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Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POR</td>
<td>NADPH-cytochrome P450 oxidoreductase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POR</td>
<td>POR gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P450</td>
<td>Cytochrome P450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyt c</td>
<td>Cytochrome c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyt b5</td>
<td>Cytochrome b5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOS</td>
<td>Nitric oxide synthase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNR</td>
<td>Ferredoxin-NADP+ reductase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fld</td>
<td>Flavodoxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMN domain</td>
<td>FMN-containing flavodoxin-like domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAD domain</td>
<td>FAD-containing FNR-like domain plus the connecting domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P450BM3</td>
<td><em>Bacillus megaterium</em> flavocytochrome P450BM3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Methionine synthase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSR</td>
<td>Methionine synthase reductase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>Endoplasmic reticulum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO</td>
<td>Heme oxygenase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1 Introduction

Cytochrome P450 (P450) electron transport is mediated by a multicomponent monooxygenase system, in which reducing equivalents from NADPH (Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate) are transferred to molecular oxygen via one of many cytochrome P450 isozymes [1, 2]. Depending on the cellular location and their redox partners, P450s are generally divided into two major classes, class I and class II. Class I includes mitochondrial and bacterial P450s that use two separate redox partners consisting of an iron–sulfur protein (ferredoxin/adrenodoxin) and a flavin-containing reductase (ferredoxin/adrenodoxin reductase). The class II P450s are microsomal monooxygenases that receive electrons from NADPH-cytochrome P450 oxidoreductase (POR), the founding member of the diflavin reductase family. Both the reductase and the monooxygenases are integral membrane proteins. In addition, there are many minor classes of P450s reviewed in Hannemann, et al.[3], including P450 proteins that are fused to their own diflavin reductase partner in one polypeptide chain, e.g., P450BM3 from *Bacillus megaterium* (see Fig. 2.1). Most mammalian P450s are located in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). In humans, 50 of 57 P450s are microsomal and the remaining seven are located in mitochondria. The microsomal P450s use a single POR for electron delivery from NADPH. In addition, some microsomal P450s also use cytochrome b5 (cyt b5).
POR is a membrane-bound ~78-kDa protein. POR is the prototypic member of the diflavin oxidoreductase family of enzymes that contain one molecule each of flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) and flavin mononucleotide (FMN) in a single polypeptide. These enzymes perform a step-down function, i.e., transferring electrons from the two-electron donor NADPH to one-electron acceptors (e.g., heme), with the FAD functioning as a dehydrogenase flavin and FMN as an electron carrier. In other words, NADPH transfers a hydride ion to the FAD, which transfers these two electrons one at a time to the FMN. It is the FMN hydroquinone that is the ultimate electron donor, again one by one, to P450 and other electron transfer partners. Other prominent members of this family are the reductase domains of the nitric oxide synthase (NOS) isoforms (reviewed in [4–7] and flavocytochrome P450BM3 from Bacillus megaterium [8], and the flavoprotein subunits of bacterial sulfate reductase [9], all of which transfer electrons to heme, as well as methionine synthase reductase (MSR), which reduces Cob(II)alamin of methionine synthase [10–12], human cancer-related novel reductase 1 (NR1) [13], pyruvate: NADP+ oxidoreductase from Euglena gracilis [14, 15], and reductase Tah18 protein from yeast [16]. The domain structures of these proteins are all similar to that of POR, containing the flavodoxin (Fld)-like and ferredoxin-NADP+ reductase (FNR)-like folds, having similar functions and mechanisms of action (Figs. 2.1 and 2.2).

POR functions to transfer electrons from NADPH to a number of microsomal electron acceptors, including not only P450s but also hem oxygenase (HO) [17], cyt b5 [18], squalene monooxygenase [19], and possibly indole dioxygenase [20]. In addition, a number of nonphysiological electron acceptors, including cytochrome c (cyt c), ferricyanide, menadione, and dichloroindophenol, have been used for biochemical characterization of the enzyme. On the other hand, other members of the diflavin oxidoreductase family, including MSR, NOS, and P450BM3, transfer electrons to a single physiological acceptor. For NOS and P450BM3, both the donor and acceptor are located on the same polypeptide (Fig. 2.1). However, both NOS and P450BM3 are dimeric molecules and the reductase domain of monomer 1 reduces the heme domain of monomer 2 and vice versa. The electron acceptors for POR, including the multiplicity of P450s, as well as other protein acceptors listed above, are located in the ER, and the levels of POR are substantially lower than those of its acceptors, with the ratio of POR to P450 in liver ER estimated at 1:5 ~ 20 [21–23]. Although the large and diverse family of P450s exhibits a common fold in the vicinity of the heme ligand, each P450 also possesses unique structural features, substrate specificity, and rate-limiting catalytic steps [24, 25]. Thus, electron transfer to all these proteins must proceed in a finely controlled fashion. The question arises as to how POR recognizes and mediates electron transfer to this multiplicity of electron acceptors.

This chapter discusses the mechanism of interaction between P450s and their redox partners, primarily the diflavin oxidoreductase, POR, and cyt b5. The domain organization and the high degree of conformational changes in POR necessary for the precise orchestration of electron transfer to its >50 different electron acceptors will be highlighted. The complex and controversial role of cyt b5 as a redox partner for P450 will also be discussed. Details of the reaction of a Class I P450 with an iron sulfur protein are provided in the chapter by Poulos and Johnson.
2.2 Electron Transfer Partners of Cytochrome P450 Oxidoreductase

2.2.1 Properties of POR Flavins

The ability of flavins to engage in both 1-electron and 2-electron redox chemistry is key to their functions in electron transfer. In POR, they are an essential intermediate between NADPH, a two-electron donor, and the heme of P450, a one-electron acceptor. Furthermore, utilization of two flavins, located in separate domains, provides a mechanism for control of the kinetics of electron transfer by regulating the distance between, and the relative orientation of, the two flavins. The flavin cofactors can exist as the oxidized (ox), one-electron reduced semiquinone (sq), and two-electron, fully reduced (red) forms (Fig. 2.3).

Both the semiquinone and the fully reduced forms can exist free in solution as either neutral or anionic forms with \( pK_a \) values of 8.5 and 6.5, respectively. Both semiquinones of POR are found as the blue, neutral form in the pH range 6.5–8.5. In this review, the fully reduced forms are referred to as FMNH\(_2\) and FADH\(_2\). However, the protonation states of the fully reduced forms in POR are unknown. Those of the homologous proteins, FNR and flavodoxin, are anionic and it should be kept in mind that the fully reduced flavins in POR may also be in the anionic forms, FADH\(^{-}\) and FMNH\(^{-}\).

The oxidation and protonation states of the flavins can be distinguished by their distinct visible absorption spectra, which have been invaluable in characterizing the oxidation states of flavoproteins during catalysis [7, 26, 27]. Oxi-
dized flavins have broad absorption maxima at approximately 450 and 380 nm. The neutral blue semiquinones are characterized by a broad absorbance between 500 and 700 nm, with maxima in the region between 585 and 600 nm. In POR, the FMN, but not the FAD, semiquinone has a shoulder at 630 nm, which enables discrimination of the FADH• and FMNH• semiquinones and analysis of one-electron transfer reactions between FAD and FMN [27]. The FMNH• semiquinone is air stable, while the FADH• semiquinone is unstable and rapidly oxidizes in air. The stability of the neutral FMNH• semiquinone is likely due to a hydrogen bond between N5 of the FMN and the main chain carbonyl group of a highly conserved glycine residue in a nearby loop (Gly141 in rat POR).

The reduction potentials of the POR flavins have been determined for the rabbit [28], rat [29], and human [30, 31] enzymes. For FMN, ΔEox/sq = −110 ~ −66 mV and ΔEsq/red = −246 ~ −290 mV; for FAD, ΔEox/sq = −290 ~ −328 mV and ΔEsq/red = −372 ~ −382 mV. Although there are some variations in reduction potentials between species, the FAD semiquinone/reduced couple always exhibits a low reduction potential (~ −380 mV), at or near that of NADPH (~320 mV). Thus, FAD is the low-potential flavin and electron transfer proceeds from NADPH to FAD to FMN to P450 [32]. It should be noted that these reduction potentials have been determined for the solubilized protein in aqueous solution and, that membrane lipids and their compositions may influence the flavin reduction potentials [29].

### 2.2.2 Redox Cycling of POR Flavins

Figure 2.4 illustrates the overall reaction mechanism by which two-electrons from NADPH are transferred to the one-electron acceptor, ferric P450. Two electrons from NADPH must enter the enzyme as a hydride ion to the FAD, followed by intramolecular electron transfer to FMN. The FMN semiquinone is extremely stable, indicating that it is the hydroquinone FMN that transfers electrons to electron acceptors and that the fully oxidized enzyme form does not accumulate. The POR flavins cycle in a 1-3-2-1 electron cycle (upper half circle in Fig. 2.4a). The air-stable form, FMN+/FAD can be formed from the fully oxidized form during the priming reaction (Fig. 2.4b). At high concentrations of NADPH, the intermediate FMNH2/FAD is reduced to a four-electron reduced form [33, 34]. Since the air-stable semiquinone form is found predominantly in liver microsomes [26], the 1-3-2-1 cycle is likely the major mechanism in vivo. Although the low reduction potential of FAD, near
or below that of NADPH (−320 mV), suggests that formation of the fully reduced (four-electron reduced) form of the enzyme is thermodynamically unfavorable, the 2-4-3-2 cycle is also possible depending on the NADPH/NADP⁺ ratio [27].

### 2.2.3 Domain Structure and Function

As predicted, based on DNA sequence homology [35], POR likely arose from the fusion of two ancestral genes related to the flavodoxin (Fld) and ferredoxin NADP⁺ reductase (FNR) proteins. This hypothesis has subsequently been confirmed both by site-directed mutagenesis studies and X-ray crystallography [36], confirming the structural and catalytic functions of conserved residues. The domain organization of POR is apparent from the crystal structure of POR, exhibiting domains structurally related to flavodoxin and FNR (Fig. 2.2). Conservation of cofactor binding and catalytic residues is also observed. Furthermore, the fact that boundaries of the domains correspond to exon junctions in the gene encoding the enzyme is additional evidence that POR has arisen from a gene fusion event. The three-dimensional protein structures of spinach FNR, Fld from Desulfovibrio vulgaris, and rat POR also strongly support a common ancestor based on the very high structural similarity between the individual domains despite their very different origin [36, 37] (Fig. 2.2). The ability to express the different domains of POR as individual, functionally active proteins, and to successfully reconstitute these domains in vitro to form a functional protein complex of NADPH-cytochrome P450 oxidoreductase activity is additional evidence that POR has evolved as a result of gene fusion event [38, 39].

POR is anchored in the microsomal membrane by a ~56-amino acid N-terminal membrane binding domain (MBD), with the catalytic functions of POR residing in the soluble portion, residues 66–678 (residue numbering is based on rat POR, unless otherwise noted). As shown in Fig. 2.2, the structure of the soluble portion of POR is composed of an FMN-binding domain, which is structurally similar to Fld, and an FAD-binding domain. The FAD domain consists of an FNR-like domain with binding sites for FAD and NADPH and a connecting domain (CD), which is unique to POR and to all members of the diflavin reductase family, including nitric oxide synthases [40]. The CD is composed mainly of α helices that connect (join) the FMN and FNR-like domains. The FMN and FAD domains are linked by a flexible hinge/linker (residues 232–243), consisting mostly of hydrophilic residues.

The presence of a connecting domain and hinge is unique to all members of the diflavin oxidoreductases (Fig. 2.1). Although the amino acid sequences of the connecting domains (CDs) exhibit low (<30%) sequence homology, there is significant structural similarity among connecting domains of different members of the diflavin family (see comparison of POR and nNOS in Fig. 2.2). Both the length and sequence of the hinge are unique for each member of this family.
The hinge plays a crucial role in POR’s interaction with its electron transfer partners. It is believed that the hinge and connecting domain are largely responsible for the domain movements that control cofactor binding, interflavin electron transfer, and recognition and electron transfer to the partners (see below).

### 2.2.3.1 Membrane Binding Domain

POR is anchored to the lipid bilayer of the ER and nuclear membrane by an approximately 60 amino acid MBD. The MBD contains a 23-amino acid stretch of hydrophobic amino acids that presumably spans the lipid bilayer, followed by a stop-transfer sequence, 45RKKKEE50, and a flexible segment susceptible to proteolytic cleavage [41, 42]. Cleavage by trypsin at the Lys56-Ile57 bond releases the POR from the microsomal membrane. The trypsin-cleaved protein is no longer able to transfer electrons to P450, but retains activity towards other electron acceptors such as cyt c. Similarly, cyt b5 is attached to the membrane via a C-terminal MBD that is necessary for electron transfer to P450. Both passive and active roles in P450-mediated catalysis have been proposed for the MBD. Since fusion proteins, such as P450BM3 and the NOS isozymes, do not require the MBD for catalytic activity, the MBD likely serves to localize and possibly restrict movement of POR in the membrane rather than to provide a specific binding site [43–45]. In this case, the precise sequence of the membrane domain would be less important than its ability to insert into the membrane. Substitution of the POR MBD with that of cyt b5, which has only about 20% sequence identity, but a similar hydrophobicity profile [46], produced a chimeric POR that was able to transfer electrons to P450, but retained activity towards other electron acceptors such as cyt c. Similarly, cyt b5 is attached to the membrane via a C-terminal MBD that is necessary for electron transfer to P450. Both passive and active roles in P450-mediated catalysis have been proposed for the MBD. Since fusion proteins, such as P450BM3 and the NOS isozymes, do not require the MBD for catalytic activity, the MBD likely serves to localize and possibly restrict movement of POR in the membrane rather than to provide a specific binding site [43–45]. In this case, the precise sequence of the membrane domain would be less important than its ability to insert into the membrane. Substitution of the POR MBD with that of cyt b5, which has only about 20% sequence identity, but a similar hydrophobicity profile [46], produced a chimeric POR that was able to support CYP17A-mediated P450 activity, but not CYP3A4-mediated testosterone 6β-hydroxylation. Taken together with the observation that the MBD of yeast POR is not required for electron transfer to P450 51 [47], it appears that the MBD may contribute to P450 recognition and binding, but is likely that only one of many POR-P450 interactions may vary depending on the specific P450.

Recently, an interesting function for the MBD has been proposed by Das and Sligar [29], showing that the flavin redox potentials are influenced by the composition of the lipid bilayer. The significance of these altered redox potentials relative to catalysis has not been demonstrated. However, lipid composition, including charge, has been reported to influence rates of P450 metabolism in reconstituted systems [48].

### 2.2.3.2 FMN Domain

The FMN domain, consisting of residues from 67 to 231 of rat POR, is structurally very similar to the bacterial flavodoxins and consists of a five-stranded parallel β-sheet flanked by five α-helices (Fig. 2.2), with the FMN located at the tip of the C-terminal side of the β-sheet. In addition to the binding site for the FMN prosthetic group, this domain contains residues mediating binding of and electron transfer to acceptors such as cyt c and P450. FMN is relatively loosely bound (Kd ~10^-8 M) and can be reversibly removed from the enzyme by high salt treatment [27, 49]. In the absence of FMN, electron transfer to all acceptors, with the exception of ferricyanide, is abolished.

As observed in Fld, the isoalloxazine ring of FMN is sandwiched between two aromatic groups with Tyr178 coplanar with the si-face of the flavin, and Tyr140 located on the re-face at a ~60° angle to the isoalloxazine ring [36]. Mutation of Tyr178 to Asp decreases FMN binding to undetectable levels, with an approximately 300-fold decrease in FMN binding affinity, and also disrupts FAD binding [50]. A similar decrease in FMN binding affinity is seen when the homologous residue of human POR, Tyr181, is mutated to Asp [51, 52]; however, FAD binding is not disrupted in the case of the human mutation. Restoration of catalytic activity by FMN demonstrates that the inability to incorporate FMN is the likely basis for the NADPH-cytochrome P450 oxidoreductase deficiency (PORD) phenotype associated with this human mutation. The rate of electron transfer to ferricyanide activity is identical to that seen in the wild-type enzyme, indicating that the hydride transfer is not impaired.
2.2.3.3 Role of the FMN Domain and Connecting Domain in the Cytochrome P450 Interaction

The negatively charged surface of the FMN domain can interact with the basic concave proximal face of P450 in the vicinity of the buried heme ligand [53–56]. This region of P450 contains overlapping binding sites for POR and cyt b₅ [54]. A model of a putative complex of P450 2B4 and POR shows the total contact area between the two molecules to be \( \sim 1500 \text{ Å}^2 \), of which 870 Å² is located between the FMN domain and P450 [53]. A number of charge pairing and van der Waal’s interactions have been implicated in binding of P450 to POR, indicating that both electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions are necessary for the complex formation (Fig. 2.5).

The FMN domain has conserved patches of acidic residues involved in the electrostatic interactions with its electron transfer partners, and these interactions are specific for each electron transfer partner. Cross-linking experiments...
suggest that acidic residues in the FMN domain (207Asp-Asp-Asp209 and 213Glu-Glu-Asp215) contribute to binding of cyt c; however, cross-linking of these residues to P450 could not be demonstrated [57, 58]. Mutagenesis studies have demonstrated the importance of Glu213 and Glu214 in electrostatic interactions with oxidized and reduced cyt c. The 213Glu-Glu-Asp215 cluster does not affect P450 binding or activity, highlighting the distinct binding modes for these two partners [59]. Chemical modification and antibody labeling experiments have also suggested that the loop containing residues 110–119 in POR, located on the opposite face of the protein, can also contribute to P450 binding and catalysis (reviewed in [60]). Site-directed mutagenesis of Asp113, Glu115, and Glu116 improves catalytic efficiency of cyt c reduction, but destabilizes the POR-CYP2B1 complex [61]. A variety of chemical modification and mutagenesis studies, reviewed by Hlavica et al. [62] and Im and Waskell [55], have provided evidence implicating basic residues in the C-helix of P450 in electrostatic interactions with POR and cyt b5. Site-directed mutagenesis studies have identified seven basic and hydrophobic amino acids (Arg122, Arg126, Arg133, Phe135, Met137, Lys139, and Lys433), all except Lys433 located in the mobile C-helix and C–D loop, as important for both cyt b5 and POR binding [54]. Mutations to proline of residues in the linker between the two flavin domains also increased the cyt c reduction activity, presumably by favoring the open conformation of POR [63]. The hydrophobic amino acid residues Val267 and Leu270 on the proximal site of CYP2B4 also contribute to POR recognition, perhaps indirectly through a conformational change [64]. Although the electron transfer is presumed to occur within a 1:1 POR:P450 complex [65], the presence of higher-order complexes contributing to catalysis has been suggested [23, 66, 67]. The contribution of these higher-order complexes to catalysis in microsomes is not clear. However, it is likely that multiple P450s may associate to POR during the selection process in the course of catalysis as an encounter complex (see Sect. 2.3.2).

2.2.3.4 The FAD Domain
The FAD domain of POR is composed of the connecting domain (CD) and the FNR-like subdomain, which binds FAD and NADPH (Figs. 2.1 and 2.2). The FNR-like subdomain sequence consists of residues 267–325 and 450–678, interspersed with the CD (residues 244–266 and 326–450). Conserved residues necessary for FAD and NADPH binding, as well as for hydride transfer, are localized in this FNR-like subdomain. Unlike FMN, FAD is tightly bound to the reductase with a $K_d$ less than 1 nM. Removal of FAD requires treatment with a high concentration of chaotropic agent that leads to substantial polypeptide unfolding, providing further evidence for the independence of the two domains [68–70]. Residues comprising the FAD binding site include 455YYSIASS461, 471ICA V A VEY478, and 488G VAT491. Although Trp677 is stacked against the re-face of the FAD, removal of this residue does not have a significant effect on FAD content; the role of this residue in catalysis is discussed below. Major determinants of FAD binding are Arg454, which stabilizes the negative charge of the FAD pyrophosphate, and Tyr456, which is positioned at a 60° angle to the si-face of the isoalloxazine ring and whose phenolic hydroxyl group forms a hydrogen bond with the ribityl 4ʹ-hydroxyl [36, 71]. An unexpected finding for residues that influence FAD-binding was revealed in a human pathogenic mutant, Val492Glu (rat enzyme numbering, V489), which has less than 1% of wild-type FAD content (see Sect. 2.6).

2.2.4 Mechanism of Catalytic Action

2.2.4.1 Hydride Transfer
POR transfers the pro-R hydrogen from NADPH to FAD as a hydride ion. Residues essential for this hydride transfer include Ser457, Asp675, and Cys630, all of which are located in close proximity to the redox-active N5 of FAD and form a hydrogen bonding network that is disrupted upon
binding of the nicotinamide moiety of NADP(H) [72–74]. Replacement of these side chains with aliphatic groups decreases catalytic activities by up to three orders of magnitude. Ser457 and Asp675 interact with the nicotinamide group of NADP(H) and orient the C4 atom of the nicotinamide ring in a position for optimum hydride transfer. Cys630 is also within van der Waals distance from the nicotinamide C4 and can stabilize the carbocation formed during hydride transfer [74]. In addition, the hydroxyl side chain of Ser457 is located ~4 Å away from the flavin N5 and on the same plane as the flavin ring, in a position to stabilize the semiquinone form of FAD, and replacement of Ser457 with alanine decreases the FAD/FADH• redox potential [72].

The penultimate Trp677 residue plays a pivotal role in catalysis by controlling NADP(H) binding and release [74]. In the structure of the wild-type reductase in complex with NADP+, the indole ring of Trp677 is situated at the re-face of the FAD, where the nicotinamide ring of NADPH would bind to transfer its pro-R-hydrogen as a hydride ion. Furthermore, in the structure of the wild-type enzyme, the binding site for the AMP-pyrophosphate half of the NADP+ is clearly shown, while the ribose-nicotinamide moiety is disordered. However, crystal structures of a POR mutant lacking the indole ring by deletion of the two last C-terminal residues (Trp677 and Ser678), or mutation of Trp677 to glycine (Trp677Gly), reveal that the nicotinamide ring is situated at the re-face of the FAD, replacing the indole ring of Trp677, with a tilt of ~30° between the planes of the two rings, poised to transfer the hydride ion [74]. Thus, in the wild-type protein, the indole ring of Trp677 presumably moves away from the isoalloxazine ring of FAD, allowing the nicotinamide ring to interact with the flavin for hydride transfer to occur. In pea FNR, the homologous residue, Tyr308, is also displaced by the nicotinamide ring [75, 76].

Mutagenesis and crystallographic studies have revealed the bipartite nature of NADP(H) binding and provide an explanation of the marked preference of POR and FNR for the cofactor NADPH. The primary determinant for discrimination between NADH and NADPH is the 2'-phosphate group present on NADPH, but not NADH. Kinetic studies show that this 2'-phosphate of NADPH, binding as the dianion, contributes 5 kcal of binding energy through interactions with enzyme groups, with a major contribution with Arg597 accounting for ~3 kcal of binding energy. Lys602 and Ser596 also contribute to binding [77]. This tight binding of the 2'-phosphate is essential to compensate for the repulsive interactions between the nicotinamide and the indole ring of Trp677. When Trp677 is present, binding of the 2'-phosphate stabilizes cofactor binding sufficiently to allow the nicotinamide to displace Trp677. In the absence of Trp677, the nicotinamide can bind readily without any contribution from the 2'-phosphate and the enzyme is able to utilize NADH as the hydride donor. Furthermore, in the absence of Trp677, the enzyme is unable to displace oxidized nicotinamide after hydride transfer and catalytic efficiency with either NADH or NADPH is decreased due to rate-limiting product release [74, 78, 79], indicating that movement of Trp677 is required for both cofactor binding and release.

These studies indicate a requirement for structural changes, in addition to Trp677 movement, for regulation of NADP(H) binding and release. While movement of Trp677 back into the nicotinamide binding site (re-face of the FAD isoalloxazine ring) displaces the nicotinamide ring, additional movements are necessary to disrupt the strong binding of the 2'-phosphate. Local movements of the 631GDAR634 loop (Asp632 loop), located near the FAD, may be coupled with Trp677 movement to allow NADPH binding and NADP+ release [80]. Comparison of the structure of the NADP+ -bound wild-type enzyme with that of a mutant POR with an engineered disulfide bond between the two flavin domains and lacking bound NADP+, shows a movement of this Asp632 loop. Thus, Xia et al. have proposed that Asp632 loop movement, in concert with Trp677, controls at least in part NADPH binding and NADP+ release [80], and the details are discussed below in Sect. 2.5.

2.2.4.2 Interflavin Electron Transfer

POR intramolecular electron transfer occurs directly from FAD to FMN. In rat and human POR [36, 81], the distance between the
The dimethylbenzene edge of the isalloxazine rings of FAD and FMN is ~4 Å, and the planes of the FAD and FMN rings are inclined relative to each other at an angle of ~150°, an orientation that favors orbital overlap between the extended π–π systems of the flavin isalloxazine rings [74]. This arrangement of the two flavins is expected to result in very fast and efficient interflavin electron transfer, up to $10^{10}$ s$^{-1}$ using Dutton’s ruler [82]. However, the experimentally observed electron transfer rate has been measured to be only ~50 s$^{-1}$ [83, 84], suggesting that electron transfer is gated by some other process. The nature of the conformational movements controlling the rates of interflavin as well as flavin to heme electron transfer is discussed below.

### 2.2.4.3 Electron Transfer from FMN to Heme

The FMN domain functions both to accept electrons from the reduced FAD and to transfer those electrons to P450. Thus, precise and specific interactions between the FMN and FAD domains within POR, and between the FMN domain and P450 are required. This means that the FMN domain must be able to recognize both the FAD domain and P450. Separation of the two flavin domains is essential for this sequential electron transfer process. The FMN domain has a strong molecular dipole formed by anionic residues surrounding the flavin isalloxazine ring [85]. This convex anionic surface is involved in the specific docking with the heme protein. Little is known about the mechanism through which POR selects one of many electron transfer partners and it is likely that multiple protein conformations and binding sites are probed in the selection process. Figure 2.6 presents a scheme incorporating current hypotheses regarding formation of a productive POR-P450 electron transfer complex. Beginning from a pool of P450s in the ER membrane, in which multiple P450s exist, a selection process must occur by which one P450 binds in a more favorable conformation. A proposed sequence of events is as follows: (1) NADPH binds to the open form of POR, resulting in a closed conformation of POR. (2) In this closed conformation, hydride transfer and interflavin electron transfer occur, followed by NADP$^+$ release, resulting in an open conformation of POR. (3) This open form of POR is now capable of forming an eventual productive complex. It should also be noted that POR will favor substrate-bound ferric P450s compared to substrate-free P450s. Substrate binding increases the redox potential of the P450, makes the electron transfer reaction thermodynamically feasible, and prevents inappropriate reduc-
Substrate binding may also induce conformational changes on the proximal surface that favors POR binding. (4) A loosely bound encounter complex is formed [87]. (5) Further conformational changes at the interface are necessary to produce the electron transfer complex, in which the flavin and heme are appropriately positioned for electron transfer [87]. For a more detailed discussion on general protein–protein interactions, see the latter part of this chapter.

The requirements for cyt c binding are most likely less stringent than those for P450, and kinetic studies suggest the presence of more than one binding site for cyt c [88]. In contrast, the mechanism of electron transfer to small molecule acceptors such as dichloroindophenol or ferricyanide presumably involves random collisions followed by electron transfer.

A model for a docked POR-P450 complex (POR-P450 2B4) based on mutagenesis data with the open conformation of the POR hinge mutant (four amino acid deletion in the hinge between two flavin domains) by Hamdane et al. [53] indicates that the FMN domain interacts with the concave basic proximal face of P450. The planes of the heme and FMN are almost perpendicular to each other, and the shortest distance between the heme and flavin cofactors is about 12 Å (Fig. 2.5). However, two residues of P450 2B4, Phe429, and Glu439, lie in between the two cofactors, suggesting that these might serve to facilitate electron transfer between the FMN and heme. In the structure of the complex between the heme and FMN-binding domains of bacterial cytochrome P450BM3, the relative orientation of the two cofactors is similar to that found in the model structure, but the distance between the FMN and heme is slightly longer (∼18 Å) [89], indicating the validity of the model structure. Recently, the crystal structure of a complex between the heme and FMN-binding domains of P450BM3 provides structural insight into how these two domains interact with each other [89]. In this structure, the FMN dimethylbenzene ring is oriented toward the proximal face of the heme of P450 BM3, suggesting that POR must interact with P450 in a different conformation than the closed conformation observed in the wild-type POR crystal structure.

There are several lines of evidence from crystallographic studies, demonstrating that the two flavin domains are mobile. Superposition of the structures of wild-type and various point mutant structures of rat POR has shown that the relative orientation of, and distance between, the two flavin domains are variable, with the closest flavin–flavin distance ranging from 3.9 to

2.2.5 Domain Movement and Electron Transfer in POR

As stated above, the relatively slow rate of interflavin electron transfer suggests a gating mechanism. Crystal structures of various POR proteins, including the rat [36], human [81], and yeast PORs [91], and their various mutant proteins [74], clearly demonstrate that the enzyme molecule consists of two flavin-binding domains, and that the two cofactors are juxtaposed to each other with their dimethyl benzene rings facing one another, with the closest distance being ∼4 Å. Although this arrangement of the two flavin domains (“closed” conformation) is optimal for electron transfer between the two flavins, i.e., from FAD to FMN, it is incompatible with interaction of the FMN domain with P450, the physiological electron acceptor. In the closed conformation, the acidic residues located in the FMN domain and shown to affect electron transfer to P450 by mutagenesis studies [59] are not exposed to solvent, and therefore cannot interact with P450. In addition, the crystal structure of a complex between the heme and FMN-binding domains of P450BM3 provides structural insight into how these two domains interact with each other [89]. In this structure, the FMN dimethylbenzene ring is oriented toward the proximal face of the heme of P450 BM3, suggesting that POR must interact with P450 in a different conformation than the closed conformation observed in the wild-type POR crystal structure.
5.8 Å, suggesting small, but significant domain movements in solution [74]. Moreover, in the crystal structure of the flavoprotein subunit of *E. coli* sulfite reductase, electron density for the entire FMN domain is completely disordered, again suggesting movement of the FMN domain relative to the rest of the polypeptide [92]. The most direct demonstration of a large-scale domain movement and a transition from a closed to an open conformation comes from the crystal structures of mutant POR proteins. A POR variant with a four amino acid deletion in the hinge region that links the two flavin domains has been crystallized in three different extended conformations (open state), in which the distance between FAD and FMN cofactors ranges from 30 to 60 Å [53]. The mutant is defective in its ability to transfer electrons from FAD to FMN. However, when FMN is reduced chemically, the mutant POR is capable of reducing P450 2B4. The authors infer that a similar domain movement controlled by the hinge occurs in the wild-type enzyme during its catalytic cycle, enabling the FMN domain to adopt an open conformation capable of interacting with its physiological partner, cytochrome P450. Aigrain et al. have also seen an open conformation in the crystal structure of a yeast-human chimeric POR [93]. A different, but complementary approach has been used by Xia et al. [80], in which an engineered disulfide linkage between the two flavin domains locks POR in a closed conformation unable to interact with P450. Indeed, the mutant exhibits substantially decreased inter flavin electron transfer and is essentially unable to catalyze the P450-dependent monooxygenase activity. Reduction of the disulfide linkage restores the ability of the mutant to support both interflavin electron transfer and reduction of its redox partners, consistent with domain movements being required for the FMN domain of POR to interact with both the FAD domain and P450, i.e., shuttling between the two redox-active partners.

In addition, several solution studies provide evidence for large domain movements of POR in catalysis. Hay et al., demonstrate, using electron-electron double resonance methods, that POR exists in multiple conformations in a continuum of a conformational landscape that is changed by nucleotide binding [94]. Using a combination of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) methods, Ellis et al. [95] have shown that the oxidized human POR exists in solution as a mixture of approximately equal amounts of two conformations, one consistent with the crystal structure (closed form) and one a more extended structure, which presumably is required for interaction with its electron transfer partners (open form). In addition, the relative contributions of each conformation at equilibrium are affected by the binding of NADP(H), with the nucleotide bound form favoring the closed form. On the other hand, Vincent et al. [96] have recently employed high resolution NMR measurements with residuespecific 15N relaxation and 1H−15N residual dipolar coupling data to show that oxidized POR in solution in the absence of bound nucleotide exists in a unique and predominant conformation resembling the closed conformation observed in the crystal structure. However, at present more data are accumulating for the predominance of the closed form when the nucleotide is bound. Pudney et al. [97] have demonstrated, using a combination of fluorescence resonance energy transfer and stopped flow methods, that open and closed states of POR are correlated with key steps in the catalytic cycle, i.e., NADPH binding induces closing of POR and reduction of flavins and/or NADP+ release induces opening of POR. Recently, Huang et al. have shown, using small angle X-ray scattering and small angle neutron scattering together with site-directed mutagenesis, that POR in solution exists in equilibrium between a compact (closed) conformation and an extended (open) conformation and that this equilibrium is linked to nucleotide binding and redox state [63]. Currently, it is generally agreed that the closed conformation is favored when the NADP(H) is bound and the FAD is oxidized; and that the enzyme adopts an open conformation ready to transfer electrons to P450, i.e., when the enzyme is reduced and NADP+ has been released (see Fig. 2.6).

In summary, there is mounting evidence that POR must undergo several different types of
conformational changes during catalysis. Hubbard et al. have shown that, upon binding of NADPH, the C-terminus of POR including the aromatic residue Trp677 undergo significant conformational changes [74]. In addition, comparison of the structure of POR with and without bound NADP⁺ suggests that the movement of the loop containing Asp632 is necessary for binding of the nicotinamide moiety of NADPH to the re-face of the FAD isoalloxazine ring. Given these results, suggesting that Trp677 and the Asp632 loop movements occur together, Xia et al. [80] have proposed a scenario for coordinated conformational changes that occur during NADPH binding, hydride transfer and NADP⁺ release (Fig. 2.7). Since NADPH-binding and Trp677 movement precede hydride transfer, these steps and the subsequent interflavin electron transfer step must occur with the enzyme in the closed conformation. This is followed by a large-scale domain movement to the open conformation that is necessary for interaction with P450. This large movement must be tightly coordinated with electron transfer to prevent reactions with oxygen and production of superoxide. It is most likely that a similar sequence of conformational changes
would also take place in other members of the diflavin oxidoreductase family. However, details of the mechanism by which the large-scale domain movements are coordinated to movements of loops and individual amino acids remain to be established. Furthermore, at this time it is unknown whether stochastic domain movements play a role in the mechanism of action of POR or whether they are strictly controlled.

### 2.2.6 Human POR Deficiency

Several lines of evidence exist in different biological systems demonstrating the essential cellular functions of POR-dependent P450 activity. The entire POR gene deletion is lethal in yeast and *Caenorhabditis elegans* due to impaired P450-dependent biosynthesis of ergosterol and an as-yet unidentified lipid, respectively [98–100]. Global deletion of murine microsomal POR produces multiple developmental defects and embryonic lethality. Neural tube, cardiac, eye, limb, and vascular defects are seen in homozygous null embryos, as well as a failure of development, which have been ascribed to defects in cholesterol and retinoid metabolism [101, 102].

The ability to delete POR in a tissue-specific manner has provided further insights into the diverse physiological functions of POR, both in metabolism of endogenous substrates and xenobiotic metabolism. Liver-specific ablation of POR gave rise to massive lipid accumulation and hepatomegaly, in the presence of decreased serum cholesterol and triglyceride levels, suggestive of defects in regulation of hepatic lipid metabolism [101, 102].

Since the first report of four individuals with POR deficiency [108], numerous reports worldwide have been published, describing the varying phenotypes associated with this syndrome. A total of over 2000 single nucleotide polymorphisms have been described in the human POR gene (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp), encompassing over 150 missense mutations (including premature terminations), over 10 frame shift/deletion/duplication mutations, and 9 splice site variants. Mutations affecting transcription have also been identified and interpreted in terms of the POR promoter structure [109, 110]. Detailed information on POR deficiency with a clinical focus can be found in several excellent reviews [111–113].

Mutations in human POR that significantly disrupt cholesterol biosynthesis and/or steroidogenesis have been shown to result in POR deficiency, characterized by Antley–Bixler syndrome and disordered steroidogenesis [108, 114]. Clinical findings vary greatly in POR deficiency, ranging from severe skeletal malformations associated with the Antley–Bixler syndrome and congenital adrenal hyperplasia to relatively mild hormonal dysregulation. In general, the most severe phenotypes are associated with largest disruptions in ability of POR to support P450-dependent activity [112]. CYP17A1 is known to be particularly sensitive to perturbations in electron transfer, with 17,20 lyase activity favored over 17α hydroxylase activity in the presence of cyt b5 [116]. Therefore, disordered steroidogenesis is a prominent feature of POR deficiency, which distinguishes it from the Antley–Bixler syndrome with normal steroidogenesis [114]. Clinical findings vary greatly in POR deficiency, ranging from severe skeletal malformations associated with the Antley–Bixler syndrome and congenital adrenal hyperplasia to relatively mild hormonal dysregulation. In general, the most severe phenotypes are associated with largest disruptions in ability of POR to support P450-dependent activity [112]. CYP17A1 is known to be particularly sensitive to perturbations in electron transfer, with 17,20 lyase activity favored over 17α hydroxylase activity in the presence of cyt b5 [116]. Therefore, disordered steroidogenesis is a prominent feature of POR deficiency, which distinguishes it from the Antley–Bixler syndrome with normal steroidogenesis [114]. Clinical findings vary greatly in POR deficiency, ranging from severe skeletal malformations associated with the Antley–Bixler syndrome and congenital adrenal hyperplasia to relatively mild hormonal dysregulation. In general, the most severe phenotypes are associated with largest disruptions in ability of POR to support P450-dependent activity [112]. CYP17A1 is known to be particularly sensitive to perturbations in electron transfer, with 17,20 lyase activity favored over 17α hydroxylase activity in the presence of cyt b5 [116]. Therefore, disordered steroidogenesis is a prominent feature of POR deficiency, which distinguishes it from the Antley–Bixler syndrome with normal steroidogenesis [114]. Clinical findings vary greatly in POR deficiency, ranging from severe skeletal malformations associated with the Antley–Bixler syndrome and congenital adrenal hyperplasia to relatively mild hormonal dysregulation. In general, the most severe phenotypes are associated with largest disruptions in ability of POR to support P450-dependent activity [112]. CYP17A1 is known to be particularly sensitive to perturbations in electron transfer, with 17,20 lyase activity favored over 17α hydroxylase activity in the presence of cyt b5 [116]. Therefore, disordered steroidogenesis is a prominent feature of POR deficiency, which distinguishes it from the Antley–Bixler syndrome with normal steroidogenesis [114]. Clinical findings vary greatly in POR deficiency, ranging from severe skeletal malformations associated with the Antley–Bixler syndrome and congenital adrenal hyperplasia to relatively mild hormonal dysregulation. In general, the most severe phenotypes are associated with largest disruptions in ability of POR to support P450-dependent activity [112]. CYP17A1 is known to be particularly sensitive to perturbations in electron transfer, with 17,20 lyase activity favored over 17α hydroxylase activity in the presence of cyt b5 [116]. Therefore, disordered steroidogenesis is a prominent feature of POR deficiency, which distinguishes it from the Antley–Bixler syndrome with normal steroidogenesis [114].

Sequence homology and mapping of missense mutations onto the POR crystal structure have allowed identification of the functions of several missense mutations. Tyr181Asp, Arg457His, Tyr459His and Val492Glu mutations result in low cyt c and CYP17A1 activities; Tyr181Asp causes decreased affinity for FMN-binding [51,
and Arg457His and Val492Glu cause decreased FAD-binding affinity [108, 119]. The results of Tyr181Asp and Tyr459His mutations are entirely consistent with the hypothesis that the aromatic residues are required for binding of FAD and FMN [81, 119]. Furthermore, the crystal structures of human wild-type and two variants (Val492Glu and Arg457His) have been determined [81]. The overall 3D structures of Arg457His and Val492Glu variants are similar to wild-type; however, there are subtle, but significant differences, including local disruption of hydrogen bonding and salt bridging involving the FAD pyrophosphate moiety, leading to weaker FAD binding, an unstable protein, and loss of catalytic activity, all of which can be rescued by cofactor addition. Thus, riboflavin therapy may prevent or rescue from POR dysfunction patients with these mutations [81, 119].

Although mutations that dramatically decrease POR activity are rare, other polymorphisms, such as Ala503Val, are quite common and there is interest in the effects of these variations on inter-individual variability in drug metabolism [120–122]. The complexity of this effort may be illustrated by studies on the Ala503Val mutant, which has an allele frequency of ~27% [120–122]. In view of the high frequency of this allele, several studies have attempted to assess the contribution of this mutation to inter-individual variation in drug metabolism. Variable results are reported, depending on the P450, the substrate, and the assay systems employed [123–126]. It is increasingly apparent that the effects of POR variants on P450-mediated metabolism require examination of each P450-POR pair and possibly each substrate separately, with further complications introduced by the membrane environment.

### 2.3 Interaction Between Cytochrome b<sub>5</sub> and Cytochrome P450

#### 2.3.1 Properties of Cytochrome b<sub>5</sub>

Cytochrome b<sub>5</sub> (cyt b<sub>5</sub>) is a 134 amino acid membrane-bound electron transfer heme protein that is anchored to the ER membrane by its COOH terminus. The soluble heme domain and membrane anchor are connected by a ~14 amino acid random coil linker [54, 127–129] (Fig. 2.8). It also exists as a soluble protein in red blood cells, where it transfers electrons from cyt b<sub>5</sub> reductase to hemoglobin. Its membrane-bound form provides electrons for the biosynthesis of lipids including plasmalogen, cholesterol, and long-chain fatty acid desaturation [127, 129]. In these reactions, cyt b<sub>5</sub> reductase provides the electrons to cyt b<sub>5</sub>. A cyt b<sub>5</sub> domain also exists as a fusion protein in mitochondrial sulfite oxidase, Δ5- and −Δ6 fatty acid desaturases in animals, yeast inositol phosphorylceramide oxidase, plant nitrate reductase, Δ9-fatty acid desaturases in baker’s yeast, NADH cyt b<sub>5</sub> oxidoreductase in animals, and flavocytochrome b<sub>2</sub> in yeast mitochondria [127, 130]. A closely related mitochondrial cyt b<sub>5</sub> has also been described. The human mitochondrial cyt b<sub>5</sub> has been shown to provide electrons to an amidoxime-reducing electron transfer chain. It reduces a molybdenum containing enzyme,
which, in turn, directly reduces the N-hydroxylated substrate [131].

The interaction of cyt b₅ and P450 has been well established. However, it remains a complex and controversial topic that has been reviewed previously [54, 127, 132]. In vitro in reconstituted systems, as well as in vivo in the mouse knockout and the mouse with a conditional hepatic deletion of cyt b₅, the effects of cyt b₅ on P450 are contradictory and incompletely understood [132–136]. In purified reconstituted systems, cyt b₅ has been observed to stimulate the activity of some P450s (CYP2B4, CYP2E1, CYP2B1, CYP4A7, CYP2A6, CYP2C19, CPY3A4, CYP17A). In contrast, cyt b₅ has no significant effects on the activity of P4501A2 and 2D6 [137]. Reports have also appeared of inhibition of P450 activity by cyt b₅ [132, 138, 139]. In vivo disposition of drugs in the total body cyt b₅ knockout mouse and in the conditional hepatic cyt b₅ deletion mouse were also complex. The metabolism of some drugs was decreased, while degradation of other drugs was not affected [136, 140]. This chapter will primarily emphasize advances in our understanding of the P450-cyt b₅ interaction that have occurred over the past decade. More than four decades ago, it was shown that cyt b₅ had the ability to decrease the concentration of oxy Fe²⁺ P450 in hepatic microsomes upon addition of NADH to an NADPH-containing reaction mixture, which was consistent with the ability of cyt b₅ to transfer electrons to P450. The molecular basis of this interaction between cyt b₅ and P450 has intrigued investigators ever since [141].

2.3.2 General Characteristics of Interprotein Interactions

Before proceeding with the specifics of the P450-cyt b₅ interaction, the properties of interprotein interactions in general will be presented to provide the framework for the discussion of the P450-cyt b₅ interaction and to help appreciate the P450-POR interaction discussed in the previous section of this chapter. In order for electron transfer to occur between proteins, they must come into contact [142]. Complexes formed between electron transfer proteins typically are weak, on the order of millimolar to micromolar affinities [143]. This weak affinity allows specific but not too perfect binding, so that redox partners can bind, but then readily dissociate and proceed to recycle. If proteins were free in solution, a collision would require a 3D search for the electron transfer site. However, in redox proteins and many other protein complexes, the docking sites have been designed to increase the efficiency of the interaction by employing electrostatic steering and structural complementarity. Electrostatic forces are inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the charged surfaces and are effective over distances up to 25 Å. Structural complementarity is also a major driving force for protein binding. In the case of P450 and its redox partners, cyt b₅ and P450 reductase, the binding of the proteins to the membrane is also hypothesized to decrease the search for the docking site from three to two dimensions. Although electrostatic forces enhance the association rate of proteins, they are considered to result in an “encounter complex,” which may not be the optimal electron transfer complex. Following formation of the “encounter complex,” short-range diffusion occurs at the interface with sidechains and backbone atoms of residues at the interface undergoing rapid motions to identify a suitable electron transfer complex [87]. Electron transfer occurs rapidly over distances of 14 Å or less, thereby assuring that electron transfer is faster than the usual millisecond bond-breaking at the catalytic site [142]. The 14 Å distance is between the edges of the entities, such as heme and the isoalloxazine ring, exchanging electrons. Quantum chemical calculations suggest that the wave function of a free electron localized at a redox center, for example heme, extends beyond the cofactor in all directions, while decaying exponentially into the electrically insulating amino acid medium [144]. To maintain charge neutrality, proton transfer often occurs essentially simultaneously with electron transfer.

Clackson and Wells have shown that an average of 10–30 residues from each protein are in contact in crystal and NMR structures at an interprotein interface, but that only three to four
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amino acid pairs contribute the majority of binding energy to the complex [145]. Site-directed mutagenesis is the major tool employed to investigate which amino acids are most critical. Often, the key residues are found near the center of the interface while the more peripheral residues contribute less binding energy to complex formation, but most likely serve to occlude bulk solvent from the hot spot. Hydrophobic and ionic interactions, as well as hydrogen bonds, are all typically found in a protein interface, although one type of interaction may dominate [146]. It has also been noted that redox proteins that are reactive toward multiple partners, such as cyt b₅ and P450 reductase, employ binding sites that are able to accommodate a variety of molecular surfaces [147].

2.3.3 Interactions Between Cytochrome b₅ and Cytochrome P450

Bearing in mind the preceding brief background about the nature of typical interprotein interactions, the specifics of the P450-cyt b₅ interaction will be discussed. Figure 2.9 is a schematic of the reaction cycle of P450 with cyt b₅ and P450 reductase. As a result of the demonstration in hepatic microsomes: (1) that cyt b₅, which has been reduced by NADH, was partially oxidized upon addition of NADPH when substrate and oxygen were present and (2) that it coincided with product formation, it was hypothesized that cyt b₅ donated an electron to oxyferrous P450. This suggestion was consistent with two observations. One was that, under steady-state conditions in microsomes, the absorbance of oxyferrous P450 at 440 nm decreased in the presence of NADH [141]. A second observation was that NADH enhanced NADPH-supported catalysis in microsomes. Both experiments contributed support to the notion that cyt b₅ was able to provide the second electron required for P450 catalysis [148].

These reports have prompted the performance of a large number of experiments over the ensuing decades by a number of investigators in an attempt to understand how cyt b₅ enhanced catalysis in hepatic microsomes and why POR was necessary for the effect of cyt b₅. Redox potentials of ferric cytochromes P450 are ~−300 mV in the absence of substrate and are increased to ~−245 mV in the presence of substrate, while the potential of cyt b₅ is ~+25 mV (Fig. 2.9) [129, 149–152]. From a thermodynamic perspective, cyt b₅ will be unable to reduce ferric P450, but would be able to reduce oxyferrous-bound P450, which is estimated to have a potential of ~+50 mV [153]. The FMN hydroquinone of POR has an appropriate potential, ~−270 mV, to reduce the substrate-bound ferric and oxyferrous P450. This enables catalysis to proceed in the absence of cyt b₅ [33, 149]. However, the requirement for the reductase to reduce the ferric protein, thereby initiating catalysis, accounts for the observations that cyt b₅ acts after the reductase in the catalytic cycle, decreases oxyferrous P450 in hepatic microsomes, and coincides with product formation [141], implying that cyt b₅ reduces

![Fig. 2.9](image-url)
oxyferrous P450. Employing the conditional hepatic deletion of both cyt b5 and P450 reductase, Wolf and colleagues were able to demonstrate that cyt b5 reductase and cyt b5 were able to support low levels of P450 activity [140].

When purified proteins became available, it could be demonstrated that cyt b5 could stimulate, inhibit, or have no effect on catalysis by a purified reconstituted P450 and POR [132]. Moreover, these effects were shown to depend on both the particular isozyme of microsomal P450 and the substrate. The sequence of addition of reactants to the assay mixture also influenced the results [154]. To add to the conundrum about the role of cyt b5 in P450 catalysis, it has also been suggested that apo-cyt b5, lacking the heme, could stimulate catalysis by selected isozymes of P450 [133, 155, 156].

To gain a better understanding of the function of cyt b5 in P450 catalysis, its overall effect on the utilization of NADPH for product formation, rather than side product formation (superoxide and hydrogen peroxide), was investigated by several laboratories [127, 157, 158]. It was concluded that cyt b5 enhanced coupling of NADPH utilization for product formation, i.e., the efficiency of catalysis, by decreasing the formation of the side products, hydrogen peroxide and superoxide.

With P450 2B4, cyt b5 improved the efficiency of NADPH utilization for product formation for both poor and good substrates by approximately ~15% by generating less of the side product superoxide, which rapidly dismutates to hydrogen peroxide. These results suggest an explanation for the substrate dependent effects of cyt b5. A 15% increase in efficiency of a poor substrate will significantly increase the absolute amount of product formation by a given amount of NADPH. In contrast, a substrate that is already metabolized with a 50% efficiency will not undergo a marked increase in the absolute amount of product formation when the reaction efficiency is simply increased by 15% [157]. Cyt b5 lacking the C-terminus membrane-binding domain has been found by many investigators NOT to enhance P450 activity [127, 159, 160].

2.3.4 The Binding Site on P450 for Cyt b5 and P450 Reductase

Having achieved a better understanding of the overall effect of cyt b5 on P450 catalysis, investigators conducted experiments with the goal of elucidating the molecular mechanism by which cyt b5 exerted its influence.

As the heme is buried and not directly accessible on the surface of type I and II P450s, it cannot accept electrons from other protein donors via direct contact between the prosthetic groups. An incoming electron must initially encounter amino acids of the P450 polypeptide [53, 161–163]. The heme is closest to the surface near the axial cysteine, which, by convention, has been designated as the proximal surface of P450. The surface closest to the heme and the cysteine has a positive potential especially in microsomal P450s (P450cin 869 Debye; P450cam 697 D; P450BM3 640 D; P450 2D6 1197 D; (http://dipole.weizmann.ac.il/) P450 17α-hydroxylase/lyase 1197 D. It is concave with the cysteine at the approximate center and bottom of the concavity. A considerable amount of evidence has accumulated from mutagenesis experiments, ionic strength manipulations, chemical cross-linking studies, crystal structures, and NMR investigations that the anionic, convex surfaces of the redox partners (cyt b5, P450 reductase, and ferredoxins such as putidaredoxin and adrenodoxin) dock with the basic concave proximal surface of P450 [53, 128, 161, 164–168].

To recap, the interprotein interfaces are complementary with respect to the geometry and electrostatics of their interfaces, which is typical of redox protein interactions [142]. Cyt b5 and POR are promiscuous redox proteins, capable of reducing many different proteins in both physiological and nonphysiological reactions. Thus, it is logical that the specificity of physiological reactions will be dictated by the acceptor protein. A noncognate redox partner might bind and compete with the physiological cognate donor, but if it does mediate catalysis, it usually does so at a markedly slower rate than the cognate reductase.
Although the microsomal redox partners will bind to the proximal surface of P450, each complex interface will be unique due to the nonidentity of each P450, but nonetheless share many characteristics.

Figure 2.10 illustrates residues on the proximal surface of P450 2B4 (1SUO) that have been demonstrated to participate in redox partner binding, either directly or indirectly, by a conformational change [53]. Residues demonstrated to participate in both cyt $b_5$ and P450 reductase binding are shown in dark pink, while the two residues whose mutation decreases only the affinity for P450 reductase are in green. Both basic and nonpolar residues (F135, M137) are important for the interaction. Another key conclusion from the observation of unique but overlapping binding sites for cyt $b_5$ and reductase is that both cannot be bound to P450 simultaneously. As a result, they will compete for binding to P450. The competition will depend on the relative abundance of each partner and its relative affinity for P450. Even though there is no evidence at this time for a protein corresponding to cyt $b_5$ in either $P$. putida, the source of P450cam or $B$. megaterium, the source of P450BM3, the soluble form of cyt $b_5$ does interact with these P450s on the proximal surface of the respective P450, albeit with significantly (2–3 orders of magnitude) decreased affinity compared to the cognate reductase [170, 171]. As predicted, anionic cyt $b_5$ competes with the acidic putidaredoxin for binding to P450cam [170, 172]. However, cyt $b_5$ does not support rapid catalysis by P450cam. In addition to binding, a specific interaction with a redox partner is required for efficient catalysis [168]. A similar situation exists with P450BM3 which is a dimeric fusion protein between a heme and diflavin P450 reductase domain [171]. Soluble housefly cyt $b_5$ can bind to both the separate P450BM3 heme domain and the intact protein but lacks the ability to enhance the activity of the intact pro-

![Fig. 2.10](image-url) The binding site for P450 reductase and cyt $b_5$ on the proximal surface of P450 2B4. Residues in dark pink are involved either directly or indirectly through a conformational effect in binding both the reductase and cyt $b_5$. These residues are in the C helix and the β-bulge. Residues in green are involved only in binding the reductase. They are located in the L helix and between the meander and the β-bulge.
tein. Interestingly, intact *E. coli* flavodoxin supports a low level of enzymatic activity of P450 17A1 during expression [173].

In view of the similarity of the proximal surfaces of P450s, residues that have been implicated in the binding of either cyt *b*5 or reductase by studies, either in humans or in vitro, have been mapped onto the proximal surface of P450 2B4 (pdb code 1SUO (Fig. 2.11) [174]. These residues are located in the B, C, J, K, H, and L helices, the β-bulge, and the residues between the meander and β-bulge. Data from the following P450s have been included in Fig. 2.11: CYP101, CYP102, CYP1A1, CYP1A2, CYP2A5, CYP2B1, CYP2B4, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2E1, CYP3A4, CYP6AB3, CYP17A1, CYP19. [65, 87, 134, 164, 166, 167, 169, 172, 175–185]. Figure 2.11 demonstrates that the P450s, for which there is structural information about the docking surface, all interact with their redox partners on the proximal surface as proposed [161]. Although basic residues predominate, hydrophobic residues and hydrogen bonds also contribute to the docking interface between the partners [87].

Selected proteins (CYP101, CYP1A2, CYP3A4, CYP6AB3, CYP19) appear to dock with the reductase in the B–B′ helix (residues R85, V89, D90, Q91) which is close to the substrate binding site and the I helix. Reductase binding at this site might induce conformational changes in the active site. Many of the P450 residues in the C helix, β-bulge, and N-terminus of the L helix have been demonstrated to be essential to the interprotein complex for both reductase and cyt *b*5. In view of the proximity of the C helix and β-bulge to the heme, many of the residues in these secondary structures contact the heme. It is not unexpected that their residues would be important for redox partner interactions. Structural evidence (from the >100 P450 structures in the pdb) is also accumulating that redox partner binding transmits conformational and dynamic changes to the active site and that conformational changes from the active site can be transmitted to the proximal surface. Substrate binding to

**Fig. 2.11** Composite of interaction sites from different P450s with cyt *b*5 and NADPH-cytochrome P450 oxidoreductase (POR). Left: Residues from various cys *b*5 that react with different P450s are mapped onto the surface of cyt *b*5. Right: Residues from different P450s that react with POR are mapped onto the proximal surface of P450 2B4 (pdb 1SUO). The basic residue labels are blue; acidic residue labels are red; neutral residue labels are green.
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P450s typically decreases the flexibility of residues involved in substrate binding and modifies the architecture of the active site. Substrate and inhibitor binding may also modify the conformation of the redox partner-binding site. P450s are extraordinarily flexible molecules, well suited to perform their numerous functions [168, 186, 187].

Examination of Fig. 2.11 demonstrates that there is a ring of basic residues (R443, R133, R126, R125, R122, K433, R85, R422, K421, H354, R343) around the rim of the depression on the proximal surface, which is also present with some variation on the proximal surface of other P450s. The long, flexible basic residues which are components of this rim are in an excellent position to “electrostatically steer” and dock with the negatively charged surface of the redox partner to form an encounter complex (Fig. 2.6). In view of current knowledge, it appears that each P450 employs slightly different residues to react with its promiscuous redox partners. In humans, there is a single reductase that provides electrons to approximately fifty microsomal P450s and heme oxygenases, while cyt b₃ also reacts with several very different redox partners (desaturases and enzymes involved in the synthesis and biodegradation of lipids [127]. It is, therefore, necessary for the P450 to provide the specificity of the reaction. For example, three residues (Arg347, Arg358, Arg449) on the proximal surface of P450 17α-hydroxylase form a positively charged patch critical for cyt b₃ binding [160, 165]. Mutation of these residues preferentially diminished the binding and lyase activity of cyt b₃ compared to the binding and 17α-hydroxylase activity of the reductase, consistent with the notion that the P450 controls the specificity of the interaction with the redox partners [188].

2.3.5 Binding Site on Cyt b₃ for P450

The sequence of the soluble, negatively charged, heme-binding domain of microsomal cys b₃ is highly conserved in eukaryotes with about 80% identity and very conservative substitutions. The two most conserved motifs are the HPGG, which includes one of the axial histidines, and the GXDATD/E. In mammals, the glutamate and aspartic residues are completely conserved, while Asp58 is the most highly conserved acidic residue among all the different cys b₃ [189]. The plant heme-binding cyt b₃ domains are ~ 50% similar [166, 190]. Mutagenesis, cross-linking, and modeling studies indicate that anionic residues surrounding the solvent exposed cyt b₃ heme are important for binding to P450, as is a heme propionate. Most of the residues implicated in participating in binding to P450s are on or near the loops that host the two axial histidines (H44, H68), i.e., the “40s” and “60s” loops between α-helices 2 and 3 and α-helices 4 and 5, respectively [128, 134, 165, 166, 191–194]. An exception is the highly conserved Asp58 located ~14 Å away from the 60s loop [190]. Since it is located in a loop between β-strand 5 and the start of helix α-4, it may have a structural role and, as a result, may be altering the 60s loop conformation. A heme propionate has also been implicated in binding P450 (Figs. 2.12 and 2.13) [127, 128, 134]. Figure 2.11 illustrates the anionic surface of cyt b₃ with the location and identification of amino acids whose mutation has resulted in decreased interaction with a number of different P450s, respectively [127, 128, 134, 165, 166, 191–194]. Note the paucity of cyt b₃ residues deemed important for binding to P450s and that it was sometimes necessary to construct a double mutant to observe a significant decrease in function. This observation is consistent with the conclusion of Dutton and coworkers, namely that in nature interprotein electron transfer has generally been engineered to be robust and resistant to mutational changes and minor perturbations by positioning the electron donor and acceptor within 14 Å [142]. In one study, 13 residues surrounding the heme were mutated to alanine [128]. Eleven of the residues had no or only a very modest effect on the interaction with P450 2B4. Of the eleven amino acids shown not to contribute significant energy to the binding of P450 2B4, four of them (G49, V50, E53, Q54) were in contact with P450 2B4 in models of a major and minor complex between cyt b₃ and P450 2B4 (Figs. 2.12 and 2.13). Interestingly, one of the two residues observed
to contribute most to the binding energy of the complex was hydrophobic Val66, the other was Asp65 [128].

### 2.3.6 Model of the P450 2B4 and Cyt b\textsubscript{5} Complex

On the basis of mutagenesis data from seven P450 2B4 mutants and 13 cyt b\textsubscript{5} mutants, a double mutant cycle analysis, and NMR-generated constraints, a model of the P450 2B4-cyt b\textsubscript{5} complex has been constructed using the docking algorithm HADDOCK [128]. HADDOCK first docks the two proteins as rigid bodies to minimize intermolecular energy. Next, it allows residues at the interface to move to optimize side chain and backbone orientations. Finally, the structures are refined in explicit solvent layers. Major and minor complexes were observed, indicating the dynamic nature of the complexes (Figs. 2.12 and 2.13). In the major complex, residues in the “60s loop,” which flanks axial His68, are in contact with P450, whereas in the minor complex, the cyt b\textsubscript{5} is slightly tilted so that residues in both the “40s” and “60s” loops are in contact with P450 2B4. Altogether seventeen cyt b\textsubscript{5} residues were in contact with P450 2B4. Models of the cyt b\textsubscript{5}-P450 3A4 and cyt b\textsubscript{5}-P450 2E1 complexes, together with mutagenesis data, also indicate that the “60s loop” is likely the primary area of contact with these P450s [134, 166].
Mutagenesis experiments suggest that the “40s loop” is involved in binding to P450 17A1, while P450 2C19 interacts with the residues in the “60s loop” [192].

The most notable feature of the complex is the salt bridge formed by the highly conserved Arg125 of P450 between the heme D propionates of both cyt b₅ and P450 2B4. Arg125 of P450 2B4 is homologous to P450<sub>cam</sub> Arg112, which has been shown to be essential for interprotein electron transfer [182]. HARLEM, an electron transfer pathways prediction program, proposed that electron transfer may occur between the heme propionates [128]. The heme edges are 9 Å apart, while the heme irons are separated by 20.9 Å, well within the generally accepted...
electron tunneling distance of 14 Å [142]. The surface area of the complex interface is \(\sim 1150 \, \text{Å}^2\). It is formed by salt bridges, hydrogen bonds, and hydrophobic residues, as proposed for electron transfer proteins [87]. Results of a double mutant cycle analysis revealed that P450 2B4 Lys433, located three residues upstream of axial Cys436 in the β-bulge, was in contact with the acidic amino acid Asp65 and the hydrophobic Val66 of cyt b\(_5\). Arg122 in the P450 C helix interacts with Asp65 [128]. Lysines, in CYP2E1, CYP1A2, and CYP2C9 that are homologous to Lys433 have been implicated in binding its redox partners. Due to its proximity to the heme, Lys433 and homologous lysines are well situated to transmit structural information from the redox partner to P450 and perhaps electrons.

For comparison, Fig. 2.14 shows the residues in contact in the model of the complex between P450 2B4 and the FMN domain of P450 reductase. Figure 2.5 provides an overview of the complex [53]. Interprotein contacts include salt bridges, hydrogen bonds, and van der Waals interactions. The area of the interface of the FMN domain-P450 complex is 870 Å\(^2\), slightly smaller than the cyt b\(_5\)-P450 interface. It can be seen that P450 residues implicated in binding P450 reductase also participate in binding cyt b\(_5\). While the different P450s all appear to utilize their proximal surface for docking, each proximal surface is unique. The interprotein complexes will be similar, but not identical, and will be formed based on the general principles of interprotein complex formation. Homologous residues may make quantitatively different contributions to the binding energy of their respective complexes. Utilization of overlapping but nonidentical sites for P450 reductase and cyt b\(_5\) binding predicts the redox partners will compete for binding to P450 and their binding will be mutually exclusive. Experiments with P450 2B4, P450 17A1, and P450 3A4 demonstrate that cyt b\(_5\) and P450 reductase
do, indeed, compete for docking with P450 [128, 134, 165]. In a particular situation, the relative affinity of the redox partners for P450 and the relative concentration of cyt \( b_5 \) and the reductase will determine which partner actually binds to the P450. How the binding of microsomal P450s to their partners is orchestrated in vivo is unknown. Since there are alleged to be \(~5–20\) molecules of P450 for every reductase molecule in microsomes, the in vivo regulation of the interprotein reaction is presumed to be highly regulated by a currently unknown mechanism. [22, 23, 195].

2.3.7 Mechanism of Action of Cyt \( b_5 \) with P450

The possible mechanisms of action of cyt \( b_5 \) with P450 have been reviewed [127]. The proposed mechanisms of action will be summarized and then discussed in light of recent experiments that have begun to provide some clarity (see Fig. 2.9 for the P450 reaction cycle). (1) One possibility is that cyt \( b_5 \) provides the second electron to oxyferrous P450 faster than POR. (2) The second possibility is that cyt \( b_5 \) enhances the utilization of NADPH for product formation, possibly because it provides the second electron faster than P450 reductase. (3) The third possibility is that P450, POR, and cyt \( b_5 \) form a ternary complex. Reductase delivers two electrons to the diheme complex via P450. Reductase then dissociates from the ferrous diheme complex. After oxygen binds to P450, the ferrous cyt \( b_5 \) immediately reduces oxyferrous P450. It was proposed that reduction of oxyferrous P450 by bound cyt \( b_5 \) would occur faster than reductase dissociation to retrieve a second electron. (4) The fourth possibility is that cyt \( b_5 \) acts as an effector in the reaction with P450.

1. When the rates of reduction of an oxyferrous microsomal P450 by cyt \( b_5 \) and P450 reductase were directly measured and compared, it was observed that cyt \( b_5 \) and reductase both reduced oxyferrous P450 2B4 at the same rate [149]. Unexpectedly, the P450 reacted differently following reduction, depending on whether it had accepted an electron from cyt \( b_5 \) or POR. Presumably this occurs because each redox partner elicited a different conformational change in the active site on the distal side of the heme. In the presence of cyt \( b_5 \), product was formed rapidly with the substrate benzphetamine and with \(~52\%) coupling, whereas product formation was significantly slower (\(~10–100\)-fold) and less coupled (\(~32\%) with the reductase. Coupling refers to utilization of electron equivalents for product formation. How much slower depends on the substrate [196]. How generalizable a phenomenon and observation this is awaits the results with different P450 isozymes.

2. Numerous investigators have indeed shown that cyt \( b_5 \) may enhance the coupling of NADPH utilization for product formation at the expense of side product (hydrogen peroxide and superoxide) formation [157, 158, 197]. While this is a reproducible observation, it is not a molecular explanation for the actions of cyt \( b_5 \). Increased efficiency of NADPH utilization could be explained by the more rapid rate of product formation, which allows less time for production of the side products hydrogen peroxide and superoxide.

3. While it was established as early as the 1980s that ferrous P450 could reduce ferric cyt \( b_5 \), the role of such a reaction in altering the activity in a reconstituted system is uncertain [158, 198, 199]. Moreover, the alleged formation of a ternary complex between P450, cyt \( b_5 \), and P450 reductase has been challenged [57, 200]. A functional ternary complex is also incompatible with the large amount of mutagenesis data that reveals cyt \( b_5 \) and the reductase have overlapping binding sites on the proximal surface of P450s and with observations from several laboratories on purified reconstituted systems that the redox partners compete with one another for a binding site on P450 [134, 139, 165].

4. There is a significant amount of evidence from a number of laboratories that cyt \( b_5 \) can act as an effector for some P450s. P450 2D6 and P450 1A1 are known exceptions [201]. One of the earliest and most convincing examples is the partial conversion of the hexacoordinate
low-spin to the pentacoordinate high-spin heme iron, which occurs when cyt b₃ binds to a P450 (2B4, 3A4, 17A1, 4A7). Displacement of the sixth axial ligand, water, from the heme of P450 by cyt b₃ in many instances is greater when substrate is present in the active site. One of the simplest explanations for the displacement of the water from the P450 heme iron is a conformational change induced by the binding of cyt b₃ that is subsequently transmitted to the active site, resulting in the displacement of water from the iron. In view of the tremendous flexibility of P450s exhibited by the P450 atomic resolution crystal structures, there are several plausible pathways through which conformational changes could be propagated from the proximal surface to the distal substrate-binding pocket. One is that docking with residues on the C helix can transmit changes via the substrate-binding B-B' loop and helices to the I helix near the conserved active site threonine and acidic residue.

Of the four previously proposed mechanisms of action of cyt b₃, it appears that there is insufficient evidence for ternary complex formation and a more rapid reduction of oxyferrous P450 by cyt b₃ compared to POR. Both reduce P450 at the same rate. It is proposed that cyt b₃ simultaneously has two effects on the P450 isozymes exhibited by the P450 atomic resolution crystal structures, there are several plausible pathways through which conformational changes could be propagated from the proximal surface to the distal substrate-binding pocket. One is that docking with residues on the C helix can transmit changes via the substrate-binding B-B' loop and helices to the I helix near the conserved active site threonine and acidic residue.

2.3.8 Apo Cytochrome b₅

Currently, there is no consensus about whether apo cyt b₃ (cyt b₃ devoid of heme) is able to act only allosterically to stimulate catalysis by P450 or whether apo cyt b₃ must first bind heme to form holo cyt b₅, which is both capable of electron transfer and an allosteric effect. One of the difficulties in analyzing the literature about apo cyt b₃ is that dissimilar conditions have been employed to investigate not only different isozymes but also identical proteins, precluding a satisfying conclusion about the effects of apo cyt b₃ on P450 catalysis. NMR studies have shown that the structure of apo cyt b₅ and cyt b₃ are similar, with only minimal differences in their secondary structure [202]. As a result, they are expected to have a similar interaction with P450s. Models of a complex between P450 3A4 and apo cyt b₃ and holo cyt b₅ have been constructed. They indicate that both complexes form very similar docking sites on the proximal surface of P450 3A4 in a location that overlaps with the POR binding site [134].

Apo cyt b₃ has been found to stimulate some P450s (P450 3A4, the 17,20-lyase reaction of P450 17A1, and P450s 2A6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 3A5, 4A4, 4A7 and 6A1) [133, 134, 155, 156, 158, 197, 201], but not others (P450 2B4, 2E1, and 2D6) [132, 133, 137, 203]. Apo cyt b₅ can only stimulate a P450 activity if the holo cyt b₅ can enhance the activity. Apo cyt b₅ has also been reported to induce a spin-state change in some P450s, which indicates that apo cyt b₃ binds to P450. This is not surprising in view of their similar structures [134].

Recently, the allosteric stimulatory effector role of apo cyt b₃ was challenged [204, 205]. It was proposed that the stimulatory effect of apo cyt b₃ was due to the transfer of heme from P450 3A4 and P450 17A1 to apo cyt b₅, thereby creating holo cyt b₅, which is known to possess stimulatory properties. A more compelling argument about the lack of the stimulatory ability of apo cyt b₃ was their demonstration that neither a redox inactive Zn-substituted protoporphyrin IX derivative of cyt b₅ nor an axial His67Ala mutant that is unable to bind heme was able to stimulate the activity of either P450 3A4 or P450 17A1. Moreover, the addition of a heme scavenger, apo myoglobin, to the reaction mixture eliminated the stimulatory effects of the apo cyt b₃.
These studies prompted a reexamination of the stimulatory effects of apo cyt b₅ in a reconstituted system with P450 3A4 and 17A1 [133]. The reexamination concluded that far less heme transfer occurred than could be accounted for by the stimulatory effects of apo cyt b₅. Furthermore, apo myoglobin did not inhibit the stimulatory effects of apo cyt b₅. The reexamination did not include investigation of the effects of redox inactive cyt b₅ which had been reconstituted with a Zn-substituted protoporphyrin IX. Nor did it investigate whether cyt b₅ mutants that were unable to bind heme were still stimulatory. Several laboratories have reported that cyt b₅ reconstituted with Mn protoporphyrin IX, which is redox inactive in the reconstituted system, was unable to stimulate the activity of P45O [132, 139, 200, 206, 207]. In fact, as the concentration of Mn cyt b₅ was increased relative to a constant amount of P45O and P450 reductase, NADPH consumption and activity decreased and the rate of reduction of ferric P45O was diminished. These effects of Mn cyt b₅ are consistent with the ability of Mn cyt b₅ to decrease the rate of reduction of ferric P450 by competing with P450 reductase for binding to P45O [139]. In addition, it has been reported that siblings with a homozygous axial histidine variant of cyt b₅, His44Leu, exhibited a phenotype with abnormal genitalia and low androgens, indicative of an apparently isolated deficiency of the cyt b₅ requiring 17,20-lyase activity of P450 17A1. An elevated methemoglobin (Fe³⁺ Hb) was also noted. This human phenotype is supportive of a nonfunctional apo cyt b₅ in vivo [208]. Drug metabolism was not investigated in these individuals.

In conclusion, in spite of the different isoforms and experimental conditions involved, apo cyt b₅ does appear to affect the activity of selected P450s. Its mechanism of action continues to be vigorously debated. Nevertheless, the weight of the evidence is pointing to the likelihood that only P450s that are able to transfer their heme to apo cyt b₅ to form holo cyt b₅ and also have activities that are increased by holo cyt b₅ are stimulated. For example, P45O 3A4 and 17A1 have been observed to transfer heme to apo cyt b₅ under experimental conditions, whereas P45O 2B4 does not significantly transfer heme to apo cyt b₅. Due to the similarity of apo- and holo cyt b₅ structures, apo cyt b₅ may also compete with reductase for a docking site on P45O, which, depending on the molar ratios of the redox partners to P45O and their relative affinities for P45O, could decrease the activity of the isozyme even in the absence of holo cyt b₅ formation.

2.3.9 Summary of Mechanism of Action of Cyt b₅ on P45O

Although our understanding of how cyt b₅ can increase, decrease, or have no effect on catalysis by P45O, and why its actions are dependent on the isozyme and substrate, is still incomplete, significant progress has been made in the past four decades in elucidating its mechanism of action. The fact that both cyt b₅ and reductase reduce oxyferrous P450 at the same rate indicates that the mechanism of action of cyt b₅ occurs after reduction of oxyferrous P450 in the reaction cycle. Its stimulatory effects are consistent with an ability to generate the active oxidizing oxyferryl species, compound I, more rapidly than P450 reductase. It is likely that this occurs by inducing a conformational change in the proton delivery network in the P45O active site. More rapid formation of compound I would allow less time for side product formation and result in increased efficiency of catalysis.

Evidence is also accumulating that is supportive of the notion that cyt b₅ and reductase compete for a binding site on the basic proximal surface of P450s. The ability of a redox partner to bind a P45O will depend on the relative concentrations and relative affinities of the redox partners for the specific P45O isozyme. At higher molar ratios compared to a constant P45O:POR 1:1 ratio, cyt b₅ will abort the reaction cycle by preventing P450 reductase from reducing ferric P45O, while at lower molar ratios cyt b₅ is stimulatory [196]. No effect is observed when the opposite effects cancel. The actions of cyt b₅ on different isozymes of P45O is inferred to depend on its ability to induce the conformational changes in the active site necessary for more rapid catalysis and on
its affinity for the particular P450 in comparison to the POR.

A final dilemma is: why does the effect of cyt 

$\text{b}_5$ vary with the substrate even when it is being metabolized by the same P450? This is the least understood, most enigmatic of the effects of cyt $\text{b}_5$. It has been observed that under similar conditions, the same P450 utilizes qualitatively the same amount of NADPH regardless of the substrate, while cyt $\text{b}_5$ increases the efficiency of catalysis by roughly 15\% regardless of the substrate [157]. These results lead to the speculation that a poor substrate whose metabolism is 2\% coupled will have the absolute amount of its metabolism enhanced by merely 30\%, which may be within experimental error [157]. How generalizable this speculation is awaits detailed studies of other P450s and substrates. Both reactions should be subject to inhibition by high concentrations of cyt $\text{b}_5$. If cyt $\text{b}_5$ really does increase the efficiency of catalysis by approximately the same amount irrespective of the substrate, it implies that its putative allosteric effect is probably not always dependent on the substrate. The highly flexible nature of P450s has been noted and likely contributes to the variety of results.
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