Chapter 2
Sample Path and System Point

2.1 Introduction

When applying the system point level crossing method (abbreviated SPLC, or briefly LC) to obtain probability distributions of state variables in stochastic models, intuitive notions of sample-path transitions often suffice (see Fig. 1.6). In some models, however, more rigorous notions of such transitions are useful for applying SPLC. This chapter provides definitions and examples which enhance intuitive background about sample paths and SP motion in state spaces which are subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ (the set of real numbers). Pertinent sample-path transitions include exits and entrances of state-space sets; boundary crossings, downcrossings, upcrossings, tangents, hits, egresses and pass-bys of state-space levels. We discuss the useful principles of rate balance across state-space levels, and of set balance between disjoint state-space sets. These transitions and principles are relatively easy to discern from a “typical” sample path of the state variable of the model. They relate directly to the probability distribution of the state variable (as in Theorem 1.1). Thus they help us develop model equations for the probability distribution by inspection of the sample path (as in Fig. 1.6). Section 2.5 summarizes geometrically 35 types of sample-path and SP transitions with respect to state-space levels.
2.2 State Space and Sample Paths

2.2.1 Sample Paths

For each stochastic model considered, we will tacitly assume the existence of a basic probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)\), where \(\Omega\) is the set of all possible outcomes of the associated random experiment, \(\mathcal{F}\) is a \(\sigma\)-field of events, and \(P\) is a probability measure on \(\mathcal{F}\). The first step of the LC method is to construct a “typical” sample path of the state variable of interest over time, from the sequences of random variables and the rules defining the model (e.g., Fig. 1.4). Examples of such sequences occur in: queues—inter-arrival and service times; inventories—inter-demand times and demand sizes; dams—inter-input times and input sizes; actuarial models—inter-claim times and claim amounts; pharmacokinetics—inter-dose times and dose amounts.

A “typical” sample path is one which is “reasonable” or “not rare”. Examples are sample paths of: the virtual wait in an M/G/1 queue where the averages of the alternating busy and idle periods converge to their respective theoretical values (Fig. 2.1); the net inventory of an \(\langle s, S \rangle\) inventory system with product decay where the average of the replenishment cycles converge to the theoretical value (Fig. 2.2).

We assume that: the state space \(S\) consists of continuous and/or discrete states (atoms); the number of atoms is finite in finite state-space intervals. For example, the state space of the virtual wait process in M/G/1 queues has exactly one atom, at level 0 (Fig. 2.1).

![Sample path of virtual wait in M/G/1 queue. Emphasizes SP jumps and hits of level 0 from above](image.png)
Let $T$ denote the continuous parameter set of the model. Usually, $T = \{t \mid t \in [0, \infty)\}$, the time axis.

We employ the following “working” definition of a sample path. It is sufficiently general for a large class of stochastic models in OR (Operations Research), and applies to the models analyzed in this monograph.

**Definition 2.1** A sample path is a bounded real-valued or vector-valued, right-continuous function $X(t), t \in T$, with domain $T$ and range a subset of the state space $S$. Left limits exist for all $t > 0$. All sample-path discontinuities are jumps. During arbitrary finite time intervals, the number of jumps and number of relative extrema (excluding “trivial” extrema during sojourns in discrete states) are finite with finite expectations.

Sample paths are also called sample functions, realizations, trajectories, tracings, orbits. (Reference [69] contains a comprehensive treatment of sample functions.) A sample path is a possible outcome $\omega \in \Omega$ of the background random experiment associated with a model; each $\omega$ corresponds uniquely with a function $\omega_t : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For fixed $t$, $X(t)$ is a random variable with domain $\Omega$ and range a subset of $S$. If $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ then $X(t)$ has cdf $P(X(t) \leq x) = P(\{\omega \mid \omega_t \leq x\}, x \in S$, and pdf $\frac{d}{dx} P(X(t) \leq x)$, where the derivative exists. If $t_0$ is not an instant of jump, then $X(\cdot)$ is continuous at $t_0$. If $t_0$ is an instant of “jump” then generally $X(t_0^-) \neq X(t_0)$; however possibly $X(t_0^-) = X(t_0)$ if there is a double jump at $t_0$ (see Example 2.3 and Fig. 2.6, on $(s, S)$ inventory with no decay). (Note: The symbol $(s, S)$ denotes an inventory system with a reorder point $s$ and order-up-to level $S$.) For many models in this monograph, sample paths are piecewise continuous.

![Sample path of net inventory in $(s, S)$ model with product decay, no lead time](image-url)
2.2.2 Sample-Path Properties and Jumps

**Proposition 2.1** The total number of sample-path jumps and/or relative extrema for a model with time domain $T = [0, \infty)$ is a countable set (a.s.) (almost surely, with probability 1 with respect to $(\Omega, F, P)$).

**Proof** The time domain $T = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [n-1, n)$ is a countable union of disjoint finite intervals. Each interval contains at most a finite number of sample-path
For continuous time models, in practice it is possible to observe a jump of a state variable $X(t)$ at any instant $t \in T$. For some models it is possible that two “jumps”, e.g., downward and upward, occur at the same instant, which can affect the physical behavior of the system (see Examples 2.1, 2.2 and Remark 2.1). We discuss such multiple jumps in Sect. 2.3.

**Example 2.1** Consider a typical sample path of the stock on hand (net inventory) $\{I(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ in a **continuous review** $(s, S)$ **inventory** with a single product, random demand stream, random demand sizes, no lead time, and continuous product decay (Fig. 2.2). The “wide-sense” state space is $(-\infty, S] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ (see Sect. 2.3.1). The reorder point is $s$, and the order-up-to level is $S$, $0 \leq s < S$. Arrivals of demands generate downward jumps. The OR analyst **prescribes** an **order to replenish the stock up to** $S$, **corresponding to sample-path** upward jumps, in response to the following signals: (a) a demand causes a downward jump that ends at or below $s$, (b) the stock on hand **decays continuously from above** into level $s$. All upward jumps start below or at level $s$, and end at level $S$. At each instant when signal (a) is detected, **both** downward and upward jumps occur, resulting in a **net** upward jump of the sample path.

In Fig. 2.2 the sample path consists of piecewise deterministic, continuous curved segments with negative slope. The relative extrema (peaks and/or relative extrema (a.s.), by Definition 2.1. A countable union of countable sets is countable (e.g., [6, 56]).
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and troughs) are contained within the state space interval \([s, S]\). The jumps are not part of the sample path per se. Nevertheless, the jumps are observable, and they determine the structure of the sample path over time. Downward jumps that signal instants to place an order, occur at the same instants as the corresponding prescribed upward jumps, which replenish the stock to level \(S\). There are no discrete states in \(S\).

**Remark 2.1** We briefly discuss examples of sample paths in some models of applied probability. For: (1) the **virtual wait process** in a G/G/1 queue, upward jumps occur at arrival instants (Fig. 2.1); (2) the **content in a dam** with instantaneous inputs, upward jumps occurring at input instants, and general pattern of efflux (Fig. 2.3); (3) the **extended age process** in a G/M/1 queue (the time that a customer in service has been in the system, or, negative of remaining time until the next arrival to an empty system) (see [19]), downward jumps equal in distribution to the inter-arrival times, occur at departure instants (i.e., completions of service) (Fig. 2.4); (4) the **risk reserve process** (surplus) in actuarial science (Insurance), downward jumps occur at claim instants, and upward jumps may occur at ruin instants (epochs) when using a recent LC analysis (see Fig. 2.5; [54]; Sect. 11.1 below); (5) the **concentration of a drug** in a one-compartment pharmacokinetic model with multiple bolus dosing, upward jumps occur at instants of dosing (Fig. 11.2).

2.3 **System Point Motion and Jumps**

Empirically, sample paths may be viewed as evolving in time. We assume that sample paths evolve in the same direction in time: \(-\infty \to +\infty\), or left \(\to\) right in diagrams, unless otherwise specified.

We call the *leading point* of an evolving sample path the **System Point** (abbreviated SP) (Figs. 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6). The author coined the term **system point** in this context because the leading point \((t, X(t))\) of a sample path at instant \(t\) contains relevant information about the system, due to the history up to \(t\). In Markov processes, the information conveyed by \((t, X(t))\) is sufficient to statistically predict the future evolution after \(t\), independent of the history up to \(t\). (Interestingly, the SP can also be considered as the trailing point of the future sample path!)

Assume the state space is \(S \subseteq \mathbb{R}\). If \(t_0 > 0\) is a point of continuity of the sample path \(X(t), t \geq 0\) then the SP moves in the direction defined by \(\frac{d}{dt}X(t)|_{t=t_0}\) where the derivative exists (see Sect. 6.2 and Fig. 6.1). If the derivative does not exist, then the SP changes direction to the slope of the right derivative \(\frac{d}{dt}X(t)|_{t \downarrow t_0}\). If \(t_0\) is an instant of jump, then the SP moves...
2.3 System Point Motion and Jumps

Fig. 2.6 Sample path, and SP (system point) motion in \( \langle s, S \rangle \) inventory with no product decay. At \( t_0 \) sample path is continuous. At other jump instants, sample path is discontinuous in the direction up, down or both (i.e., double jumps), not in the direction of Time (Figs. 2.2, 2.5, 2.6). Technically, the SP jumps are not part of the sample path, which is by definition a mathematical function on \( T = [0, \infty) \).

Given the time, placement, size and direction of a jump, the immediately following continuous sample-path segment is determined assuming its slope at all levels is known, e.g., is independent of time (see Fig. 2.3). The endpoint levels of two continuous segments which are contiguous and separated by a jump, determine the net sample-path jump (see Fig. 2.2). While tracing the continuous segments of a sample path the SP is imagined to have a “finite velocity” in Time. When the SP jumps, it has “infinite speed”. The completely-evolved sample path is an inert graph on \([0, \infty)\). On the other hand, the SP is like the moving tip of a stylus that is imagined to plot the graph (see Sect. 4.5.2).

We quantify the foregoing description of jumps further. Consider a typical sample path \( X(t), t \geq 0 \). Let \( t_0 \) be an instant of jump (possibly a double jump). Let \( u_{t_0} \) and \( d_{t_0} \) denote respectively the sizes of the upward and downward jumps at \( t_0 \), where \( u_{t_0} \geq 0, d_{t_0} \geq 0 \) and \( u_{t_0}^2 + d_{t_0}^2 > 0 \). At least one of \( u_{t_0}, d_{t_0} \) is positive. The resultant position of the SP at \( t_0 \) due to the jump(s) is the sample-path value

\[
X(t_0) = X(t_0^-) + u_{t_0} - d_{t_0} = \lim_{t \downarrow t_0} X(t).
\]

The net sample-path jump is \( X(t_0) - X(t_0^-) \), which may be positive, negative or zero (Figs. 2.2, 2.5, 2.6). If \( X(t_0) - X(t_0^-) = 0 \) the sample path is continuous at \( t_0 \) although the SP makes jumps at \( t_0 \). In this case, real changes occur in the associated physical system at \( t_0 \) (e.g., an order is placed and received), but the sample path is continuous (Fig. 2.6).
Example 2.2 Consider the stock on hand in a continuous review \( (s, S) \) inventory with a single product, \( 0 \leq s < S < \infty \). Assume a random demand stream, random demand sizes, no lead time, and continuous product decay. Downward jumps occur at demand instants (Fig. 2.2). Let \( t_0 \) be a demand instant when \( I(t_0^-) = y, s < y < S \), and let the demand be \( d_{t_0} > y - s \). The would-be resulting stock on hand at \( t_0 \), due to the demand, is \( y - d_{t_0} < s \) so that \( y - d_{t_0} \notin (s, S) \). The unsatisfied demand (deficit) at \( t_0 \) is \( s - y + d_{t_0} \).

The downward jump that ends below \( s \) is a signal at \( t_0 \) to place an order and replenish the stock up to level \( S \) immediately (no lead time). There is a prescribed upward jump of stock at \( t_0 \) equal to \( u_{t_0} = S - y + d_{t_0} \). This satisfies the deficit and restores the stock up to \( S \), i.e., \( I(t_0) = S \). The SP makes both downward and upward jumps at \( t_0 \) (double jumps) which result in a single net sample-path upward jump of size \( S - y = u_{t_0} - d_{t_0} \). The SP upward jump is a prescribed or policy jump. In summary, at \( t_0 \) the SP makes two jumps in opposite directions; the sample path has one net upward jump.

Example 2.3 In Example 2.2 with no product decay (see Fig. 2.6), at instant \( t_0 \) the SP makes two jumps of equal size in opposite directions: one downward (demand) and one upward (replenishment). The sample path makes a net jump of size 0. That is, \( I(t_0^-) = S \), a demand of size \( d_{t_0} > S - s \) occurs, impelling the SP below level \( s \). The order-up-to level \( S \) policy prescribes an immediate upward jump at \( t_0 \) of size \( u_{t_0} = d_{t_0} \), ending at level \( S \). Thus \( I(t_0) = I(t_0^-) = S \), which implies the sample path is continuous at \( t_0 \) by right continuity.

Remark 2.2 Examples 2.2 and 2.3 show that at least two SP jumps can occur at an instant, not in Time (orthogonal to the time axis). SP multiple jumps are compatible with a common assumption about the occurrence of multiple events in continuous time stochastic models. That is, multiple probabilistic events cannot occur at the same instant in Time. The latter assumption technically applies to sample paths and to the sequences of random variables defining the model. It usually prohibits to occur more than one: arrival; service completion in a queue; demand of an inventory; input to a dam; insurance claim; etc., at a particular instant in Time. The LC method is based on the count or rate of SP transitions across levels or state-space boundaries, or between state-space sets. The transitions may be due to SP jumps, or sample-path smooth descents or ascents to a level. In the \( (s, S) \) inventory, LC counts jumps due to chance events like demands, and jumps due to prescribed responses like replenishments, when computing rates of crossing state-space levels.

Remark 2.3 Consider Example 2.1. An observer of the sample path who is aware of the \( (s, S) \) policy, and observes \( X(t_0) = S \) just after a jump at \( t_0 \),
cannot determine whether the SP has made a double jump, or a single upward jump at \( t_0 \) (Fig. 2.2). That is, the jump resulting in \( X(t_0) = S \) could have been caused by a signal of either type (a) left-continuous descent to level \( s \), or type (b) demand that causes the SP to jump below \( s \) (see [43]).

**Remark 2.4** In Example 2.3 (Fig. 2.6), assume an observer of the sample path knows the policy is \( \langle s, S \rangle \) and that \( X(t_0) = S \). The observer cannot distinguish \( t_0 \) as being an instant of SP activity (placing an order and replenishing to \( S \)) or an instant of SP inactivity, since the SP motion is “invisible” at \( t_0 \). Knowledge of the sample path is sufficient to derive probability distributions of the net inventory. However, knowledge of the SP motion over Time including SP motion at instants of jump, implies knowledge of the sample path structure as well as of the ongoing actual activity of the real-world inventory.

**Remark 2.5** In a real-world \( \langle s, S \rangle \) inventory the signal to place an order precedes the replenishment. The signal is the cause of the replenishment under the \( \langle s, S \rangle \) policy. There is a time order of the signal and the replenishment, even if the separation is only a nanosecond or picosecond. In the mathematical model, both signal and replenishment occur at the same instant.

To summarize, the SP moves in Time during sample-path continuous segments, and moves in the state space orthogonal to Time at instants of jump. (It is a coincidence that ‘sample path’ and ‘system point’ have the same initials.)

### 2.3.1 State Space in the Wide Sense

Examples 2.1–2.3 pose a conceptual question. The state space is usually considered to be the interval \( (s, S] \), since all states describing net inventory are subsets of \( (s, S] \). However, observations of the jumps are required in order to construct the sample path. Jumps may end or start in the interval \( (-\infty, S] \) (some outside \( (s, S] \)). Hence it is crucial to be able to observe SP motion in \( (-\infty, S] = (-\infty, s] \cup (s, S] \). In these examples we call \( (-\infty, S] \) the state space in the wide sense.

In this monograph the term state space will mean state space in the wide sense, unless otherwise specified. The state space in the wide sense contains the range of all possible SP jumps.
2.4 State Space a Subset of $\mathbb{R}$

In the models discussed so far, the state space is an interval subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Most models in this monograph fit this category. We now discuss such models more formally, to develop intuitive background about the SPLC methodology. (Models with more general state spaces are discussed in Chaps. 4 and 7.)

Consider a stochastic model having state-space interval $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Set $S$ is often an infinite interval. In Table 2.1 $S$ is in the ‘wide sense’ (see Sect. 2.3.1). To illustrate, in Example 2.2, using the state space in the wide sense has no effect on the values of the cdf and pdf of stock on hand; all probability is supported on $(s, S]$.

2.4.1 Picture of a Subset of $S$ Over Time

Let $X(t)$, $t \geq 0$, denote a sample path in the two-dimensional Cartesian product space $T \times S = [0, \infty) \times S = \{(t, x) | t \in [0, \infty), x \in S\}$. Let $A \subset S$ be a proper interval subset of $S$. Then $T \times A \subset [0, \infty) \times S$. For set $A$, let $A^c$ denote the complement in $S$, $\partial A$ the boundary, $A^o$ the interior set, and $A^e$ the exterior set (= interior of $A^c$) (see, e.g., [136], P. 5ff). Set $A^c$ may be the union of two disjoint intervals. The two-dimensional Cartesian product sets $T \times A$, $T \times A^c$, $T \times \partial A$, $T \times A^o$, $T \times A^e$, are proper subsets of $T \times S$ (Fig. 2.7). Sets $A^o$, $\delta A$, $A^e$ are mutually disjoint, as are their respective Cartesian products with $T$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stochastic model</th>
<th>State space $S$</th>
<th>See figures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virtual wait in M/G/1 queue</td>
<td>$[0, \infty)$</td>
<td>Figure 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended age process in G/M/1 queue</td>
<td>$(-\infty, +\infty)$</td>
<td>Figure 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock on hand in $(s, S)$ inventory</td>
<td>$(-\infty, S], S &gt; 0$</td>
<td>Figures 2.2, 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content in dam</td>
<td>$[0, \infty)$</td>
<td>Figure 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus in risk model</td>
<td>$(-\infty, +\infty)$</td>
<td>Figure 2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2.7 Sets $A$, $A^c$ and $T \times A$, $T \times A^c$, $T \times \partial A$ when interval $A \subset S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

2.4.2 Levels in $S$

A level-$x$ contour in $T \times S$ is defined as a straight line $T \times \{x\}$, $x \in S$. We call this line level $x$ for brevity. Level $x$ is parallel to the $t$ axis at a distance $|x|$ from the line $T \times \{0\}$ ($t$ axis). When we discuss transitions of a sample path (or motion of the SP) with respect to level $x$, we mean with respect to the level-$x$ contour in $T \times S$. We also use the terminology level $x$ in the state space, or level $x \in S$, since these expressions convey the idea intuitively. (Technically level $x \in S$ is the projection of the level-$x$ contour in $T \times S$ onto $S$.)

We consider arbitrary levels $x \in S$, because of the basic level crossing theorem for $M/G/1$ (Theorem 1.1, Sect. 1.6). That theorem connects the probability distribution of the state variable (virtual wait) at an arbitrary value $x$, with sample-path and SP down- and upcrossing rates across level $x$ (e.g., Fig. 1.6). Similarly, we can gain empirical background about an arbitrary stochastic model by observing the motion of the SP and the structure of a sample path in $T \times S$.

For fixed $x \in S$, we may observe rates of SP or sample-path down- and upcrossings, and of tangents (see Definition 2.2). Applying level crossing theorems like Theorem 1.1, greatly facilitates the derivation of integral equations or algebraic equations for the pdf and/or cdf of the state variable, which are valid for each $x \in S$ (as in Fig. 1.6). We can solve such equations by analytical, numerical, or simulation techniques.
2.4.3 Sample Path Transitions

Consider an interval $A \subseteq S$ (Fig. 2.7). We first define the following transitions: sample-path exits, entrances, tangents, boundary crossings and level crossings with respect to $T \times A$, using elementary topological concepts of real analysis (see, e.g., [6, 56, 127], or [136]). Let $X(t), t \geq 0$ denote a sample path. Assume $t_0 > 0$ is an instant of either sample-path continuity or jump. Let $X(t_0^-) = \lim_{t \uparrow t_0} X(t)$ (left limit at $t_0$ exists, Definition 2.1).

**Definition 2.2**

**Sample-path Exit:**
$X(\cdot)$ exits $A$ at instant $t_0$ if $\exists \varepsilon > 0 \ni X(t) \in T \times A$ for $t \in (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0)$ and $X(t) \in T \times A^c$ for $t \in (t_0, t_0 + \varepsilon)$.

**Sample-path Entrance:**
$X(\cdot)$ enters $A$ at instant $t_0$ if $X(\cdot)$ exits $A^c$ at $t_0$.

**Sample-path Interior Tangent:**
$X(\cdot)$ is interior tangent to $A$ at instant $t_0$ if $\exists \varepsilon > 0 \ni X(t) \in T \times A^o$, $t \in (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon) \setminus \{t_0\}$ and either $X(t_0^-) \in T \times \partial A$, or $X(t_0) \in T \times \partial A$.

**Sample-path Exterior Tangent:**
$X(\cdot)$ is exterior tangent to $A$ at instant $t_0$ if $X(\cdot)$ is interior tangent to $A^c$ at instant $t_0$.

**Sample-path Boundary Crossing:**
$X(\cdot)$ crosses boundary $\partial A$ at instant $t_0$ if $X(\cdot)$ exits $A^o$ and enters $A^e$ (denoted $A^o \rightarrow A^e$), or $X(\cdot)$ exits $A^e$ and enters $A^o$ (denoted $A^e \rightarrow A^o$) at $t_0$.

In **Definition 2.3** below fix $x \in S$ and let $A = (x, \infty) \cap S$. Then

$$A^o = (x, \infty) \cap S = A, \quad A^e = (-\infty, x) \cap S, \quad \partial A = \{x\} \cap S.$$

**Definition 2.3**

**Sample-path Downcrossing:**
$X(\cdot)$ downcrosses level $x$ at instant $t_0$ if $X(\cdot)$ crosses the boundary $T \times \{x\}$ (denoted $T \times A^o \rightarrow T \times A^e$) at $t_0$. Equivalently, $X(\cdot)$ exits $T \times (x, \infty) \cap S$ and enters $T \times (-\infty, x) \cap S$ at $t_0$.

**Sample-path Upcrossing:**
$X(\cdot)$ upcrosses level $x$ at instant $t_0$ if $X(\cdot)$ crosses the boundary $T \times \{x\}$ ($T \times A^e \rightarrow T \times A^o$) at $t_0$. Equivalently, $X(\cdot)$ exits $T \times (-\infty, x) \cap S$ and enters $T \times (x, \infty) \cap S$ at $t_0$.

Definitions 2.2 and 2.3 apply at an instant of either sample-path continuity or sample-path jump. At instants of continuity of $X(t), t \geq 0$, system
point (SP) transitions are defined identically as for sample-path transitions in Definitions 2.2 and 2.3. However, at instants of *jump* (sample-path discontinuity), SP transitions are defined differently, since the SP moves orthogonally to the direction of Time; either upward or downward in $T \times S$.

### 2.4.4 System Point (SP) Transitions

We now define SP transitions with respect to $T \times A$ at an instant of jump, say $t_0$. Assume that at $t_0$ the SP makes a *single* jump either of size $d_{t_0}$ downward or size $u_{t_0}$ upward. Let

$$
\theta = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if direction of the jump is downward}, \\
0 & \text{if direction of the jump is upward}.
\end{cases}
$$

**Definition 2.4**

**SP Exit at Instant of Jump:**
The SP exits $A$ at $t_0$ if $X(t_0^-) \in T \times A$ and

$$
X(t_0) = X(t_0^-) - \theta d_{t_0} + (1 - \theta)u_{t_0} \in T \times A^c.
$$

**SP Entrance at Instant of Jump:**
The SP enters $A$ at $t_0$ if the SP exits $T \times A^c$ at $t_0$.

**SP Boundary Crossing:**
The SP makes a *boundary crossing* of $\partial A$ at $t_0$ if $X(t_0^-) \in T \times A^o$ and

$$
X(t_0) = X(t_0^-) - \theta d_{t_0} + (1 - \theta)u_{t_0} \in T \times A^e(A^o \to A^e),
$$

or if $X(t_0^-) \in T \times A^e$ and

$$
X(t_0) = X(t_0^-) - \theta d_{t_0} + (1 - \theta)u_{t_0} \in T \times A^o(A^e \to A^o).
$$

For Definition 2.5 below we fix $x \in S$. Then $T \times \{x\}$ is a boundary of both $T \times (x, \infty) \cap S$ and $T \times (-\infty, x) \cap S$.

**Definition 2.5**

**SP Downcrossing:**
The SP downcrosses level $x$ at $t_0$ if the SP crosses boundary $T \times \{x\}$ from $T \times (x, \infty) \cap S$ to $T \times (-\infty, x) \cap S$ at $t_0 ((x, \infty) \to (-\infty, x))$.

**SP Upcrossing:**
The SP upcrosses level $x$ at $t_0$ if the SP crosses boundary $T \times \{x\}$ from $T \times (-\infty, x) \cap S$ to $T \times (x, \infty) \cap S$ at $t_0 ((-\infty, x) \to (x, \infty))$. 

To motivate Definition 2.6 below, consider Example 2.1 (see Fig. 2.2). Assume a demand for the product is placed at $t_0^-$ causing the SP to jump downward to level $z < s$. An order is placed, the SP immediately rebounds with a prescribed upward jump to level $S$, to replenish the product. Thus the SP touches level $z$ from above and immediately rebounds with an upward jump; but the SP has not entered state $\{z\}$ at $t_0$. We say that the SP makes a pass-by of level $z$ (see [59]). State $\{z\}$ is a boundary of the intervals $(z, S)$ and $(-\infty, z)$. Definition 2.6 of pass-by assumes that a double jump occurs at $t_0$ because the SP must make two jumps in opposite directions.

**Definition 2.6**

**SP Pass-by of a Boundary at Instant of Jump:**

The SP makes a pass-by of a boundary $\partial A$ at $t_0$ if

$$X(t_0^-) \in T \times (A^o \cup A^c), \quad X(t_0) \in T \times (A^o \cup A^c)$$

and

$$X(t_0^-) - \theta d_{t_0} + (1 - \theta)u_{t_0} = z \in T \times \partial A,$$

where $\theta = 1$ if the downward jump occurs first, touching level $z$; $\theta = 0$ if the upward jump occurs first, touching level $z$. Thus, $\theta = 1$ implies $X(t_0) = z + u_{t_0}$, and $\theta = 0$ implies $X(t_0) = z - d_{t_0}$.

### 2.4.5 Continuous and Jump Crossings

**Definition 2.7**

**Left-continuous crossing:**

An SP down- or upcrossing of level $x$ at instant $t_0$ is called left-continuous if $X(t_0^-) = x$.

**Continuous crossing:**

A down- or upcrossing of level $x$ at instant $t_0$ is called a continuous crossing if $X(t_0^-) = x = X(t_0)$.

Thus a continuous crossing is a left-continuous crossing, but a left-continuous crossing is not necessarily a continuous crossing (see Figs. 2.12, 2.13).

**Definition 2.8**

**Left-continuous jump downcrossing:**

A downcrossing of level $x$ at instant $t_0$ is called a left-continuous jump downcrossing if $X(t_0^-) = x$ and $X(t_0) < x$.

**Left-continuous jump upcrossing:**

An upcrossing of level $x$ at instant $t_0$ is called a left-continuous jump upcrossing if $X(t_0^-) = x$ and $X(t_0) > x$. 
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**Notation 2.1**

$D_t(x), U_t(x)$: number of downcrossings and number of upcrossings respectively of level $x$ during time interval $(0, t)$.

$D_t^c(x), U_t^c(x)$: number of left-continuous downcrossings and number of left-continuous upcrossings of level $x$ respectively, during time interval $(0, t)$.

$D_t^j(x), U_t^j(x)$: number of jump downcrossings and number of jump upcrossings of level $x$ respectively during time interval $(0, t)$.

Figures 2.12, 2.13, 2.14, 2.15 and 2.16 picture various types of sample-path and SP transitions. Note that

$$D_t(x) = D_t^c(x) + D_t^j(x),$$

$$U_t(x) = U_t^c(x) + U_t^j(x).$$

(2.1)

**Remark 2.6** $D_t^c(x), U_t^c(x)$ count all left-continuous down- and upcrossings respectively, including those continuous from the right, and those not continuous from the right.

**2.4.6 Number of Transitions in a Finite Time Interval**

Consider state-space interval $A \subset S$.

**Notation 2.2**

$O_t(A), I_t(A)$: number of SP exits, and number of SP entrances of $T \times A$ during $(0, t)$, respectively.

$T_t^0(A), T_t^e(A)$: number of sample-path interior tangents, and number of sample-path exterior tangents, of set $A$ during $(0, t)$, respectively.

In Proposition 2.2 below, the term sample-path relative extrema includes: maximum, minimum, supremum and infimum (see Definition 2.1).

**Proposition 2.2** Fix $t > 0$ in $T$ and level $x \in S$. The random variables

$$O_t(A), I_t(A), T_t^0(A), T_t^e(A), D_t(x), U_t(x)$$

and their corresponding expected values are finite.

**Proof**

(1) **Exits and Entrances**: At most one sample-path exit or entrance of $(0, t) \times A$ can occur between two successive sample-path relative extrema during $(0, t)$ (see Fig. 2.8).
(2) **Tangents**: Interior or exterior tangents can occur only at instants of relative extrema during $(0, t)$.

(3) **Down- and upcrossings**: At most one SP down-or upcrossing of level $x$ can occur between successive relative extrema during $(0, t)$.

By Definition 2.1, a sample path has at most a finite number of relative extrema during $(0, t)$. Thus the random variables in the hypothesis are discrete and finite. Their expected values are finite because the expected value of the number of extrema in $(0, t)$ is finite.

**Corollary 2.1**

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \left( \mathcal{O}_t(A) + \mathcal{I}_t(A) + \mathcal{T}_t^o(A) + \mathcal{T}_t^e(A) + \mathcal{D}_t(x) + \mathcal{U}_t(x) \right)$$

is a countable set.

**Proof** The time axis $T = [0, \infty) = \lim_{t \to \infty}[0, t) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}[n, n+1)$. In Proposition 2.2 the number of exits from set $A$ during time interval $[n, n+1) = \mathcal{O}_{n+1}(A) - \mathcal{O}_n(A)$, which is finite. Similarly, the values of the other random variables in the hypothesis are finite during $[n, n+1)$. Therefore the sum of random variables in the hypothesis is finite in each time interval $[n, n+1)$. Countability follows since $T$ is a countable union of finite numbers (e.g., [6]).

**Fig. 2.8** $X(t)$, $t \geq 0$, during an arbitrary finite time interval $(0, t)$, showing relative extrema, transitions with respect to set $T \times A$, and transitions with respect to a fixed level $x$. 
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2.4.7 Principle of Set Balance

Consider a proper subset \( A \subset S \).

**Proposition 2.3** Instants of sample-path and/or SP exits and entrances of \( T \times A \) alternate in time.

**Proof** The proposition follows from Definitions 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 and Proposition 2.2.

From Proposition 2.3 for fixed \( t > 0 \)

\[
O_t(A) - I_t(A) = \begin{cases} 
-1 & \text{if } X(0), X(t) \text{ are in } A \\
0 & \text{if } X(0), X(t) \text{ are in } A^c \\
+1 & \text{if } X(0), X(t) \text{ are in } (\infty, x) \\
\end{cases}
\]  

(2.2)

depending on whether \( X(0), X(t) \) are in \( A \) or \( A^c \). Dividing both sides of (2.2) by \( t \) and letting \( t \to \infty \), gives the principle of set balance for exits and entrances of set \( A \), assuming the limits exist, as follows.

**Principle of Set Balance**
For every set \( A \subset S \),

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{O_t(A)}{t} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{I_t(A)}{t} \quad \text{(a.s.)} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} E\left(\frac{O_t(A)}{t}\right) = \lim_{t \to \infty} E\left(\frac{I_t(A)}{t}\right).
\]  

(2.3)

When emphasizing entrance and exit rates of sets, we usually refer to (2.3).

Set balance expressed by (2.2) and (2.3) is applied in a number of places in this monograph, e.g., (3.5) of Theorem 3.1, Sect. 4.5, in reference [11], and numerous other publications.

2.4.8 Rate Balance for Down- and Upcrossings

By Definition 2.3 and Proposition 2.3, instants of down- and upcrossing alternate in time. Thus for each \( t > 0 \),

\[
D_t(x) - U_t(x) = \begin{cases} 
-1 & \text{if } X(0), X(t) \text{ are in } (x, \infty) \\
0 & \text{if } X(0), X(t) \text{ are in } (-\infty, x) \\
+1 & \text{if } X(0), X(t) \text{ are in } (-\infty, x) \\
\end{cases}
\]  

(2.4)

depending on whether the values of \( X(0), X(t) \) are in \( (x, \infty) \) or in \( (-\infty, x) \).

Dividing (2.4) by \( t \) and letting \( t \to \infty \), gives the principle of rate balance...
for down- and upcrossings across level \( x \in S \), assuming the limits exist,
\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{D_t(x)}{t} &= \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{U_t(x)}{t}, \\
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{E(D_t(x))}{t} &= \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{E(U_t(x))}{t}.
\end{align*}
\]

When referring to level crossings, we usually refer to (2.5) as rate balance across level \( x \). Occasionally we call (2.5) set balance if we emphasize that crossings are exits or entrances of the sets \( T \times (x, \infty) \cap S \) and \( T \times (-\infty, x) \cap S \), as in (2.3).

**Remark 2.7** When applying LC, the choice of state-space intervals and boundaries, is flexible and somewhat arbitrary. This facilitates potential creativity in obtaining solutions. Thoughtful choices may yield straightforward, simple derivations of systems of integral equations for the pdf and cdf of state variables in complex models. Examples given in the following chapters indicate the potentially wide applicability of LC.

### 2.4.9 Continuous and Discrete States

**Atom: Definition** In this monograph, a singleton discrete point \( \{x\} \) in the state space is called an atom if it has a positive probability (see, e.g., p. 137ff in [74]).

A singleton state \( \{x\} \subset S \) may be either continuous or discrete with respect to the distribution of the state random variable (Table 2.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stochastic model</th>
<th>State space</th>
<th>Atoms</th>
<th>Figures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virtual wait, M/G/1</td>
<td>([0, \infty))</td>
<td>(x = 0)</td>
<td>Figure 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended age, G/M/1</td>
<td>((-\infty, +\infty))</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Figure 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\langle s, S \rangle) inventory, decay</td>
<td>((-\infty, +S])</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Figure 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\langle s, S \rangle) inventory, no decay</td>
<td>((-\infty, +S])</td>
<td>(x = S)</td>
<td>Figure 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content, dam</td>
<td>([0, \infty))</td>
<td>Possibly (x = 0)</td>
<td>Figure 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk model, no barrier</td>
<td>((-\infty, +\infty))</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Figure 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth-death, standard</td>
<td>(0, \ldots, N)</td>
<td>(0, \ldots, N)</td>
<td>Figure 2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth-death, extended</td>
<td>([0, N])</td>
<td>(0, \ldots, N)</td>
<td>Figure 2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevator-like model</td>
<td>([0, N])</td>
<td>(0, \ldots, N)</td>
<td>Figure 2.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Any other states are continuous
2.4 State Space a Subset of $\mathbb{R}$

Continuous State in State Space
A continuous state $\{x\}$ is characterized by having probability 0. That is, $P(X(t) = x) = 0$, $t \geq 0$, and $\lim_{t \to \infty} P(X(t) = x) = 0$. The long-run proportion of time that $X(\cdot)$ spends in $T \times \{x\}$ is 0.

The LC method gains much power to analyze stochastic models from the one-to-one correspondence between: (a) sample-path left-limit down- and upcrossing rates of an arbitrary level $x \in S$ (and other types of transitions related to level $x$), and (b) the transient and/or limiting pdfs of the state variable, and integral transforms of them, at level $x$ (see Fig. 1.6).

Discrete States (Atoms)
A discrete state or atom is a singleton $\{x\}$ characterized by having positive probability. That is, $P(X(t) = x) > 0$ for some $t \geq 0$ and $\lim_{t \to \infty} P(X(t) = x) > 0$, when the limit exists. The long-run proportion of time that $X(\cdot)$ spends in $T \times \{x\}$ is positive.

Proposition 2.4 The number of sample-path sojourns inside of a discrete state $\{x\} \subset S$ is finite in finite time intervals, and is a countable set in $T = [0, \infty)$.

Proof Sojourns in $\{x\}$ start at instants of sample-path entrance into $\{x\}$ and end at instants of exit from $\{x\}$. Countability follows from Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.1. If $X(\cdot) = x$ at the start and/or end of a finite time interval, the result is the same. ■

Set Balance for Discrete States
Substituting $\{x\} = A$ in (2.2) and (2.3) yields

$$\begin{align*}
\mathcal{O}_t(\{x\}) - \mathcal{I}_t(\{x\}) &= \begin{cases} +1 & , t > 0, \text{and} \\ 0 & \\ -1 & \end{cases} \\
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{O}_t(\{x\})}{t} &= \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{I}_t(\{x\})}{t} \text{ (a.s.)}, \\
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{E(\mathcal{O}_t(\{x\}))}{t} &= \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{E(\mathcal{I}_t(\{x\}))}{t}.
\end{align*}$$

(2.6)

Equations (2.6) are equivalent to the well-known balance equations used for the rates into and out of discrete states, in continuous-time discrete-state Markov chains—CTMCs (e.g., [125]). The balance equations for CTMCs originally suggested to the author in 1973, the possibility of extending the “rate balance” technique for discrete states to analyze continuous states in continuous-time continuous-state Markov processes (or to mixed-state Markov processes). This was another motivation leading the author to the discovery of SPLC.
2.4.10 Hits and Egresses of Levels

Hits
Sample-path hits of a level describe the sample path in time left neighborhoods before “touching” the level. Hits describe the SP approach to the level from above or below. Intuitively, hits can be thought of as landings, touch downs, dives to, impacts with, descents to, ascents to, etc.

Egresses
Sample-path egresses from a level describe the sample path in time right neighborhoods after touching the level. Egresses describe SP departures from the level above or below. Egresses can be thought of as takeoffs, leaps from, rebounds from, jumps or dives away from, descents from, ascents from, etc.

Sample-path hit:

\[ X(\cdot) \text{ hits level } x \text{ at instant } t_0 \text{ if } X(t_0^-) = x \text{ (left limit) or if } X(t_0) = x \text{ and } \exists \varepsilon > 0 \ni X(t) \neq x, t \in (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0). \]

Sample-path hit from above:

A sample-path hit of level \( x \) at \( t_0 \) is from above if \( X(t) > x, t \in (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0) \).

Sample-path hit from below:

A sample-path hit of level \( x \) at \( t_0 \) is from below if \( X(t) < x, t \in (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0) \).

Sample-path egress:

A sample path makes an egress from level \( x \) at \( t_0 \) if \( X(t_0^-) = x \) or if \( X(t_0) = x \) and \( \exists \varepsilon > 0 \ni X(t) \neq x, t \in (t_0, t_0 + \varepsilon) \).

Sample-path egress above:

A sample-path egress from level \( x \) at \( t_0 \) is above if \( X(t) > x, t \in (t_0, t_0 + \varepsilon) \).

Sample-path egress below:

A sample-path egress from level \( x \) at \( t_0 \) is below if \( X(t) < x, t \in (t_0, t_0 + \varepsilon) \).

Level as boundary. A level is a boundary of a set in \( T \times S \). For example, level \( x \in S \) is a boundary of the sets:

\[ T \times (x, \infty) \cap S; \ T \times [x, \infty) \cap S; \ T \times (-\infty, x) \cap S; \ T \times (-\infty, x] \cap S, \]

and an infinite number of other subsets of \( S \). The choice by an analyst of a set whose boundary is \( T \times \{x\} \) may simplify derivations of integral equations for the pdf and/or cdf at level \( x \) of the state variable. When applying “level
crossing” theorems, we may require knowledge of the rate of sample-path hits of a level from above or below. On the other hand, we may require knowledge of the rate of sample-path egresses above or below (see Fig. 2.9).

Hits and egresses may be due to different types of transitions, such as sample-path exits, entrances, level crossings, or tangents.

A hit of level \( x \) from above at instant \( t_0 \) may be due to having \( X(t_0^-) = x \); e.g., a left-limit downcrossing of \( x \) or left-limit tangent from above (interior tangent of \( T \times (x, \infty) \)). A hit of level \( x \) from below may be due to a left-limit upcrossing of \( x \) or tangent from below (exterior tangent of \( T \times (x, \infty) \)).

An egress from level \( x \) above at \( t_0 \) may be due to a continuous upcrossing of \( x \) or interior tangent of \( T \times (x, \infty) \) having \( X(t_0) = x \). An egress from level \( x \) below at \( t_0 \) may be due to a continuous downcrossing of \( x \) or exterior tangent of \( T \times (x, \infty) \) having \( X(t_0) = x = \lim_{t \downarrow t_0} X(t) \).

The rate at which a sample-path hits level \( x \) from above is not necessarily equal to the rate of egress from \( x \) below (see Fig. 2.9). When such transition rates on opposite sides of a boundary are unequal, LC theorems often facilitate the derivation of analytical properties of the pdf and cdf of the state variable, such as the position, size, and direction of any discontinuities. Different sample-path transition rates on opposite sides of a boundary occur in a variety of stochastic models (see Example 2.4).

Example 2.4 Consider a typical sample path of the virtual wait \( W(t) \), \( t \geq 0 \), for the M/D/1 queue. The state space is \( S = [0, \infty) \). Arrivals occur at a Poisson rate \( \lambda \); every customer gets the same service time \( D > 0 \) (Fig. 2.9).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Fig. 2.9} & \quad \text{Sample path of } \{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \text{ in M/D/1 queue. Service time } \equiv D. \text{ Rate of egresses from level } D \text{ below } = \text{Rate of hits of level } D \text{ from above } + \text{arrival rate to empty system}
\end{align*}
\]
All SP jumps are upward of size $D$. Consider level $D$, i.e., the line $T \times \{D\}$. The SP hit rate of $T \times \{D\}$ from above is due exclusively to continuous left-limit downcrossings of level $D$. This rate is less than the rate of egresses from level $D$ below. The latter rate is due to two sources: (a) continuous downcrossings of level $D$ and (b) exterior, right-continuous (same as right-limit) tangents of the set $(D, \infty)$ (tangents of $D$ from below). The tangents touch level $D$ at the ends of SP jumps that start at level 0, at arrival instants when the system is empty. We show in Example 2.5, and also in Sect. 3.10 that the singleton state $\{D\}$ is a continuous state (not an atom). Assuming the traffic intensity $\lambda D < 1$, the limiting pdf of wait $\{P_0, f(x)\}_{x>0}$ exists. Level crossing theorems can be used to prove that there is a discontinuity $f(D^-) - f(D) = \lambda P_0$, where $P_0 = \lim_{t \to \infty} P(W(t) = 0)$ (Example 2.5).

### 2.4.11 Principle of Rate Balance for Hits and Egresses

Superscripts will have the following roles:

- “$a$”: from above, or to above (depending on transition type);
- “$b$”: from below, or to below (depending on transition type);
- “$c$”: left-limit (= left-continuous) (e.g., $X(t_0^-) = x$; same as continuous if $X(t_0^-) = X(t_0) = x$).
- “$j$”: jump transition.

The meaning of the superscripts will be clear from the context. Superscript “$c$” plays a dual role, which suffices because given a level $x$ and an instant of transition $t_0$, SPLC is concerned, e.g., with state-space intervals like $(x - \varepsilon, x)$, $(x, x + \varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and with Time open neighborhoods like $(t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0)$, $(t_0, t_0 + \varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon > 0$.

- $\mathcal{H}_t^a(x)$, $\mathcal{H}_t^{ac}(x)$: number of sample-path hits and left-limit hits of level $x$, from above during $(0, t)$, respectively.
- $\mathcal{H}_t^b(x)$, $\mathcal{H}_t^{bc}(x)$: number of sample-path hits and left-limit hits of level $x$, from below during $(0, t)$, respectively.
- $\mathcal{T}_t^a(x)$, $\mathcal{T}_t^{ac}(x)$: number of tangents and left-limit tangents of $x$, from above during $(0, t)$ (interior tangents of $T \times (x, \infty) \cap \mathcal{S}$), respectively.
- $\mathcal{T}_t^b(x)$, $\mathcal{T}_t^{bc}(x)$: number of tangents and left-limit tangents of $x$, from below during $(0, t)$ (exterior tangents of $T \times (x, \infty) \cap \mathcal{S}$), respectively.
- $\mathcal{E}_t^a(x)$, $\mathcal{E}_t^b(x)$: number of egresses from level $x$, to above and to below during $(0, t)$, respectively.
For example,
\begin{align*}
H^a_t(x) &= D_t(x) - D^I_t(x) + T^a_t(x), \\
H^{ac}_t(x) &= D^c_t(x) + T^{ac}_t(x), \\
H^b_t(x) &= U_t(x) - U^I_t(x) + T^b_t(x), \\
H^{bc}_t(x) &= U^c_t(x) + T^{bc}_t(x), \\
T^b_t(x) &= T^c_t(x \times (x, \infty) \cap S), \\
E^b_t(x) &= D^c_t(x) + T^{bc}_t(x).
\end{align*}

In (2.7) dividing all terms by \( t \) and letting \( t \to \infty \) gives rate equations. Each rate corresponds to some transition rate of the sample path in the corresponding model of interest. SPLC theorems like (1.1) give these rates in terms the limiting pdfs or cdfs of the state variable of the model, analogous to \( \{P_0, f, (x)\}_{x>0} \) in Fig. 1.6.

**Example 2.5** For the M/D/1 queue (Example 2.4, Fig. 2.9), \( T^a_t(x) = 0 \) and \( D^I_t(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \in S \) (a.s.), i.e., there are no tangents from above and no downward jumps. Hence \( H^{ac}_t(x) = D^c_t(x), x \geq 0 \). For level \( D \)
\begin{equation}
H^{ac}_t(D) = D^c_t(D).
\end{equation}

Since all hits of level \( D \) from above are left-limit (left-continuous) downcrossings,
\begin{equation}
E^b_t(D) = H^{ac}_t(D) + T^b_t(D) = D^c_t(D) + T^b_t(D),
\end{equation}
upon substitution from (2.8). In (2.9) dividing by \( t \) and letting \( t \to \infty \) yields
\begin{equation}
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{D^c_t(D)}{t} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{E^b_t(D)}{t} - \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{T^b_t(D)}{t}.
\end{equation}

From Theorem 1.1
\begin{align*}
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{D^c_t(D)}{t} &= f(D), & \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{E^b_t(D)}{t} &= f(D^-), & \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{T^b_t(D)}{t} &= \lambda P_0,
\end{align*}
where \( \{P_0, f(x)\}_{x>0} \) is the limiting pdf of virtual wait. (All tangents of level \( D \) are due to jumps from level 0.) Substitution into (2.10) yields
\begin{equation}
f(D^+) = f(D) = f(D^-) - \lambda P_0, \text{ or } f(D^-) - f(D^+) = \lambda P_0.
\end{equation}
Equation (2.11) expresses the analytical property that the limiting pdf has a jump discontinuity downward at \( x = D \) of size \( \lambda P_0 \) (see Sect. 3.10.1). The limiting pdf has no other discontinuities for \( x > 0 \). In addition, every
downcrossing and tangent from below of level \( D \), has no motion in the direction of Time in the line \( T \times \{ D \} \). The total number of such transitions of level \( D \) in \( T = [0, \infty) \) is countable (Proposition 2.2, Corollary 2.1). Therefore the long-run proportion of time spent at level \( D \) is 0. So \( \{ D \} \) is a continuous state.

### 2.4.12 Hits and Egresses for Discrete States (Atoms)

A hit of a discrete state (atom) \( \{ x \} \in S \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) may be an SP entrance into \( \{ x \} \), a left-limit down- or upcrossing of level \( x \), a tangent of level \( x \), etc. In the model of interest there must be, with positive probability, at least one way to enter and sojourn for a positive time in \( \{ x \} \) (e.g., there may be different rules regarding entering \( \{ x \} \) by jumps that start in disjoint state-space intervals below level \( x \)). This ensures that the long-run proportion of time spent in \( \{ x \} \) is positive, making \( \{ x \} \) an atom.

An egress out of a discrete state \( \{ x \} \) may be an SP exit from \( \{ x \} \), a right-continuous down- or upcrossing of level \( x \), a right-continuous tangent of level \( x \), etc. (see Figs. 2.14, 2.15).

**Example 2.6** Consider a sample path of the virtual wait \( \{ W(t) \}_{t \geq 0} \) for the standard M/G/1 queue (Fig. 2.1). (The M/D/1 queue is a special case of M/G/1.) Let the arrival rate be \( \lambda \) and the service time \( S \). Assume the traffic intensity \( \lambda E(S) < 1 \), so that the limiting distribution of wait exists. Let \( \{ P_0, f(x) \}_{x > 0} \) be the limiting mixed pdf of wait. State \( \{ 0 \} \) is the only discrete state (atom) in the state space \( S = [0, \infty) \). \( P_0 \) is the long-run proportion of time that the sample path is in \( \{ 0 \} \), i.e., \( \lim_{t \to \infty} P(W(t) = 0) = P_0 > 0 \).

All hits of level 0 are due to sample-path left-continuous entrances into \( \{ 0 \} \) from \( (0, \infty) \); at a hit instant say \( t_0 \), \( W(t_0^-) = W(t_0) = 0 \). The hit rate of level 0 from above is the entrance rate of state \( \{ 0 \} \), namely

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{H^a_t(0)}{t} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{I_t(\{ 0 \})}{t} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{D^c_t(0^+)}{t} = \lim_{x \downarrow 0} f(x) = f(0^+) \equiv f(0),
\]

by Theorem 1.1.

The SP egress rate from level 0 above is the exit rate from state \( \{ 0 \} \). This is the rate at which customers arrive when the system is empty, namely \( \lambda P_0 \). Set balance between the sets \( \{ 0 \} \) and \( (0, \infty) \), equates entrance and exit rates of the atom \( \{ 0 \} \) (formula (2.6)). It yields the equation \( f(0) = \lambda P_0 \), which relates (curiously) the continuous part \( f(x), x > 0 \), of \( \{ P_0, f(x) \}_{x > 0} \) to the positive probability \( P_0 \) of the atom \( \{ 0 \} \). Thus, the SP entrance rate into and exit rate out
of the discrete state \( \{0\} \) is \( f(0) (\equiv f(0^+)) \). This type of relationship appears in different forms in various models, and is useful for computing limiting distributions of state variables. The PASTA principle (Poisson arrivals see time averages [145]) ensures that the “arrival-point \( P_0 \)” is the same as the “time-average \( P_0 \)” in \( \{P_0, f(x)\}_{x>0} \).

At an instant of egress from level 0, the SP jumps upward by a realized value of the r.v. \( S \), say \( s \). This jump upcrosses every state-space level in interval \((0, s)\). The end point of the jump is tangent to level \( s \) from below. If \( S \) is a continuous r.v., the probability of hitting level \( s \) from below again due to a jump occurring at any other instant, is 0.

**Example 2.7** Consider a standard **birth-death process** having states 0, ..., \( N \) (Fig. 2.10, [125]). Let the Poisson rate of jumps from \( n \) to \( n + 1 \) be \( \lambda_n \), and from \( n \) to \( n - 1 \) be \( \mu_n \), \( n = 1, \ldots, N \). The conventional state space is the set of discrete states \( S = \{0, 1, \ldots, N\} \) having limiting probabilities \( P_0, \ldots, P_N \) respectively. Let \( S \) be **extended to the state space in the wide sense**, i.e., the closed interval \([0, N]\). This extension does not change the probability distribution associated with the model. All probability is still concentrated on the discrete states 0, ..., \( N \). The SP moves at jump instants in \( S \) orthogonal to \( T \times S \) (not in Time), through state-space intervals \((n, n + 1)_{n=0,\ldots,N-1}, \) implying \( P(U^{N-1}_{n=0}(n, n + 1)) = 0 \).

We derive the values of \( P_0, \ldots, P_N \) using SPLC. Fix level \( x, n < x < n + 1, \) \( n \in \{0, \ldots, N - 1\} \). The down- and upcrossing rates of \( x \) are respectively
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{D_t(x)}{t} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{D_j'(x)}{t} = \mu_{n+1} P_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \\
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{U_t(x)}{t} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{U_j'(x)}{t} = \lambda_n P_n,
\]
respectively. By rate balance (2.5)

\[
\mu_{n+1} P_{n+1} = \lambda_n P_n, \quad n = 0, \ldots, N - 1,
\]
\[
P_{n+1} = \frac{\lambda_n}{\mu_{n+1}} P_n, \quad n = 0, \ldots, N - 1,
\]

Thus we obtain the well-known formula

\[
P_n = \frac{\lambda_0 \cdots \lambda_{n-1}}{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n} P_0, \quad n = 1, \ldots, N.
\]

Substituting into the normalizing condition \( P_0 + \cdots + P_N = 1 \) yields \( P_0 \) and \( P_1, \ldots, P_N \) (e.g., [125]). The above derivation appears to be identical to the conventional “rate in = rate out” argument for discrete states ([64, 125]). However, the extension of the state space to the wide-sense state space, includes continuous states. This allows us to use SPLC directly. The SPLC approach displays a subtle difference, which is prescient regarding solving more complex discrete-state continuous-time models (see Example 2.8).

Example 2.8 Consider an “elevator-like” model (Fig. 2.11). An elevator may stop at \( N + 1 \) floors, 0, \ldots, \( N \). Assume the elevator travels at constant

![Fig. 2.11](image-url) Sample path of elevator-like model, \( N = 6 \) floors. Discrete states (atoms) are 0, \ldots, 5. Continuous states are open intervals \((n, n+1)\), \(n = 0, \ldots, 4\).
speeds \( k \) and \( h \) meters per minute when moving respectively upward and downward between floors. We ignore the start-up acceleration and slow-down deceleration phases, for exposition. To fix ideas, assume the motion is in a semi-Markov environment (see Sect. 11.4 and, e.g., [125]). Assume that from the instant the elevator stops at floor \( i \), its sojourn time at floor \( i \) has mean \( \mu_i \) minutes until the next motion starts to a different floor. Its next stop will be at floor \( j \) with probability \( P_{ij}, i \neq j \in \{0, \ldots, N\} \). The \((N+1) \times (N+1)\) matrix \( \| P_{ij} \| \) is a Markov matrix. Assume the stationary probabilities of \( \| P_{ij} \| \) are \( \pi_i, i = 0, \ldots, N \). Let the limiting probability that the elevator is at floor \( i \) be \( P_i, i = 0, \ldots, N \). Let the partial pdf of the elevator’s position when it is moving upward and downward between floors \( i \) and \( i+1 \) be respectively \( f_{i1}(x), f_{i2}(x), x \in (i, i+1), i = 0, \ldots, N-1 \). Let

\[
f_i(x) = f_{i1}(x) + f_{i2}(x), x \in (i, i+1), i = 0, \ldots, N-1,
\]

so that \( f_i(x), x \in (i, i+1) \) is the limiting pdf of the elevator’s position between floors \( i \) and \( i+1 \) regardless of its direction of motion. The state space is \( S = [0, N] \). The discrete states (atoms) are \( 0, \ldots, N \), representing the floors. The continuous states are points in the open intervals between floors, \( (i, i+1), i = 0, \ldots, N-1 \). The total probability is concentrated on both the discrete and continuous states. Hence the total pdf of the elevator’s position will be “mixed”. The normalizing condition is

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{N} P_i + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{x=i}^{i+1} f_i(x) dx = 1.
\]

The goal is to determine for \( i = 0, \ldots, N-1 \): \( P_i, f_{ij}(x), j = 1, 2 \) and \( f_i(x), x \in (i, i+1) \). To solve for these quantities we can apply the method of pages (also called method of sheets) originated and applied by the author in [11], and explained and applied in Sects. 4.11, 11.8, references [39, 42, 44, 52], and elsewhere. The relationship between \( f_i(x) \) and the slope of the sample path (elevator speed) at level \( x \) is given in Theorem 6.4 below, reference [31]; and Sect. 11.4.

### 2.5 Transition Types Geometrically

Figures 2.12, 2.13, 2.14, 2.15 and 2.16 summarize geometrically 35 different types of sample-path and SP transitions with respect to a level \( x \in S \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) that can occur in various models.
Fig. 2.12  Sample-path hits of level $x \in S$ from above

Fig. 2.13  Sample-path hits of level $x \in S$ from below

Fig. 2.14  Sample-path egresses from level $x \in S$ above

Fig. 2.15  Sample-path egresses from level $x \in S$ below
Fig. 2.16  Jump downcrossing and upcrossing—no hit of, or egress from level $x$. Touch of level $x$—pass-by due to double jump

Figures 2.12, 2.13, 2.14 and 2.15 illustrate four categories of transitions: SP hits from above and below; egresses above and below. In these figures, the instant of contact with level $x$ is assumed to be $t_0 > 0$. In Figs. 2.12, 2.13, “left limit” means $X(t_0^-) = x$; “jump” means $X(t_0^-) \neq x$ and $X(t_0) = x$. In Figs. 2.14, 2.15, “right limit” means $X(t_0) = x$; “jump” means $X(t_0^-) = x$ and $X(t_0) \neq x$. Figure 2.16 illustrates level crossings that are not hits of, or egresses from level $x$; it also depicts a “touch” of a randomly determined level $x$ from a “pass-by” due to a double jump.

Example 2.9  We illustrate the use of Figs. 2.12, 2.13, 2.14, 2.15 and 2.16. In Fig. 2.12 consider the 2 sub-diagrams in the position (Left Limit, Tangent from Above). The SP makes a hit from above of level $x$ which is a left-limit tangent from above. These 2 sub-diagrams apply when $\{x\}$ is a continuous or a discrete state (atom).