According to the W3C Semantic Web Activity [1]: The Semantic Web provides a common framework that allows data to be shared and reused across application, enterprise, and community boundaries. This statement clearly explains that the Semantic Web is about data sharing. Currently, the Web uses hyperlinks to connect Web pages. The Semantic Web goes beyond that and focuses on data and envisions the creation of the web of data. On the Semantic Web, anyone can say anything about any resource on the Web. This is fully based on the concept of semantic annotations, where each resource on the Web can have an assigned meaning. This is done through the use of ontologies as a formal and explicit representation of domain concepts and their relationships [2]. Ontologies are formally based on description logics. This enables agents and applications to reason over the data when searching the Web, which has not previously been possible.

Web 2.0 has gradually evolved from letting the Web users play a more active role. Unlike the initial version of the Web, where the users mainly “consumed” content, users are now offered easy-to-use services for content production and publication. Mashups, blogs, wikis, feeds, interface remixes, and social networking/tagging systems are examples of these well-known services. The success and wide adoption of Web 2.0 was in its reliance on social interactions as an inevitable characteristic of the use and life of the Web. In particular, Web 2.0 focuses on creating knowledge through collaboration and the social interactions of individuals (e.g., wikis). These systems use terms (tags) to reflect personal assertions about resources, recommend content to the other members in the community, as well as to build a shared community vocabulary (folksonomy).

Both Web 2.0 and the Semantic Web obviously offer many benefits, but at the same time exhibit some deficiencies. On the one hand, the Semantic Web requires very expensive knowledge acquisition procedures in order to make use of its full power. Examples are expert involvement in ontology development and advanced semantic annotation techniques. The recent research on ontologies suggests that ontologies are not just about symbols representing knowledge, but also about the social interactions of the ontology users [3]. This notion has considerable influence on the adoption of Semantic Web technologies, as the construction, use, and evolution of
ontologies and semantic annotation are difficult tasks [4–6]. On the other hand, Web 2.0 technologies in general, and collaborative tagging in particular, suffer from the problems of ambiguity in their tags’ meanings and the lack of semantics (e.g., synonyms), the lack of coherent categorization schemata, and the needed time and size of the community in which they will be used [7]. Intuitively, this can be addressed by ontologies, clearly explaining why the Semantic Web and Web 2.0 are complementary approaches often referred to as the Social Semantic Web or Web 3.0 [8].

Special Issue Theme

This special issue covers both perspectives of – Web 2.0 and the Semantic Web. In addition to the focus on either of these two technologies, the special issue also covers the “third” approach as well – what other technologies contribute to both the Semantic Web and Web 2.0? We are witnessing flourishing of service-oriented architectures, model-driven engineering, and Web-mining technologies, to name but a few, that might have a considerable impact on both Semantic Web and Web 2.0. The special issue tries to answer the following questions. Can these other technologies bridge the controversies between the Semantic Web and Web 2.0, or do they only widen the gap and drive the two approaches further away from each other? Alternatively, can other technologies take on the role of matching up with the semantic demands of Web 2.0 applications? Can other technologies help users effectively create, maintain, map between, and use RDF/OWL content, in order to further support Web 2.0 participatory ecosystems of content that is supplied and maintained by their users?

Selected Papers

This special issue brings together eight peer-reviewed papers that represent the current state of the research in the areas of Web 2.0 and the Semantic Web. We grouped the papers into four general sections. The first section covers the topics of collaborative tagging, integration of folksonomies, ontology-based disambiguation of collaborative tags, and novel interaction interfaces for semantically enabled knowledge sharing and grouping. The second section investigates the use of adaptivity and personalization of user interfaces in Web 2.0 and Semantic Web applications. The third section is also related to user interfaces, but from the perspective of traceability and synchronization of two aspects of knowledge representation, one is suitable for machine reasoning and another one is suitable for human use. The final section looks at possible benefits of the combined use of Semantic Web technologies with the techniques of the data mining and model-driven software engineering disciplines in the domains of e-learning and digital libraries.
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Tagging and Semantics

The first section of the special issue is dedicated to the topics of collaborative tagging, integration of collaborative tags, and semantic enrichment of collaborative tags. The paper “A system for integration and leveraging of collaborative tags” by Milan Stanković and Jelena Jovanović looks into the problems of integration of collaborative tags created at different locations. Due to the collaborative nature of tagging systems such as del.icio.us, Flickr, and CiteULike, users can easily share content and knowledge. However, once the users move from one collaborative tagging system to another one, the tags are typically encapsulated inside their original systems, while some of those (CiteULike) even do not provide any APIs to access them. To address this problem, Stanković and Jovanović developed the TagFusion system. TagFusion implements different strategies for integration of collaborative tagging systems, such as harvesting tags from all systems a user is subscribed to, and their integration into the tag cloud of the application at hand. TagFusion also supports more advanced usage scenarios where it is possible to automatically tag some content by using the collaborative tags created elsewhere. An important feature of TagFusion is that it distinguishes between human- and machine-created annotations. This can be leveraged in ranking of the discovered resources by giving the higher priority to those resources whose annotator was human.

As the authors of TagFusion state, TagFusion makes one step further toward the idea of the Semantic Web. However, given that different tagging systems are produced by different communities and that they are specific to different contexts, there is a need to consider the integration of collaborative tags by investigating their semantics. This is the problem that Fabian Abel, Nicola Henze, Daniel Krause, and Matthias Kriesell address in their paper entitled “Semantic enhancement of social tagging systems.” This paper proposes the GroupMe! system, which combines Web 2.0 and Semantic Web technologies. From the Web 2.0 side, it leverages intuitive user interfaces that allow users to create groups of resources (Web pages, videos, images). Creation of groups, addition of resources to the groups, and any other operation related to the groups are all saved as RDF triples compliant to a set of ontologies that GroupMe! uses. Such an RDF approach to capture group annotations leverages semantic technologies for integration and sharing of groups among the users through the use of Semantic Web benefits. In particular, this eliminates the problems of ambiguity and improves the ranking of the discovered resources.

Adaptability and User Interfaces

Collaborative tagging leverages the idea of collaboration of a number of users on the Web in order to produce shared knowledge (e.g., folksonomies). The key aspect for the success of collaborative technologies, in particular, and Web 2.0 in general, is in the advanced user interfaces that allow users to easily interact with each other
and with the content. While collaboration is widely supported, the main challenge is how to develop techniques for personalization of both Web 2.0 and Semantic Web systems. In her paper “Adaptation and recommendation techniques to improve the quality of annotations and the relevance of resources in Web 2.0 and Semantic Web-based applications,” Ilaria Torre recognizes that (semantic) annotation is the major factor for the success of both Web 2.0 and Semantic Web. Therefore, she investigates how different adaptation and recommendation techniques can improve the quality of semantic annotation. Starting from an analysis of weaknesses of the Web 2.0 and Semantic Web approaches, Torre comes up with a set of criteria for improvement of the quality of semantic annotation.

As already mentioned, the success of Web 2.0 is often attributed to the use of advanced user interfaces that provide rich user experience. However, the major challenge is to provide rich-user experience on the Semantic Web. Kay-Uwe Schmidt, Roland Stühmer, Jörg Dörlinger, Tirdad Rahmani, Susan Thomas, and Ljiljana Stojanovic in their paper “Adaptive reactive rich Internet applications” analyze the problem of adaptivity of applications that provide rich user experience. The key challenge is to recognize the current context in which the user is working. To address this challenge, Schmidt and his colleagues propose the concept of Adaptive Reactive Rich Internet Applications. The key idea of the concept is its distinction between offline/design-time and online/run-time levels. At design-time, ontologies are used both to annotate Web applications and conceptually mine Web usage. To enable adaptation, Schmidt et al. propose a lightweight rule language based on the paradigm of reaction rules (event–condition–action). These rules are used on the client-side of Web applications and are triggered as a result of semantically enabled data mining. At run-time, the proposed architecture creates user models on the client-side of Web applications and leverages the created user models as the input of the event processing and rule engine, which is also placed on the client-side of the applications.

Knowledge Representation and User Interfaces

Adaptivity is certainly important for personalization of user interfaces, but an equally important challenge is that of traceability between the machine-processable and human-readable representation of knowledge. Danica Damljanović and Kalina Bontcheva in their paper “Towards enhanced usability of natural language interfaces to knowledge bases” investigate the problem of using natural language as an interface to knowledge bases. Considering this in terms of the Semantic Web, natural language is used as the input representation of user queries. Such queries are automatically translated into formal queries and executed against an ontology and ontology-based repository. Damljanović and Bontcheva survey a number of different systems as per a set of usability criteria, which they also identify in the paper. Based on the conclusions of the survey, they propose a set of recommendations for improving usability of natural language interfaces to ontologies from
the perspective of end users. In this analysis, they included the following aspects: vocabulary restriction, feedback, guided interfaces, personalized vocabulary, and disambiguation strategies.

Usability is a key aspect for the successful document authoring and management. Many different domains have various standards for document and content management (e.g., IEEE Learning Object Metadata for e-learning) along with the accompanying content management tools. However, current practice indicates that very few content authors use these tools in spite of their very advanced features and compliance to standards. The problem is in the usability and habituality of the tools. Namely, content authors stick to the content authoring tools they are familiar with (e.g., Office tools). Similarly, semantic technologies offer many advanced services for document management, but they are typically not well connected with the user-readable representations of documents. Saša Nešić addresses this problem in his paper entitled “Semantic document model to enhance data and knowledge interoperability.” This paper presents the Semantic Document Model (formalized in the OWL language), which allows for transforming current documents into so-called semantic documents. Semantic documents are uniquely identified and semantically annotated composite resources, which can be instantiated into human-readable and machine-processable forms. On top of this model, Nešić developed the Semantic Document Management System for managing semantic documents. This system is integrated into Microsoft Office in order for users to be able to make use of semantically enabled services and benefit from the enhancements of the well-known and proven user interfaces for document authoring and management.

Data Mining, Software Engineering, and Semantic Web

Web 2.0 and Semantic Web are not isolated technologies, but they very much make use of the other complementary technologies. In this special issue, we selected two such papers. The first paper authored by Ana Kovačević is entitled “Ontology-based data mining in digital libraries.” Data mining is a well-established data management discipline whose major goal is to discover relevant knowledge from (semi-)structured sources of data. As such, it has a very complementary objective to the one of the Semantic Web. In her paper, Kovačević demonstrates how ontologies and data mining techiques complement each other in the domain of digital libraries. Kovačević investigates the problem of the diversity of journal abbreviated names listed in the Journal Citation Reports. The paper illustrates the use of data mining to generate light-weight ontologies of the journal names. The automatically generated ontologies are used in the clustering task of data mining, and the obtained results outperform the results of the clustering task without the use of ontologies.

Current research on the relations between software engineering and the Semantic Web technologies has demonstrated many beneficial synergies [9]. The work of Sonja Radenković, Nenad Krdžavac, and Vladan Devedžić presented in the paper “An assessment system on the Semantic Web” builds on the successful results
in integration between model-driven software engineering and ontology languages [10]. This paper illustrates the use of description logics, underlying formalism of ontology languages, to assess automatically students’ assignments where assignments include open-ended questions. The authors make use of the description logic reasoner LoRD, which is fully implemented by using model-driven engineering principles and which is built on top of the recently adopted standard Ontology Definition Metamodel at the Object Management Group. Likewise, the use of model transformations allows the authors to transform the questions and answers represented in the IMS Question and Test Interoperability specification into OWL-based ontology assertions. Once the questions and answers are translated to OWL, ontology reasoning services are used to analyze students’ answers.

**Summary**

As with virtually everything else, one can always find evangelists, devotees, and fans of specific Web technologies. Web 2.0/Social Web and the Semantic Web are no exception to this rule. Still, as Tom Gruber stresses, it is a “popular misconception that the two worlds are alternative, opposing ideologies about how the Web ought to be. Folksonomy vs. ontology. Practical vs. formalistic. Humans vs. machines. This is nonsense, and it is time to embrace a unified view” [2]. Since both Web 2.0 and the Semantic Web have advantages and deficiencies, why not take the best of both worlds and make a synergy of both technologies for the benefit of all users?

In addition, why not identify and tackle problems that neither of the two technologies addresses properly, and make the synergy open for “third-party add-ons”? Note that both Social and Semantic Web lack a more sound software engineering foundation, and both would benefit from deploying advanced, personalized, and multimodal user interfaces for knowledge and data acquisition and sharing. More automation is certainly welcome in the area of semantic annotation, where social tagging and folksonomies represent at best the first step on the ladder. After all, dialog-based human–computer interaction and natural language interfaces are both very social and very semantic-rich, so they can be investigated as a natural extension to the synergy of Semantic and Social Web. Last but not the least, there are still very few applications that really reason over the Web of data. This creates a great challenge for future exploration and integration of the Social Semantic Web with more advanced technologies.
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