

Contents

1 Introduction: Engaging in Comparative Bioethics	1
1.1 One World, One Bioethics?	2
1.2 The Location of Bioethical Culture	4
1.3 Beyond the Academic Sphere	4
1.4 Comparative Bioethics: Some Reasons for the Juxtaposition of Germany and Israel	5
1.5 The Book’s Composition	8
References	9
2 Setting the Methodological Scene: The Value of Explication and Pluralization of Moral Grammars	13
2.1 Cultural Scripts Between Generalization and Enacting	14
2.2 Methodological Engagements: From Cultural Scripts to Narrative Investigation (and Back Again)	16
References	19
3 Applying the Theoretical Tools: Being Affected, Responsibility, and Risk	21
3.1 The Participative Turn: From the Expert to the Affected Person	21
3.2 The Normative Turn: Re-Considering “Responsibility” to Analyze Moral Grammars.	23
3.3 Current Theoretical Challenges of “Responsibility”	26
3.4 The Structure of “Responsibility”: A Relational Concept of Moral Agency.	27
3.5 The Epistemic Turn: The Meaning of Risk	38
References	40

4	Contextualizing the Cultural and Medico-Legal Debate on Adult Genetic Testing	45
4.1	The Script of “Genetic Responsibility”	45
4.2	The Practice of Adult Genetic Testing in Germany and Israel	47
4.3	Two Paradigmatic Cases of Predictive Genetic Testing for Late-Onset Diseases: Huntington’s Disease and Colon Cancer	50
	References	52
5	Making Responsible Life Plans: Cultural Differences in Lay Attitudes in Germany and Israel Towards Predictive Genetic Testing for Late-Onset Diseases	55
5.1	To Know or not to Know?	56
5.2	To Tell or not to Tell?	60
5.3	Conclusions	63
	References	65
6	Planning One’s End of Life in an Expert Biomedical Culture	67
6.1	Recent Changes in the Legal Situation	67
6.2	Tracing the Differences Between Germany and Israel in End-of-Life Care Policies	70
6.3	Comparative Analysis of the Statements	72
	6.3.1 Legal Backgrounds	72
	6.3.2 Main Similarities and Differences	73
6.4	Cultural Diversity of Moral Key Elements in the Argumentation	74
	6.4.1 Handling Conflicting Situations in End-of-Life Situations	74
	6.4.2 Religious and Historical Factors	75
6.5	Conclusions	76
	References	78
7	Lay Attitudes Towards End-of-Life Decision-Making in Germany and Israel	81
7.1	Patient Autonomy as the Leading Principle for Withholding End-of-Life Medical Treatment	84
7.2	Withdrawing Treatment: Between Patient Autonomy and Doctors’ Duty “Not to Harm”	85
7.3	Physician-Assisted Suicide and Euthanasia: Unsolvable Dilemmas and the Advice to Be Cautious	87
7.4	Who Should Decide? Shared Decision-Making as a Challenge	89
7.5	Formal or Informal Advance Directives?	90
7.6	Conclusions	91
	References	93

- 8 Risks and Responsibilities: Making Plans for Life and Death 95**
 - 8.1 Self-responsibility and Individual Risk 96
 - 8.2 Family Responsibility and Shared Risk 97
 - 8.3 Responsibility of Society and the Risk of Social Coercion 99
 - 8.4 Conclusions 101
 - 8.4.1 The Perspective of Being Affected 103
 - References 104

- 9 Final Conclusion: Disentangling the Micro and the Macro in Bioethics 107**
 - References 119



<http://www.springer.com/978-3-319-32731-0>

Comparative Empirical Bioethics: Dilemmas of Genetic
Testing and Euthanasia in Israel and Germany

Raz, A.E.; Schicktanz, S.

2016, XI, 121 p. 2 illus., Softcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-32731-0