         |                          | **130/368 (35.3)**         | **19/362 (5.2)**    | **218/362 (60.2)**     |

a Stricture that requires internal urethrotomy or open urethroplasty or more than 1 dilation
b Five patients with partial rupture, c Children, d Some partial ruptures included
er than with the other techniques described in this chapter (impotence 56%, incontinence 21%, stricture 49%) (Cass and Godec 1978; Koraitim 1996, 1999; Mundy 1991; Webster et al. 1983; Weems 1979).

**Delayed Primary Urethroplasty (2 – 14 Days After Injury)**

The management of complete posterior rupture of the urethra has changed in recent years. There is now more active orthopedic management of pelvic fractures with immediate external and internal fixation, and this has led to an option for early repairs of urethral injuries (Venn and Mundy 1998).

In the absence of indications for immediate exploration, the management of posterior urethral disruption can be in a delayed primary fashion. The delayed primary approach requires placement of a suprapubic tube at the time of initial injury, with repair undertaken when the patient is stable, usually within 10–14 days. This is a time when patients are stable and most pelvic bleeding has resolved. The aim of the delayed primary repair is to correct severe distraction injuries rather than to prevent a stricture occurring; however, it will also ensure that if it does occur it is easily treatable (Mundy 1991). Hematomas preventing adequate pelvic descent can be evacuated at this point. Repair at this time can be performed endoscopically, as well as through an abdominal or perineal approach (Cohen et al. 1991; Mundy 1991). Urethral repairs using one-stage perineal anastomotic urethroplasty offer a stricture-free rate of 80% (Mundy 1996). The progressive perineal technique usually employed in a delayed fashion after 3 or more months of suprapubic drainage is equally useful in the immediate postinjury period for delayed primary repair if the patient is able to tolerate the lithotomy position.

In female urethral disruption, delayed primary urethroplasty is probably the best approach, though no large experience exists. Fewer than 50 cases have been reported so far, with most reports being simple case reports (Hemal et al. 2000). Delayed primary repair tries to preserve as much urethral length as possible and to avoid the urethra being embedded in dense scar, thus trying to avoid subsequent incontinence. Surgical exploration should be attempted via the retropubic route for proximal injuries and the vaginal route for distal injuries (Koraitim 1999).

**Delayed Urethroplasty (3 – 6 Months After Trauma)**

The most common end result of a subprostatic urethral injury managed by delayed repair is the development of a relatively short prostatobulbar urethral gap. Such a simple short gap defect can generally be resolved by a relatively simple perineal approach anastomotic repair, provided that it is not associated with extensive hemato-
Fig. 15.9.16a–g. Maneuvers carried out sequentially to gain sufficient anterior urethral mobility to bridge up to 8 cm of urethral gap. a, b Urethral mobilization, c midline separation of the proximal corporal bodies, d with the previous maneuvers you can gain more than 3 cm of extra urethral length, e inferior pubectomy, f supracorporal urethral rerouting, g resection of fibrous tissue at the prostatic apex; bulbarurethral anastomosis sometimes requires the eversion of the urethral mucosa at the prostatic apex.
urethral reconstruction. The most common cause of bladder neck incompetence is the circumferential teth-er ing of an uninjured mechanism by the natural shrinkage or replacement of an extensive pelvic floor hematoma by fibrosis. In such cases, it is usually possible to restore functional competence of the bladder neck by mobilizing it meticulously. This can be accomplished by removal of the dense hematoma/fibrosis an-choring the bladder neck to the pubis, anteriorly and laterally, and prevention of secondary fibrotic reimmobil-ization by occluding the consequent paraprostatic dead-space cavity with a supple omental pedicle graft, thus preserving the functional mobility of the liberated sphincter mechanism (Iselin and Webster 1999; MacDi-arnid et al. 1995).

The results of various techniques are reviewed by Koraitim (1996) in a personal series of 100 patients combined with a review of 771 patients from published reports. Immediate and early realignment (n = 326) was associated with a 53% stricture rate, a 5% incontinence rate, and a 36% impotence rate. Of the patients success-fully managed with immediate realignment, 42% needed subsequent instrumentation to attempt stricture stabilization. Urethroplasty was ultimately necessary in 33%. Primary suturing (n = 37) was associated with a 49% stricture rate, a 21% incontinence rate, and a 56% impotence rate. In comparison, inserting a suprapubic catheter before delayed repair (n = 508) was associated with a 97% stricture rate, a 4% incontinence rate, and a 19% impotence rate. The restructure rate after delayed anastomotic urethroplasty was less than 10% (Corriere 2001; Jordan 1996; Koraitim 1997; Martín-Piñeiro et al. 1997; Mundy 1993, 1996; Santucci et al. 2002; Zin-man 1997), and the risk of impotence caused by delayed urethroplasty was approximately 5% (Corriere 2001; Corriere et al. 1994; Fiala et al. 2001; Koraitim 1995, 1996; Martín-Piñeiro et al. 1997; Mundy 1996; Web-ster 1990). On the basis of such results, it is evident that the gold standard remains delayed urethral repair at a minimum of 3 months after trauma, using a one-stage perineal approach.

In children, similar results are obtained as in adults (Podesta et al. 2005); the higher incidence of abdominal surgery simply reflects the greater propensity to damage of the bladder neck in children.

Reconstruction of Failed Repair of Posterior Urethral Rupture

When restenosis occurs after delayed urethral repair, it is identified usually within 6 months. If the anastomo-sis has a normal caliber at 6 months, then it is extremely unlikely that the patient will develop further stric-turing (Mundy 1996).

The principles of a salvage repair are similar to those of the initial procedure. Progressive perineal anasto-motic repair alone can be successful in 95% of salvage urethroplasties. If an anastomotic repair cannot be per-formed, a one-stage substitution urethroplasty using a pedicle island of penile skin may be possible and may be more desirable than the final alternative, a two-stage scrotourethral inlay procedure or mesh split-thickness skin graft urethroplasty (Mark and Webster 1996; Wadhwa et al. 1998). The presence of fistulous tracts to the bladder base, abdominal wall, or rectum; periurethral epithelialized cavities; and an ability to achieve the li-thotomy position are the leading indications for a com-bined abdominoperineal surgical approach (Webster et al. 1990).

Restenosis to a luminal caliber of 12-F Foley catheter or less is required before a reduction in the urinary flow is perceived as abnormal (Smith 1968). A wide-caliber stricture may be followed expectantly or gently dilated. Optical urethrotomy is an alternative, particularly for a short, narrow stricture.

Delayed Endoscopic Optical Incision

The principles of the procedure were described by Sachse in 1974 (Sachse 1974). A curved metal sound was passed through the suprapubic cystostomy into the blindly ending proximal urethra, the direct vision ur-throtome was inserted into the urethra, and cuts were made toward the sound. Blandy subsequently de-scribed the suprapubic passage of a cystoscope for transillumination of the thin perineal membrane associated with short urethral defects and transurethral “cutting to the light” with an electrode (Fig. 15.9.17) (Blandy 1980). Today, the cut-to-the-light technique is performed more effectively using c-arm fluoroscopy for stereotactic guidance. The urethral catheter is left in place for between 1 and 3 weeks, and the suprapubic drainage for 2 additional weeks to confirm consistent voiding (Lieberman and Barry 1982).

Results of several small series have been reported and are summarized in Table 15.9.6.

The procedure is only indicated if the urethral defect is short, the bladder neck is competent, and there is minimal displacement of the prostate and proximal bulbous urethra (Barry 1989). Although restoration of urethral continuity is common, and potency is not af-fected by the procedure, urethral dilation, repeat optical urethrotomy, and transurethral resection of stric-ture are common and will be required in about 80% of patients. Most repeat urethrotomies are performed in the 1st year of follow-up. It should be noted that after failure of the initial urethrotomy, alternative treat-ments should be considered, as repeat urethrotomy achieves only temporary improvement (Pansadoro and Emiliozzi 1996). Urethral false passage and rectal perforation have been reported (De Vries and Anderson 1990; Gupta and Gill 1986; Yasuda et al. 1991). Stents are
not currently recommended for patients with strictures following pelvic trauma, as fibrotic tissue tends to grow through into the lumen of the stent (Baert et al. 1993; Jordan 1996; Milroy et al. 1989; Williams 1993).

15.9.4 Recommendations for Treatment: Algorithms

The optimal management of patients with prostatomembranous disruptions should not be thought of as delayed repair vs other types of treatment modalities. Each patient should be assessed and managed according to the initial clinical circumstances. It is impractical to suggest that all patients be managed by one single method, due to the variability of cases and the severity of associated injuries. The intervention should be guided by the clinical circumstances. The following algorithms taken from the European Association of Urology Guidelines (Lynch et al. 2005) are suggested for the treatment of urethral injuries in males and females (Figs. 15.9.18 – 15.9.20).

Table 15.9.6. Results of optical urethrotomy for traumatologically obliterated pelvic urethra

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>No. patients</th>
<th>Follow-up months (range)</th>
<th>No. (%) requiring repeat urethrotomy</th>
<th>Erectile dysfunction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gupta and Gill 1986</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.1 (6 – 24)</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiou et al. 1988</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>43 (12 – 79)</td>
<td>7 (87.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall 1989</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barry 1989</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22 (1.5 – 85)</td>
<td>6 (50)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeVries and Anderson 1990</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>1 (25)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leonard et al. 1990</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31 (13 – 51)</td>
<td>1 (33.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kernohan et al. 1991</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>35 (21 – 84)</td>
<td>7 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yasuda et al. 1991</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>44 (12 – 96)</td>
<td>7 (41.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quint &amp; Stanisic 1993</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>43 (7 – 108)</td>
<td>6 (60)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El-Abd 1995</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>272 (95.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goel et al. 1997</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17.7 (11 – 24)</td>
<td>10 (76.9)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levine and Wessells 2001</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogra and Nabi 2002*</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>30 (9 – 44)</td>
<td>11 (18)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>445</strong></td>
<td><strong>354 (79.5)</strong></td>
<td><strong>-</strong></td>
<td><strong>-</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Laser urethrotomy
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Roughly 10 years ago, I was invited to operate in Iran to treat a number of urological problems relating to war wounds during the Iran–Iraq war. On the first day, I was taken on a ward round and the first patient I saw was standing smartly to the side of his bed holding a urine drainage bag on the end of a suprapubic catheter. I was told that he had a prostatorectal fistula as a result of a gunshot wound and was shown an x-ray with a bullet perfectly in place between his rectum and bladder. Contrast studies confirmed that the bullet was in a cavity that communicated with the rectum and the prostate. I was told that everything else was normal. He told me he had feces in his urine and he was very glad that I had come over from England to fix it, as he had had three failed operations over the previous 4 years.

We went to the operating room that afternoon and I did a transperineal excision of the cavity and closure of the fistula, removing the bullet and interposing a gracilis flap between the two closure lines. The following day, I went to see him to see how he was and he said he was very well indeed and that he was very grateful to me for doing the operation but why am I still passing feces in my urine? A further contrast study showed a second vesicocolic fistula higher up, this one into the bladder, presumably from one of the previous attempts to close his fistula; and so we took him back to the operating room later that same day and closed that fistula, transperineally as before.

The following day, I went back to see him again and was again pleased to see him standing by his bed, although not quite as robust as the previous day. “Thank you so much,” he said, “I am very grateful to you for doing the operation but why am I still passing feces in my urine?” A further contrast study showed a second vesicocolic fistula higher up than the other. We went back to the operating room and this time did a transabdominal closure of that fistula. The following morning I went back to see him. This time he was unable to stand by his bed, not surprisingly, and when he said “Thank you very much for doing the operation,” I was terrified he was going to tell me about feces in his urine again, but on that third occasion we had finally dealt with the problem – at last.

I learned two lessons from that experience. Firstly, if somebody has had an injury and has one problem as a consequence of it he may well have a second or third problem as well: I have come across this situation several times. The second lesson I learned was never to trust other people's results or reports of x-ray studies without the images. I have experienced this type of problem many times. An investigator, when he is a surgeon, and particularly when he is not, never has quite the same attitude toward an investigation and its interpretation, if he himself is not going to be doing the surgery. Get your own investigations and review them carefully yourself.
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