

Contents

1	General Introduction	1
	Bibliography	5
Part I History and Law		
2	Introductory Theoretical Remarks on the Alleged Problematic Nature of the Interaction of History and Law	9
	Bibliography	12
3	Clio’s Modern Paradox Unraveled	15
3.1	Theoretical Historical Developments	17
3.1.1	The Ghost of von Ranke	18
3.1.2	The Professionalization of History & Science as the New Intellectual Gold Standard	19
3.1.3	The Three-Headed Annales & Postmodern Headaches ...	21
3.1.4	Descending the Ivory Tower	24
3.1.5	Conclusions on Clio’s Modern Paradox	25
	Bibliography	26
Part II Historians as Expert Witnesses		
4	Introduction Part II	31
	Bibliography	33
5	Modern Interactions of Law and History	35
5.1	The Dreyfus Affair: Paleography	36
5.2	The Nuremberg and Tokyo Tribunals: The Birth of International Tribunals	37
5.3	The Amicus Curiae Brief: The Webster Case	40
	Bibliography	42

6	The Globalization of the Historian as an Expert Witness	45
6.1	The Second Wave: Post-Holocaust and Post-World War II Litigation	46
6.1.1	Eichmann in Jerusalem	47
6.1.2	The Frankfurt-Auschwitz Trials and the Ludwigsburg Paradigm	49
6.1.3	Dealing with a Troublesome Past: Vichy in Court	51
6.1.4	Conclusions on the Post-War Judgment of History in Court	57
6.2	A Third Wave: Irving v. Lipstadt: Holocaust Denial in Court	59
	Bibliography	64
7	Litigation-Driven History	67
7.1	Expert Witnessing Through the Ages	68
7.2	An Introduction to Historians as Expert Witnesses in American Courts	71
7.2.1	Brown v. Board of Education	72
7.2.2	Equal Employment Opportunity Commission v. Sears, Roebuck and Co.	74
7.2.3	Toxic Tort Litigation: Lead Paint Toxic Tort Litigation ..	76
7.3	A Guide to the Practice of Expert Witnessing by Historians	80
7.3.1	Consulting	80
7.3.2	Recruitment of the Expert Witness	82
7.3.3	Preparing the Witness Report	86
7.3.4	The Collaboration with Lawyers	88
7.3.5	Witnessing	89
7.3.6	Rules of Expert Witnessing: From Frye to Daubert	93
7.4	Preliminary Conclusions on the Historian as an Expert Witness in American Courts	99
	Bibliography	104
8	A Reassessment of Wijffels' Concept of Forensic History	107
	Bibliography	115
 Part III Tobacco Wars		
9	Introduction Part III	119
	Bibliography	125
10	A History of Tobacco	127
10.1	The Early History of the Nicotiana Tabacum	128
10.2	The Shift to Cigarettes	130
10.2.1	The Invention of Flue-Curing	130
10.2.2	Matches	131
10.2.3	Mechanization of Cigarette Manufacturing	132

- 10.2.4 The Oligopolistic Structure of the Tobacco Industry 133
- 10.2.5 World War I 136
- 10.2.6 Taxation 137
- 10.2.7 Mass-Marketing Techniques 138
- 10.3 The Rise of a Deadly Product..... 140
- Bibliography 141
- 11 Tobacco and Health: Towards a Contemporary Perspective 143**
 - 11.1 The Discovery of Tobacco Health Hazards 144
 - 11.2 The Reports of the Surgeon General 148
 - Bibliography 156
- 12 The Tobacco Industry and Its Tactics 157**
 - 12.1 The Tobacco Controversy: A Careful Construction 158
 - Bibliography 171
- 13 Tobacco Litigation 173**
 - 13.1 The First Wave: Cipollone v. Liggett Group 173
 - 13.2 The Second Wave: Government v. The Tobacco Industry..... 179
 - 13.2.1 The State Attorney Cases 180
 - 13.2.2 The Master Settlement Agreement 183
 - 13.2.3 US v. Philip Morris et al. 186
 - 13.3 The Third Wave: Engle Progeny Cases 187
 - 13.3.1 Engle 188
 - 13.3.2 Smaller Cases 191
 - 13.4 Tobacco Tactics in Court: Legal Game Theory 192
 - 13.4.1 Game I: The First Wave of Tobacco Litigation 195
 - 13.4.2 Game II: The Second Wave of Tobacco Litigation 198
 - 13.4.3 Game III: The Third Wave of Tobacco Litigation..... 200
 - Bibliography 208
- 14 United States v. Philip Morris et al. 211**
 - 14.1 Brandt’s Experience 213
 - 14.2 Expert Historians Take the Stand 216
 - 14.2.1 Robert Proctor 217
 - 14.2.2 Kenneth Ludmerer 222
 - 14.2.3 Allan Brandt 228
 - 14.2.4 Peter English 237
 - 14.2.5 Theodore Wilson 242
 - 14.2.6 Conclusions on the Testimony Offered
by Historians in US v. Philip Morris..... 245
 - 14.3 The Final Judgment by Judge Kessler..... 248
 - 14.4 Confronting Ethics with De Baets 252
 - 14.4.1 Article 10: Integrity; Historical Truth 253
 - 14.4.2 Article 12: Disclosure of Information &
Article 14: Free Debate; Accountability; Universalism... 254

- 14.4.3 Article 13: Critical and Objective Method; Independence 256
- 14.4.4 Article 19: Protection of the Historical Profession 257
- 14.4.5 Conclusion 258
- Bibliography 258
- 15 Debates: Reflections in Academic and National Media 261**
 - 15.1 Proctor in *Nature* 261
 - 15.2 Laura Maggi in *The American Prospect* 262
 - 15.3 Patricia Cohen in *The New York Times* 264
 - 15.4 David Rothman in the *Bulletin of the History of Medicine* 265
 - 15.5 Proctor in *The Lancet* 266
 - 15.6 The Tobacco Companies v. Robert Proctor 267
 - 15.7 Polemic Debates 273
 - Bibliography 275
- 16 Historians in Tobacco Litigation, a Conclusion 277**
 - 16.1 The Framework Convention on Tobacco Control 277
 - 16.2 Litigation as a Solution 279
 - 16.3 The Role of Historians in Tobacco Litigation 282
 - 16.3.1 Advocacy 284
 - 16.3.2 Ethics 288
 - 16.3.3 Transparency 292
 - Bibliography 295
- 17 Final Conclusion on the Involvement of Historians in Tobacco Litigation 297**
 - Bibliography 301
- 18 Final Conclusion 303**
 - Bibliography 309
- Five General Principles on Forensic History 311**
- Appendices 313**
 - Appendix I: Expert Witness Profiles 313
 - Historians as Expert Witnesses in Tobacco Litigation 313
 - 0. Standard Expert Witness Profile 315
 - 1. Stephen Ambrose 316
 - 2. Jonathan Bean 318
 - 3. Hyman Berman 319
 - 4. Allan Brandt 320
 - 5. James Breeden 321
 - 6. John Burnham 322
 - 7. Augustus Burns III 324
 - 8. Fred Carstensen 325
 - 9. Michael Chesson 327

10. Elizabeth Cobss Hoffman	328
11. Luis Díaz-Hernández	330
12. Thomas DiBacco	331
13. John Drobny	332
14. Peter English	333
15. Frederick Fausz	335
16. Lacy Ford	336
17. Otis Graham Jr.	338
18. George Green	339
19. Janette Greenwood	340
20. Jon Harkness	341
21. Paul Harvey	343
22. James Hilty	344
23. Joan Hoff	345
24. Robert Hudson	347
25. Jacob Judd	348
26. Louis Kyriakoudes	349
27. Timothy Lenoir	353
28. Kenneth Lipartito	354
29. Charles Lowery	355
30. Kenneth Ludmerer	356
31. James Kirby Martin	358
32. Luis Martinez-Fernandez	360
33. Glenn May	362
34. Gregg Michel	363
35. Wayne Morgan	365
36. Robert Norrell	366
37. Michael Parrish	368
38. Terry Parssinen	370
39. Robert Proctor	372
40. Randy Roberts	377
41. Mark Rose	379
42. David Sansing	380
43. Todd Savitt	381
44. Michael Schaller	382
45. James Sharp	384
46. John Skates	385
47. John Snetsinger	386
48. William Stueck	387
49. Joseph Tulchin	389
50. Theodore Wilson	390
Appendix II: Historians Who Have Testified as an Expert Witness in Tobacco Litigation	392
Appendix III: Timetable Involvement Historians as Expert Witnesses in Tobacco Cases	405

Appendix IV: A Code of Ethics for Historians, by Antoon De Baets 440

- A Code of Ethics for Historians (Proposal) 440
- Introduction 440
- Core Tasks 441
- Universal Rights 441
- Duty-Dependent Rights 441
- Duties in General 442
- Duties Regarding Subjects of Study 443
- Duties Regarding Work 443
- Duties Regarding Society at Large 445
- Duties Regarding the Historical Profession 446

Index 447

List of Tables

Table 1	Deceased	393
Table 2	Active in In re: Engle progeny cases	395
Table 3	Institution	397
Table 4	Number of cases	399
Table 5	Published on the history of smoking and the tobacco industry	401
Table 6	Remuneration	403
Table 7	Involvement of historians in tobacco litigation 1986–2013	406
Table 8	Involvement of historians in tobacco litigation 1986–2014	407
Table 9	Historians in tobacco litigation 1986–2014 and Westlaw reference	425



<http://www.springer.com/978-3-319-14291-3>

Historians as Expert Judicial Witnesses in Tobacco
Litigation

A Controversial Legal Practice

Delafontaine, R.

2015, XXV, 453 p. 5 illus., 2 illus. in color., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-14291-3