There’s no question “Necessity is the Mother of Invention.” And so it was in the late 1990s when to me, as Director and Principal Investigator of the University of Alabama at Birmingham’s Injury Control Research Center (UAB-ICRC), Dame Necessity presented herself early one Monday morning. The ICRC’s biomechanist suddenly and unexpectedly accepted a position elsewhere. My initial thoughts (following a fleeting assessment of the pros and cons of flinging myself from the top of our parking deck) involved trying to figure out how to turn this mini-catastrophe into something positive. Then, almost magically, a goal I long believed in became worth trying. I recalled a familiar political admonition: *Never allow a crisis to go to waste when it is an opportunity to do some things you have never done before.*

Failing to convince our biomechanist to change his mind, I decided on the spot to seize the opportunity to attempt to create something I had never before been in a position to try. I would establish a voluntary consortium of the brightest and best scientists from a few prestigious institutions whom I would (somehow) convince to work together collegially instead of competitively on the most pressing and important injury biomechanics problems of the day.

The caliber of person I needed to help me pull off this plan was not at the time at UAB. I must look elsewhere to find the leading scholar with the credentials and experience to attract the kind of extraordinary scientists who would need to agree to become part of this consortium if it were to have even one chance in a hundred to succeed. The enterprise would require someone willing to risk, as I was, innovative thinking, and unorthodox practices. Based on years of experience, I understood if talented, high-profile scientists from other institutions were to gamble on my idea, I myself must be willing to occupy the place where predictable disfavor, even wrath, would be aimed if our venture should fail. Why wouldn’t my university be skittish? The infrastructure of the model I had in mind would call on my university to share indirect dollars, the lifeblood of virtually all academic research settings. Still, given the lack of depth in injury biomechanics on our School of Engineering’s bench during that era, I had little choice. I would ask forgiveness rather than seek permission. I believed a consortium would succeed and UAB’s
administrative hierarchy would eventually forgive us, even amid the predictable cacophony of murmured displeasure from accountants and grant managers who cared not a wit about the quality of the science, but only about the bottom line. I was not dissuaded. I know that success has many fathers. When we succeeded many would emerge to bask in the shared glory of having made it all possible. I also knew those tentative fathers were totally insulated against failure. If the experiment did not work, it would be entirely my fault.

The immediate objective was to identify and engage a highly regarded engineer with impeccable credentials to help establish what I envisioned becoming the Gold Standard motor vehicle crash injury biomechanics research initiative in the nation. I stress “initiative” because the model in my mind was not based on bricks and mortar. Rather, it was Gestalt-driven with the whole becoming greater than the sum of its parts. Experts working on research we mutually determined necessary would remain exactly where they were, in some of the finest university-based injury biomechanics laboratories throughout the country.

Soon I placed the first and one of the most important of all the phone calls that would be made on behalf of an idea that would come to be known as “The Southern Consortium for Injury Biomechanics.” I contacted Dr. Barry S. Myers of Duke University, a highly regarded biomechanist. Dr. Myers had heard of me but that was about all. Still, he listened to what I had to offer: possible funding for a small scale injury biomechanics proposal to be included in our ICRC’s forthcoming competitive renewal application. His acceptance of that funding opportunity paved the way for a collaboration that was quite unlike anything ever before attempted in the CDC-sponsored injury control research center program.

We developed a blueprint linking the UAB-ICRC with Dr. Myers’ Biomechanics Engineering Group at Duke University. That was only Phase 1 of three distinct phases to the new collaborative relationship. Phases 1 and 2 were confined to the collaboration between the UAB-ICRC and Barry S. Myers’ group at Duke. Phase 3 extended beyond the UAB-Duke nidus and, within a short period of time, was populated by a handful of equally well-known and well-regarded injury biomechanists from several other highly esteemed research institutions.

Our shared vision was bold: significantly reduce traffic fatalities and injuries by bringing together top scientists to conduct collaborative rather than competitive safety research. For all practical purposes, the approach we took had little reason to succeed. Traditionally, most scientists work in their own laboratories on specific aspects of large problems. Even with communication through published manuscripts and professional meetings, it can take years for important knowledge products to result in safety innovations. SCIB participants chose to cooperate to identify and define problems, select and engage the most qualified scientists to work on each aspect of each problem, and remain in touch in a constant, deliberate manner to provide regular feedback, input, ideas, and solutions to problems. Freed from the fear that revealing individual discoveries could threaten future funding, SCIB promoted a stimulating, creative environment.
With shared commitment to the concept, we witnessed the emergence of loosely
knit, discipline-driven project teams composed of prominent scientists who previously
had been, at best, friendly competitors. In retrospect, it appears a primary reason the
collaborations began to thrive was that collectively scientists had the resources and
tools needed to address some of the most challenging injury biomechanics problems
of the day. This unique multidisciplinary mix resulted in the teams enjoying state-
of-the-art research capacity that facilitated rigorous investigation of a group of
problems from a multiple scientific perspectives. This capacity yielded more com-
plete understanding of the problems. Moreover it yielded applicable solutions.
Unlike independent workers, the SCIB research teams had the ability to apply a
spectrum of research tools and techniques to a broad range of vexing problems
impacting both human and mechanical systems. The teams were fluid, so any given
project could be staffed by experienced scientists whose skill sets were ideally
matched to specific project requirements at particular times. This diverse supply of
“big hitter” personnel is not typically found at a single institution. A reasonable
analogy might be a professional sports all-star team. In retrospect, the comparison
seems entirely apropos.

Between 2000 and 2009 SCIB research filled in critical knowledge gaps in the
understanding of how the human body reacts to the rapid, destructive impact of a
high-velocity crash. The SCIB’s research portfolio focused on preventing injuries to
the head, neck, and extremities in adults and in children. SCIB members appreci-
ated that children are different and could not continue to be studied simply as “small
adults.” This served as the underlying inspiration for pioneering work on a digital
pediatric crash dummy known as the Digital Child. It also lead to improving com-
puter modeling of pediatric crash injuries through development of a comprehensive
online pediatric biomechanics data archive that evaluates and documents the current
state of the most relevant research as well as policies affecting the automobile
industry.

Admittedly, my vision of this initiative eventually becoming the Gold Standard
motor vehicle crash injury biomechanics research activity in the country proved
overly ambitious. We did not attain it. We tried hard, did some exciting and out-
standing work, and were quite productive. The taxpayers whose hard-earned dollars
supported this effort received an enormous return on their investment including a
lengthy list of significant successful projects resulting in important contributions to
injury biomechanics, the simultaneous training of a new generation of injury scien-
tists who were able to participate in these unique collaborative research projects and
who were trained to carry on this work and, finally, this Archive and Textbook
which is the overall effort’s crowning achievement.

As it is formally referenced, Pediatric Injury Biomechanics: Motor Vehicle
Crash Injury Research is designed to be updated continuously as research advances
and new data emerge. It is intended to become an indispensable tool for research
scientists, vehicle designers, and rule, policy, and decision makers working on to
make the motor vehicle environment as safe as possible for children.
From the outside looking in at the end results of this effort, the extent of the challenges overcome during this decade-long odyssey may be hard to see. To those of us who lived it (and survived it) memories of some of the more harrowing moments have been attenuated by time and the sheer joy of being able, at long last, to hold in our hands one of the first printed copies of a genuine labor of love. The Textbook’s incubator, the Southern Consortium for Injury Biomechanics, grew from a mere idea in late 1999 and early 2000 into an engine of leadership in injury biomechanics research for the remainder of the decade.

In retrospect, there is no question the unconventional research alliance between 2 and eventually as many as 11 prestigious medical research universities represented the very best in innovative, strategic thinking and creative management. That SCIB came into existence at all reflects an unselfish willingness among this group of extraordinary scientists to work voluntarily in a manner that recognized the potential cost-effectiveness of collaboration while exploiting the enormous benefits for the field of injury biomechanics.

In the years since the SCIB was established in 2000, extramural funding for injury biomechanics research has become near nonexistent. Denied the financial resources to continue groundbreaking work together, SCIB leaders made an important decision: to identify a single area in which there was consensus regarding greatest need and greatest potential benefit. A thoughtful, deliberative process generated the concept, design, and name of SCIBs final effort, the Pediatric Injury Biomechanics: Motor Vehicle Crash Injury Research textbook.

A number of factors influenced the selection of the final project. For example, one of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration’s (NHTSA) highest priorities is child injury prevention in the automotive crash environment. Automobile and child restraint manufacturers around the world are working diligently to improve child safety in cars. Unfortunately, researchers report the lack of available, reliable data needed to predict pediatric occupant kinematics and mechanisms of injury, to determine appropriate injury criteria, to develop age appropriate crash test dummies, and to evaluate effectively the potential for injury to children in the automotive crash environment. Also the study of when, how, and why pediatric injuries occur is one of the most rapidly emerging areas of crash injury research. Little published data exist that describe the structural, material, and mechanical properties of children as they age. Consolidation and analysis of this information is intended to provide essential information needed by researchers working in the field of pediatric injury including those involved in rulemaking activities, injury criteria development, child dummy development, and child injury interventions development, all of which will markedly improve the motor vehicle safety effectiveness for the younger population. Consolidation of this information also will help avoid duplication of previous research and identify critical gaps in the data and areas for future research.

This Archive and Textbook is particularly responsive to the needs of the automotive safety community because it consolidates all publicly available pediatric injury biomechanics research in one place, making it easily accessible. The book also
helps identify exactly what research needs to be done to address the remaining
issues related to child safety in the automotive crash environment.

The data archive component should prove to be an invaluable tool that will assist
in identification of gaps in research as well as provide insight regarding future
research direction decisions. It is intended to be a dynamic, living document that
will be updated with current research and advancements in pediatric injury biome-
chanics on a regular, on-going basis. It is our hope the Archive and Textbook quickly
becomes the “go-to” Best Reference for the epidemiology of motor vehicle-related
childhood injury data, pediatric anthropometry, pediatric biomechanical properties,
tissue tolerance, and computational models.

The textbook is divided into three sections. The first is entitled Introduction and
Scope of the Problem. It contains two chapters, leading with information on pediat-
ic anthropology. The second chapter is devoted to the epidemiology of motor
vehicle crashes in which children were injured or killed. The book’s second section
is entitled Experimental Data and consists of four chapters. Together, they provide
a critical review, analysis, and summary of experimental testing organized by body
regions. These four chapters also address age-based material, physical properties,
and structural properties organized by body regions. By title, Chap. 3 is “Experimental
Injury Biomechanics of the Pediatric Extremities and Pelvis.” Chapter 4 is
“Injury Biomechanics of the Pediatric Head and Brain.” Chapter 5 is
“Injury Biomechanics of the Pediatric Neck,” and Chap. 6 is
“Injury Biomechanics of the Pediatric Thorax and Abdomen.” The
third section is entitled Computational Models and consists of a single, comprehen-
sive chapter entitled “Pediatric Computational Models.” It provides information
describing models that are currently available and explains how they are being used.
In addition it devotes considerable attention to innovative computational modeling
techniques that can be used to improve efficiency and data analysis.

In closing I wish to offer my most profound thanks to Mr. Stephen A. Ridella and
Dr. Erik G. Takhounts who are both affiliated with the National Highway Traffic
Safety Administration (NHTSA) and who are respected scientists in their own right.
Steve and Erik reviewed, edited, corrected, and ultimately gave their seal of approval
to the textbook. The importance of their assistance and the contributions they made
cannot be underestimated. And, of course, my sincere thanks to an impressive
assemblage of topic-specific expert contributors who were invited to be part of this
effort because of their professional experience and impeccable scientific reputa-
tions. The excellence of their science is reflected in the chapters of this book.

Finally, a few words about the extraordinary group of gifted scientists who are
ultimately responsible for this landmark contribution to pediatric injury biome-
chanics coming to fruition. My cherished friends and colleagues, Dr. Jeff R. Crandall
(UVA), Dr. Barry S. Myers (Duke University), Dr. David Meany (U. Penn),
Dr. Bharat K. Soni, and Dr. David Littlefield (UAB) have done a remarkable job of
writing topic-specific chapters and editing the work of other chapter contributors.

The final coeditor, Salena Zellers Schmidtke, a UAB School of Engineering
Masters Degree recipient who is the founder, President, and CEO of Bioinjury
LLC, of Alexandria, Virginia, has been the project’s CPU. This amazing woman understood, embraced, and nurtured the project from the moment she learned of the idea and was offered the opportunity. Notwithstanding the enormous contributions of her coeditors and chapter contributors, there is consensus that this book would not have happened had it not been for her tenacity, her commitment, and her dedication to the field of injury biomechanics... and all that that implies. Being able to think of these people not only as colleagues but as friends is a distinct honor and privilege that I acknowledge with humble appreciation.
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