ASEESA see Association for the Study of Educational Evaluation in Southern Africa
Asia
Education for All initiative 409
international studies 956
professional culture 401
training 406–7
assessment
alternative 485, 486, 549–75
complete and fair 285
cross-national curriculum evaluation 979–95
definition 485–6
international 873, 875, 876, 878–80, 881, 951–78, 979–95
local 873, 946
national 873, 875, 876–8
Africa 475–6
United Kingdom 554, 905–28
United States 569, 876, 877, 878, 880–1, 883–904
school-based 593–5
system assessment 873–6
teachers 610–11, 621–2, 628, 630–3, 915
see also classroom evaluation;
examinations; schools, evaluation; students, assessment; tests
Assessment of Performance Unit (APU) 876, 908–9, 911, 916, 924
Association for Development of Education in Africa (ADEA) 477–8
Association for the Study of Educational Evaluation in Southern Africa (ASEESA) 478
associations 333–4
ATs see attainment targets
attained curriculum 983, 986–7
attainment targets (ATs) 913, 914, 915, 916, 918, 919–20
attendance 834
attitude scales 968, 970, 979
Attribution Theory 310
audiences 784, 785, 827
right-to-know 56, 58, 293, 295, 312, 424, 785
US program evaluation 420–1
auditing 235, 348, 757
Australasia 752–3, 956
Australasian Evaluation Society (AES) 752–3
Australia
alternative assessment 550, 570
cost-effectiveness 145
evaluation utilization 193
examinations 588
IEA findings 973
moderation 594
principal evaluation 651–2
professional culture 401, 403
program evaluation 698–9, 751–68
‘authentic’ testing/assessment 549, 551, 560–1, 911, 916, 917
authenticity 76, 89
African traditional evaluation 467, 469
validity 564, 566
authoritarianism 442, 446, 448, 450
authority 710
authorship 177–8, 181
autocratic evaluation 434
autonomy
Israel study 802–3
schools 771
teachers 855
Baccalaureate 578, 581
Balanced Scorecard 823
Bangladesh 406
baseline assessment 116, 663, 810
Bayesian analysis 527
BEAR Assessment System 490–5, 518–19
behaviorism 552
benchmarking 760, 953
‘best buy’ approach 44
best practice 277, 298, 382, 916
bias 13, 239
advocacy teams technique 46
cultural 707
deliberative democratic evaluation 81, 82, 84, 85–6, 87, 94
ethical considerations 308
examinations 586–7, 591
gender 164, 410, 589, 591, 595
principal evaluation 660
professional standards 286, 292
qualitative methods 167, 171, 173, 175, 177, 179
racial 410
stakeholder participants 250, 262, 819
subtheme A 24–5
summative evaluation 257
teacher assessment 633
US evaluation 932, 939, 947
bogwera 467–8, 469
bojale 467, 468–9
Boston Surveys 807
Botswana 404, 406, 467, 473, 477, 478
bottom-up approaches 429, 811
deliberative democratic evaluation 88
democratic evaluation 434
Brazil 448, 449, 452, 717
Subject Index

1024

budgets
- evaluation design 57
- evaluation use 206–7, 233
New Public Management 432, 433
principal responsibility for 646
technology 847, 857, 866, 867
Traveling Observer Technique 50
UK national assessment 922–3, 926n6
US school district evaluation 933–4, 937
see also cost-effectiveness; costs; funding
BUGS computer program 527
bureaucratic culture 392
bureaucratic evaluation 434
California Commission on Teacher Credentialing & The California Department of Education 628
Campbell Collaboration 121
Canada
- Agency for International Development 451
- CIPP model 34
- cost-effectiveness 145
- Educational Statistics Council 735
evaluation utilization 193
- participatory evaluation 255–6
- portfolio assessment 569
- professional culture 401
- program evaluation 698, 733–49
- Program Evaluation Standards 699
- school profiles 831
- standards 699, 785
- welfare-to-work 110
CAPE see Committee for the Assessment of Progress of Education
Caribbean Education for All initiative 409
Carnegie Corporation 883, 884, 885, 887, 888, 901
case studies 65, 324–5
- generalization 160
- product evaluation 51
Catholic Church 444, 447
CATS see Commonwealth Accountability Testing System
causation 29
CCSSO see Council of Chief State School Officers
CEEC see Commission d’Évaluation de l’Enseignement Collégial
census-based assessment 6, 878
Center for Community Change 829, 838
Center for Instructional Research and Curriculum Evaluation (CIRCE) 354
Center for Research on Educational Accountability and Teacher Evaluation (CREATE) 728
centralization
- Europe 432
- Latin America 445–6
UK national assessment 906
Centre for Program Evaluation (CPE, Australia) 752, 756, 764
Centro de Estudios Educativos (Mexico) 447, 448, 449, 455, 456, 457
Centro de Estudios para la Reflexión y Planificación de la Educación (Venezuela) 447
Centro de Investigación y Desarrollo de la Educación (Chile) 447
CEO Forum 847
Certificate of Secondary Education (CSE) 907, 910, 911, 920–1
certification 4
- African examinations 474
evaluation profession 273, 331, 333, 334–5, 336–41
- external examinations 578, 595
- principals 652
- teacher evaluation 604, 620–1, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634
CFASST program 624, 628
charged use 208
cheating 53, 593
chemical warfare example 86–7
child abuse 110–11
childcare 110–11
Chile
- national assessment 876, 877
- social context of evaluation 443, 447, 448, 450, 452, 453
China 5, 487, 580, 722
- choice 83, 771
Christianity 470
see also Catholic Church
Cincinnati Federation of Teachers & Cincinnati Public Schools 624
CIPP (context, input, process and product evaluation) model 4, 10, 13, 31–62, 425, 728, 772
institutionalization of evaluation 775, 778–82, 801
principal evaluation 659
school district evaluation 938, 946
CIRCE see Center for Instructional Research and Curriculum Evaluation
Citizen’s Observatory of Education, Mexico (Observatorio Cuidadano de la Educación) 449, 455, 457
Citizens Research Council of Michigan 813

civil rights movement 70, 393

class see social class

class size 828, 831, 832

cost-effectiveness 135

randomized field trials 109, 112–13, 114, 116

classical test theory (CTT) 490, 505, 507–11, 513–14, 517, 518–19

classroom dynamics 861–2

classroom evaluation 5, 53

learning processes 553

SATs 554–5

student assessment 485, 486, 533–48, 560, 562

client-centred evaluation 66

climate of schools 822–3, 828, 831

CNS see Continuous National Survey
co-generative evaluation 75

Cochrane Collaboration 121
code of professional practice 947
cognitive processes 154, 203, 523, 537, 592
cognitive psychology 487, 511, 527

cohesion 739
collaboration

collaborative evaluation 23, 75, 379
decision making 318

institutionalization of evaluation 802, 804

international studies 976

learning community values 275

participatory evaluation 245, 246, 247, 249, 255, 256, 257

school evaluation model 816–17

sustainable learning community values 361, 362

utilization-focused evaluation 224, 231

collective choice 83

college accreditation 2

Colombia 452

colonialism

Africa 466, 470, 472–3
global evaluation context 397, 398, 402, 404

COMIE see Mexican Council of Educational Research

commercial standardized tests 540, 542–3

Commission d’Évaluation de l’Enseignement Collégial (CEEC) 735–9, 745, 746–7

commitment 215, 231, 235, 240

Committee for the Assessment of Progress of Education (CAPE) 886

Commonwealth Accountability Testing System (CATS) 940

community

community development 58

comprehensive initiatives 312

concept of 364–5
evaluation use 214

local standards 71, 72

see also learning community

comparability

examinations 583, 587–90

school profiles 830–1

student assessment 486, 487

generalizability theory 519

item response theory 522–3

linear logistic test model 525

psychometrics 489–90, 494–5, 500–1, 502, 507, 515–16, 517

teacher assessment 915–16

comparative studies 873, 874, 878–80, 881, 951–78

comparision groups 835–6

compensatory education

Latin America 459–60, 461

Mexico 454, 457, 458

United States 422

competence 294, 332, 338, 551, 592

certification 337, 338

competency tests 341

principal evaluation 654

teachers 627, 628

see also skills

competencies

core 339, 341

examinations 585

principal evaluation 645, 659

see also skills

competition

between schools 910

performance monitoring 878

Compilation and Analysis of Patient Records 43–4

complete and fair assessment 285

compliance 20, 114

comprehensive education 907, 908, 909

computers 487, 527, 844–5, 846, 858, 863, 865

computer-assisted instruction 135

information access in developing countries 717

internal school evaluations 764–5

see also Internet

CONAFE 454, 455, 456, 457

conceptual use

knowledge 199, 200, 210, 212, 217

participatory evaluation 251, 258, 260

program understanding 209
Subject Index

utilization-focused evaluation 232
cost-effectiveness 4, 105, 125–52, 795

curriculum as 981
developing countries 704–6
Europe 429–39
evaluation use 208, 209, 213, 215, 216, 219
global 392–3, 397–415
international studies 956–7, 959–60, 961
Latin America 441–63
local 700
participatory evaluation 252, 261
profile indicators 828, 830, 832, 835–6
program evaluation 699
randomized field trials 117
school district evaluation 935, 936, 938
schools 642–3, 818, 828, 830, 832, 835–6
United States 417–28, 935, 936, 938
contingent valuation 140
continuous improvement 812, 814
Australia 760
Canada 734, 748
managerialism 875
professional development 687
Continuous National Survey (CNS) 199
Continuous Progress Matrix Sampling Testing Technique 53–5
cost-utility 133–4, 135–6, 138–9, 143, 146, 148
evaluation use 230
Italy 430
see also ethics cost-benefit analysis
cost-effectiveness 4, 105, 125–52, 795
CIPP model 36
cost-effectiveness ratio 142–3, 146, 147
ingredients method 128–33
input evaluation 46, 937
international studies 965, 974
product evaluation 52
professional standards 284
program evaluation 777
UK national assessment 922–3
cost-utility 133–4, 135–6, 138–9, 143, 144–5, 146, 148
Costa Rica 443, 453
costs
CIPP model 37
cost-estimation 127–33
evaluation use 233
international studies 960
program evaluation in developing countries 698, 711–12, 714
randomized field trials 117
technology 845, 847, 858
US school district evaluation 936
see also budgets; cost-effectiveness; funding
Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO) 616, 620, 629, 890–1, 929, 939
Council of Educational Research (COMIE), Mexico 449
counselors 672, 673, 675, 683, 685–6
course improvement 190
coursework 570
CPE see Centre for Program Evaluation
CREATE see Center for Research on Educational Accountability and Teacher Evaluation
creativity 275, 783
credentialing 331, 335, 336, 337, 338
credibility
data collection 91, 971
ethical considerations 303, 318
evaluation profession 375, 376
ethical considerations 272, 318
external independent 782, 795, 820
professional standards 284
gender 206
independence 782, 795, 820, 821
misuse 239
outside participants 782, 795, 819, 820
participatory evaluation 251, 257, 262
professional standards 284, 299, 300
qualitative methods 167, 175, 177, 178, 179, 180
school profiles 836
criminal justice 109, 110
criteria for school evaluation 813, 817, 818, 819, 823, 931
criterion-referencing 51, 544, 551, 563, 590–1, 828, 830
UK national assessment 910, 912
US state accountability systems 938, 940, 941, 943
criterion-related validity 584
criticism 155–9, 161–5
cross-national curriculum evaluation 6, 979–95
CSE see Certificate of Secondary Education
CTT see classical test theory
cultural analysis 174
culture
Africa 465–6, 472
as context 392, 400
developing countries 706–8, 709
educational evaluation as praxis 409–10
epistemic triangle 398–9
ethical issues 272, 320
fieldwork 411
global perspective 399, 400, 401, 411, 412
institutional 392
international studies 969–70
knowledge 390
Latin America 450
personality-culture dialectic 392
propriety standards 785
United States 270, 301
culture of learning 762
curriculum 3
African traditional evaluation 467–8
alternative assessment 550
colonial Africa 470–1
computer-enhanced 764–5
connoisseurship evaluation 154
cross-national evaluation 6, 979–95
curriculum-embedded evaluation 783
deliberative democratic evaluation studies 90
examinations 578, 579, 583, 585, 592, 595
innovative programs 726
international studies 879, 880, 955, 957–8, 961, 968, 973, 975
measurement of 982–7
participatory evaluation 258, 260
principal role 646
program evaluation 417, 422, 777
school profiles 830
state standards 537, 538
student assessment 490
technology integration 847, 849, 851, 853–4, 861–7
United States 417, 422, 724, 891
see also National Curriculum
custom 5, 710, 711–12
Dakar Framework for Action 875
DARE see Drug Abuse Resistance Education
data collection 2, 6
Australian program evaluation 755, 759
comparability 500–1, 502
context evaluation 41, 42
curriculum evaluation 981, 982
deliberative democratic evaluation 79, 80, 81, 86, 87, 90–1, 92, 94
design of evaluation 55–6
disaggregated 421
ethical considerations 324
evaluation use 224, 227
fairness 516
generalizability theory 519
information technology 381
international studies 955–6, 958, 959, 961,
963, 965–6, 969–73, 974
Manitoba School Improvement Program 741
new technology 277
personality-culture dialectic 392
principal evaluation 650, 659, 660
process evaluation 48, 49
professional standards 292
qualitative methods 168, 169, 171–3, 174
randomized field trials 116
responsive evaluation 63, 70
school evaluations 785, 817–18
specialists/support personnel 679–83
standard-based evaluation 648
standardization 497–8
technology study 852, 854
US national assessment 900
US program evaluation 729
see also methodology; questionnaires;
sampling; surveys
databases 42
decentralization 645, 646, 653, 665, 771
Canada 734, 747
New Public Management 432, 433
UK examination system 906
decision making 70, 191, 930
CIPP model 34
classroom evaluation 533
cost-effectiveness 125
deliberative democratic evaluation 80
democratic evaluation 80, 435, 436
ethical considerations 318
evaluation use 205, 209, 212, 215, 227,
231, 238
Interactive Evaluation 762–3
Latin America 450, 458
participatory evaluation 246, 247, 249,
252–3
principal evaluation 661
program evaluation 716–17, 719
public 430–1
shared control 247, 249, 252–3
social science data 199–200
transparent 437
US school district evaluation 936, 937
Work Sample Technique 53
decision-orientation 189, 192, 193
decision-support
goal-setting evaluation 22
outcome-based evaluation 22
quasi-evaluation 20–1
Declaration on Education for All 875, 876
deconstructionism 24
definitions of evaluation 15–16, 28, 34,
182n3, 400, 485, 929–32
deliberation 275, 361
deliberative democratic evaluation 81,
83–4, 87–8, 91–2, 93–5, 97, 99
participatory evaluation 255
deliberative democratic evaluation 4, 11, 13,
25, 79–100, 425
cost-effectiveness 137, 139–40
deliberative democratic evaluation 347
European approaches 394
qualitative methods 170, 179
democracy 77, 80, 431
deliberative 170, 179
preferential model 93
stakeholder selection 254
democratic evaluation 69, 75
Europe 429, 431, 434–6, 437–8
participatory 246
see also deliberative democratic evaluation
democratization 393, 427
demographics 829, 832, 836
demoralization 104, 105
Denmark 430, 431
depersonalization 65
Department for Education and Employment
(DfEE, UK) 827, 831, 918, 919, 922
department of education and science (DES,
UK) 908–9, 911–12, 914, 920, 922
department of education and skills (DfES,
UK) 923, 926n4, 926n6
department of education (US) 120, 346,
354–5, 582, 616, 804
national assessment 883, 890, 894
technology 846, 847, 848
department of health and human services
(US) 309, 320, 321
depersonalization 65
DES see Department of Education and
Science
descriptive models 189
desegregation 393, 418, 422, 423
design of evaluation 55–7, 58
appreciative inquiry 368–9
cost-effectiveness 137, 139–40
evaluation use 227, 228–9
evidence-centred 632–3
framework for assessment design 502–5
government influence 347, 350

decision-support
goal-setting evaluation 22
outcome-based evaluation 22
quasi-evaluation 20–1
Declaration on Education for All 875, 876
deconstructionism 24
definitions of evaluation 15–16, 28, 34,
182n3, 400, 485, 929–32
deliberation 275, 361
deliberative democratic evaluation 81,
83–4, 87–8, 91–2, 93–5, 97, 99
participatory evaluation 255
deliberative democratic evaluation 4, 11, 13,
25, 79–100, 425
cost-effectiveness 137, 139–40
deliberative democratic evaluation 347
European approaches 394
qualitative methods 170, 179
democracy 77, 80, 431
deliberative 170, 179
preferential model 93
stakeholder selection 254
democratic evaluation 69, 75
Europe 429, 431, 434–6, 437–8
participatory 246
see also deliberative democratic evaluation
democratization 393, 427
demographics 829, 832, 836
demoralization 104, 105
Denmark 430, 431
department for education and employment
(DfEE, UK) 827, 831, 918, 919, 922
department of education and science (DES,
UK) 908–9, 911–12, 914, 920, 922
department of education and skills (DfES,
UK) 923, 926n4, 926n6
department of education (US) 120, 346,
354–5, 582, 616, 804
national assessment 883, 890, 894
technology 846, 847, 848
department of health and human services
(US) 309, 320, 321
depersonalization 65
DES see Department of Education and
Science
descriptive models 189
desegregation 393, 418, 422, 423
design of evaluation 55–7, 58
appreciative inquiry 368–9
cost-effectiveness 137, 139–40
evaluation use 227, 228–9
evidence-centred 632–3
framework for assessment design 502–5
government influence 347, 350
international comparative studies 963–71, 975
professional standards 292
destiny process 369
developing countries 410–11, 874–5
cost-effectiveness 135, 145
examinations 579, 593
international studies 954, 970, 976
national assessments 878
program evaluation 697–8, 701–20
developmental evaluation 727
DfEE see Department for Education and Employment
DfES see Department for Education and Skills
diagnostic monitoring 878, 880
dialectical thinking 391, 398, 399
dialogue
appreciative inquiry 368, 369
critical 436, 438
deliberative democratic evaluation 81, 82–4, 86–8, 91–3, 95, 96, 97, 98
developing countries 707–8, 718
learning community values 275
participatory evaluation 75, 255
power-free 436
social equity 366
sustainable learning community values 361, 362
differential item functioning (DIF) 517, 523
digital divide 843
dignity 285, 294
Direccion de Investigaciones Educativas del CINVESTAV 448, 455, 456, 457
disabled students 682, 900
disclosure
alternative assessment 566
Canadian evaluation reports 738
professional standards 285, 292–3
discounting costs 133, 141
discovery process 368
discrepancy evaluation 20
discrimination 418, 419
dissemination of results 227, 233, 251, 293, 782
developing countries 713
Latin America 441–2, 443, 452, 453
see also publication of results
distribution of costs 133, 142
divergent validity 512
diversity
of students 545
US school districts 421–3
accreditation 620
accuracy 285
cost-effectiveness 131
evaluation of specialists/support personnel 681, 686–7
process evaluation 47
qualitative methods 172
domain-referencing methods 590
Dominican Republic 452
donors 705, 709, 710–11, 713–14, 719
draft manuals 791–3, 794, 798, 799
dream process 368
dropouts 128, 140
cost-effectiveness 143
parental education 897
school profiles 828, 830, 832, 834, 839
small schools 126
US school accountability systems 941, 943
Drug Abuse Resistance Education (DARE) 726–7
drug education 16–17, 755
DSE see Germany, Foundation for International Development
dynamic assessment 554–5, 560
early years education 135, 145
Eastern Europe 432, 581
ECape see Exploratory Committee for the Assessment of Progress in Education
ECBA see ethics cost-benefit analysis
economics
conditions in Latin America 444, 445
propriety issues 180
ECS see Education Commission of the States
Ecuador 407
Editorial Projects in Education 847, 854, 862
Education Act (UK, 1988) 912
Education for All (EFA) 408–9, 471, 475–6, 875
Education at a Glance (OECD) 956–7, 960, 962
Education Commission of the States (ECS) 426, 615, 617, 886–9, 929, 939
education definition 400
The Education Trust 626
Education Week
NAEP results 893, 894
principal evaluation 645, 652, 655
Quality Counts 2000 614, 619, 622
technology survey 844
educational change 754–6, 765, 772
educational criticism 155–9, 161–5
educational culture 392
Educational Research Service 679
Subject Index

Educational Resources Information
  Clearinghouse (ERIC) 673, 674
educational specialists 671–93
data collection 679–83
definition 672–3
  Goals and Roles evaluation model 683–7
personnel evaluation standards 688–9, 690
  technology 856, 857
Educational Testing Service (ETS) 114, 341, 625, 626, 628–9, 632, 885, 889–90, 895
EFA see Education for All
The Effective School Battery 813
effectiveness
  Canadian program evaluation 739, 743, 745
  CIPP model 37
criteria 813
efficiency distinction 655–6
Eight Year Study 802
principal evaluation 605, 649, 654, 655, 659
  professional development 849
program evaluation 717, 718
Québec Policy on University Funding 743, 745
schools 645, 671, 809
standardized tests 771
teacher evaluation 610, 613, 615, 617
  Work Sample Technique 53
see also cost-effectiveness
efficiency
  effectiveness distinction 655–6
export of US education priorities 452
France 431
Latin America 444, 452, 455, 456
  principal evaluation 605, 644
  program evaluation 717, 719
Québec Policy on University Funding 743
  technology 845
Eight Year Study 723, 801–2, 884
  elementary schools
    Canada 734, 735
    evaluation standards 810
teachers 623
  Type II Model of evaluation 787–96
see also primary education
Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA, 1965) 103, 190, 274, 348–9, 724
  earnings 787
  opportunities for 347, 351–2
  randomized field trials 109–10, 117
UK education system 907
empowerment
  Elementary and Secondary Education Act 349
  participatory evaluation 251, 258, 259, 260, 261
  responsive evaluation 77
  social justice 315
  stakeholders 170
empowerment evaluation 23–4, 231, 434–5, 728, 814
ethical considerations 271–2, 316, 324
  Manitoba Program 740
  participatory evaluation 245, 246, 250, 259, 262
ENet see Evaluation Network
England
  examinations 570
  league tables 810
  national assessment 567, 568, 878, 880, 905–28
  Primary Language Record 556
  reading standards 876
see also Great Britain; United Kingdom
English
  English-language learning 900–1
  UK national assessment 908, 912, 913–14, 916, 917, 918, 919, 921–2
enlightenment 200, 203, 251
enrolments
  Africa 471
  Canada 744
cost-effectiveness 133
Latin America 444, 445
  school profile indicators 828
environmental influences 818
epistemology 74, 390, 398–9, 401
constructivist 70–1
educational criticism 161
  qualitative methods 168, 169, 181
see also knowledge
Equal Educational Opportunity Survey 874
equal opportunity 13, 33
Mexico 454
  United States 418, 419, 427, 644
equilibrium 534–5, 542
equipment 129, 130, 132
equity 361, 366, 564, 567–8, 947
  examinations 591, 595
Latin America 444, 454, 459
see also fairness
ERIC see Educational Resources Information Clearinghouse
Eritrea 471
ERS see Evaluation Research Society
essay-type questions 544, 579, 591
estimation of costs 127–33
ethical issues 4, 269, 271–2, 303–27
constructivism 74
developing countries 708, 711, 712–13
evaluation use 228, 229, 239–41
method relationship 74
participatory 23, 69, 75, 77, 261
professional standards 294, 296, 298
propriety 282
qualitative methods 179
randomized field trials 111–12, 115
situational 410
utilization-focused evaluation 240
value 29
ethics cost-benefit analysis (ECBA) 320–1
Ethiopia 407, 471, 474
ethnic groups 465
ethnicity
examination bias 591
Latin America 452
principal evaluation 660
school profile indicators 828, 832, 835
school progress 931
US national assessment trends 896–7
see also race
ethnography 168, 171
ETS see Educational Testing Service
EU see European Union
Europe 2, 393–4
Australia comparison 753
bottom-up approaches 811
colonialism 466, 472–3
cost of evaluation 429–39
Education for All initiative 409
examinations 580–1, 596
indicator-based school evaluation 811
influence on African education 470
international studies 956, 969
medieval 487
professional culture 401
standards 403
European Commission 433
European Union (EU) 394, 429, 430, 432, 437
EURYDICE 581
evaluation associations 275, 301n1, 333–4, 336–7, 342, 362
Appreciative Inquiry Summits 369–70
government influence 274, 351
non-Western areas 404–5
United States 425–6
Evaluation Center, Western Michigan
University 32, 42, 49, 50, 58, 60n2, 353–4, 814
professional standards 282, 297, 298
training 374
evaluation definitions 15–16, 28, 34, 182n3, 400, 485, 929–32
evaluation manuals 791–3, 794, 798, 799, 970
Evaluation Network (ENet) 275, 280, 293, 351, 362
Evaluation Practice (EP) 276, 373, 374, 385n1
evaluation profession 2, 4, 269–77
Africa 478, 479
Australia 752–3
definition 346
ethical considerations 269, 271–2, 303–27
evolution of 362–4
future of 373–86
government relationship 269, 273–5, 345–59
influence of government on profession 347–54, 355–6, 357, 362–3
influence of profession on government 354–5, 356
mutual influence 355–7
professional standards 269, 270–1, 279–302, 303, 330
ethical considerations 315, 319–20, 321–2
violations 311–12
qualifications 273, 329–43
school district evaluation 418, 424–6
support networks 698
sustainable learning community 269, 275–6, 361–72
United States 362–3, 753
see also evaluator role
Evaluation Research Society (ERS) 274, 275, 352, 362
government influence 351
Standards for Program Evaluation 270, 280, 291–3, 295–6, 300
evaluation-as-assisted-sensemaking 272, 316
‘evaluation-free evaluation’ 19
evaluator role 235–7, 240–1, 261, 272, 318, 435
increased expertise 379–80
independence 375, 376
personal factor 207
process evaluation 47–8
professional standards 296, 320
see also credibility
Subject Index

Evaluators' Institute (Maryland) 297, 353, 374, 385
Evidence model 491, 492–5, 502, 504–5
Evidence and Tradeoffs 491, 492, 493–5
evidentiary arguments 489, 490, 493, 495–502, 504, 511, 517, 528
EWLP see Experimental World Literacy Programme
Examinations 5, 577–600
Africa 394–5, 472–5, 479
characteristics 577–80
comparability 583, 587–90
criterion-referencing 551, 563, 590–1
critique of 552
definition 485–6
development of 580–3
disadvantages 586, 591–3
external 485, 486–7
moderation 567
national assessment 877
reliability 583, 586–8
school-based assessment 593–5
UK national assessment 905, 906, 907–8, 910–11, 920–2
validity 583–6
see also grades; norm-referencing; tests
Excellence in Schools 875
Exclusion 89, 98, 254
Latin America 442, 450, 453, 461
minorities 410
Expectations 382, 534–5, 703
Experimental design 4, 424, 698
Experimental World Literacy Programme (EWLP) 407–8
Experimentation 104, 715
government influence 350
learning community values 275
sustainable learning community values 361, 362
theory-based evaluation 378
US program evaluation 727
see also randomized field trials
Exploratory Committee for the Assessment of Progress in Education (ECape) 883–4, 885–6
External evaluation 726–7, 795, 800, 803–4
ethical considerations 305, 320
government 347, 349–50
public examinations 577–600
self-evaluation checklists 809
summative reports 820
value added 814
face validity 513
Facilities 129, 130, 132
developing countries 705–6
Facts 84–6, 87, 94–5
fact-value dichotomy 11–12, 84–6, 94–5, 374, 435
quasi-evaluation 21
Fairness 708
Student assessment 486, 487, 505
item response theory 523
linear logistic test model 525
psychometrics 489–90, 495, 501–2, 507, 516–17, 518
teacher evaluation 631, 632
TIMSS test 992
US evaluation 931–2, 946, 947
voice 707
see also equity
Family First program 110–11, 119
Feasibility 176, 217, 223, 224
CIPP model 34
principal evaluation 648
Program Evaluation Standards 281, 284, 286, 290, 296, 785–6
specialists/support personnel 689
teacher evaluation 604, 607, 631, 634
federal disbursements 347, 348–9
Federal Judicial Center (FJC) 111–12
federal support 418, 419–20
Feedback 281, 286, 410
Alternative assessment 550, 556, 557–60, 562
CIPP model 36, 42
Continuous Progress Matrix Sampling
Testing Technique 54, 55
process evaluation 47, 48, 49
product evaluation 50, 52
Eight Year Study 802
negative 241
performance management 875
responsive evaluation 66
school evaluations 783, 820
specialists/support personnel 687, 689
staff workshops 795
technology study 852
Female education 467, 897
see also women
feminism 75, 82, 410
Fidelity 566
fieldwork 410–11
Financial control 429, 433
fine-tuning evaluation 663
Finland 430
FIPSE see Fund for the Improvement of Post-Secondary Education
fiscal responsibility 285
FJC see Federal Judicial Center
flexible time 857–8
FMI see Frontline Management Initiative
focus groups 79, 91, 729, 760, 793
canada evaluation 41
developing countries 703, 708
technology training 852
follow-up
Canadian program evaluation 737, 739
evaluation design 56–7
product evaluation 52
school evaluation 820
technology training 859, 860
Traveling Observer technique 50
Ford Foundation 451, 885, 888
formative evaluation 10, 23, 425, 540
Africa 467, 472, 479
Canada 747
CIPP model 34–5, 37
feedback 557–8
personnel evaluation 607
principal evaluation 605, 651–2, 661, 663, 664
specialists/support personnel 687
teacher evaluation 624, 631
responsive evaluation 66
SATs 555
school-based 803, 816, 820
technology 852
tools 563
UK national curriculum 915
US program evaluation 727
use of 190, 206, 210, 213, 236
formative-only model 23
foundations 312, 347
four-D process 368
fourth generation evaluation 23, 69–70, 75, 76, 77, 728
France
examinations 578, 579, 580–1
influence on Africa 470, 472
national assessment 876, 877
New Public Management 433
public policy evaluation 430–1
Fraser Institute 748n7
free-response assessments 586
freedom of information 423
Frontline Management Initiative (FMI) 756
Fund for the Improvement of Post-Secondary Education (FIPSE) 727
funding 20, 404
Africa 395, 477
developing countries 703, 704, 705, 709, 710–11, 714
Elementary and Secondary Education Act 190
European Structural Funds 430
evaluation use 205
foundations 347
government 274, 348–9, 351, 353–4, 356, 362, 363
Latin America 446–7, 451, 452, 458
market mechanisms 771
product evaluation 52
Québec Policy on University Funding 742–5, 746, 747
technology 850, 858, 867
United States
federal support 418, 419–20
national assessment 885, 886, 887, 889, 890, 894
program evaluation 427, 698, 723–4, 725, 726, 729
Reagan cuts 362
school district evaluation 933–4
see also: budgets, costs
further education 578, 588, 595
g-theory see generalizability theory
Gambia 478
GAO see General Accounting Office
GCE see General Certificate of Education
GCSE see General Certificate of Secondary Education
gender 392, 931
bias 164, 410, 589, 591, 595
developing countries 710, 711
evaluator credibility 206
examination bias 589, 591, 595
Latin America 443, 452
PISA reports 961
principal evaluation 660
school profile indicators 832, 835
self-assessment 559
textbook bias 164
Third International Mathematics and Science Study 956
US national assessment trends 897
General Accounting Office (GAO) 86, 275, 348, 352, 353, 355, 893
Head Start program 356
internal evaluation units 362
Subject Index

objective approach 930
performance measurement 382
relative effect of interventions 108, 119
General Certificate of Education Advanced Level (GCE A-level) 570
General Certificate of Education (GCE O-level) 907, 910, 920–1
General Certificate of Secondary Education (GCSE) 552, 570, 909–10, 911, 913, 917–18, 919, 920–2
see also O-levels
general scholastic ability 589
generalizability 37, 113, 146–7, 161, 390
alternative assessment 564, 571n1
performance assessment 561, 566
portfolio assessment 569
teacher evaluation 631, 632
generalizability theory (g-theory) 490, 505, 514, 517, 518–19, 524
generalization 160–1, 163, 389–90, 566
Germany
alternative assessment 570, 571
examinations 578, 579, 580–1, 588
Foundation for International Development (DSE) 405
national assessment 876
program evaluation 430
gestalt theory 71
Ghana 407, 473, 478
girls
bojale 467, 468–9
female education 897
see also women
global perspective 392–3, 397–415
globalization 1, 392–3, 398, 924
Africa 476
culture 399, 401, 411
goal-achievement evaluation 20, 21–2
goal-free evaluation 10, 12, 51–2, 823
goals
CIPP model 39, 40, 41, 42, 58
cost-effectiveness 125
evaluation profession 361
examinations 592–3
IEA 959, 961
national achievement tests 830
participatory evaluation 245
principal role 644, 646, 647, 654, 655–6, 661
responsive evaluation 63
school evaluation 807
specialists/support personnel 677, 683–7, 689
technology implementation 865–6

Work Sample Technique 52–3
see also objectives
Goals and Roles evaluation model 683–7
governance
CIPP model 36
liberal principle of 70
US educational system 418, 419–21
government 4, 269, 273–5, 345–59
Africa 477
Australia 751
definition 346
evaluation profession influence on 354–5, 356
influence on evaluation profession 347–54, 355–6, 357, 362–3
mutual influences 355–7
non-Western cultures 411
UK national assessment 906, 909–10, 911, 915, 917, 920
see also politics; state
Government Performance and Results Act (US, 1993) 352, 382
Government of Victoria 761
grades 543, 544, 802
examinations 578, 582, 587–90
international studies 966
reliability 924
UK national curriculum 912–13, 918, 920–2, 924
see also scoring
graduation rates 828, 831, 832, 839, 943
grammar schools 906
grand narratives 389–90, 392, 395, 409
Great Britain
classroom assessment 560
examinations 587, 588, 590
Excellence in Schools 875
influence on Africa 472–3
payment-by-results 874
program evaluation 430, 722
see also England; United Kingdom
grounded theory 172
group interviews 51
Guiding Principles for Program Evaluators (AEA, 1995) 270, 280, 281, 293–6, 297–9, 300
developing countries 707, 711
ethical considerations 315, 317, 319, 321, 323
Guinea 407
hard knowledge 198, 199, 201
hard-core concept of evaluation 28–9
hardware 850–1, 863
Subject Index  1035

Head Start program 145, 349–50, 355, 356, 727
head teachers  see  principals
Her Majesty’s Inspectors of Schools (HMs)  552, 907, 919
hierarchical models 526
high-stakes testing  53, 612, 899–900
higher education
Canada  733, 734–40
CIPP model  59
Scandinavia 431
selection  586
teaching evaluation  91
  see  also  universities
Hillgate Group  911
HMs  see  Her Majesty’s Inspectors of Schools
holism  174
homogenization  165
honesty  294
House of Commons  926n5
human capital  445, 773
  international studies  964
  see  also  skills
Hungary  973
IAEP  see  International Assessment of Educational Progress
ICT  see  information and communications technology
ideology  390, 391, 399
  Latin America  442, 443
  UK national assessment  905
IEA  see  International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement
IEPs  see  individual education plans
IEY  see  ‘Issues, Evidence and You’ assessment
Igbo  465–6
IIALM  see  International Institute for Adult Literacy Methods
IIEP  see  International Institute for Educational Planning
implementation 276, 377
  Canadian program evaluation  734, 735–6, 748
curriculum  986, 988
Latin American evaluation policies  453, 455, 456–7, 458, 459, 461
process evaluation  47
program evaluation in developing countries  702, 703, 705
school evaluation models  794
technology  773, 847, 850, 851–2, 853–4, 861, 864, 867–8
UK national assessment  905, 906
implemented curriculum  983
improvement
Australia  760–1
  Balanced Scorecard approach  823
Canada  698, 734, 739, 740–2, 745–7, 748
  CIPP model  32–3, 35, 39, 40, 42, 58
  evaluator role  235, 236
  Manitoba Program 740–2, 745–7
  program evaluation  716, 717–18
  schools  649, 655, 671, 722
  Australia  760–1
  Canada  698, 740–2, 745–7
  institutionalization of evaluation  794–5
  international trends  814
  Manitoba Program  740–2, 745–7
  purpose of evaluation  782
  school councils  783–4
  school profiles  833, 834–5, 836
  specialists/support personnel  687
  teacher evaluation  625–6
  United States  722
  see  also  continuous improvement
incidental observation  680
inclusion  314, 316, 361
  advocacy position  376
  deliberative democratic evaluation  81, 83–4, 87, 92, 94, 97–8
  learning community values  275
inclusive evaluation  69, 75, 76, 77
independence
conditional  507, 519, 520, 528n5
  evaluators  236, 241, 296, 375, 376, 782
  Latin American institutions  447, 448, 450
  see  also  impartiality; objectivity
India  404, 406, 407, 408, 412
  indicator-based school evaluation  810–11, 812
indigenous peoples  394, 399
Africa  472
Mexico  454
individual education plans (IEPs)  37–8, 682
### Subject Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individualism</td>
<td>707, 710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>406, 408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction programmes</td>
<td>604, 628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inequalities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>453, 454, 458, 459, 461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influence</td>
<td>193, 212, 219n6, 395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal assessment</td>
<td>551, 567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>282, 285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian program evaluation</td>
<td>759, 760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIPP model</td>
<td>35, 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Context evaluation</td>
<td>41, 42, 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation design</td>
<td>55–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input evaluation</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process evaluation</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constructivism</td>
<td>75–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost-effectiveness</td>
<td>131, 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing countries</td>
<td>713, 714, 717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation during instruction</td>
<td>538–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation use</td>
<td>208, 210, 214, 215, 224, 237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedom of</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New students</td>
<td>534, 535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal evaluation</td>
<td>659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional standards</td>
<td>284, 285–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of assessment</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School evaluation model</td>
<td>817–19, 820–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School profiles/report cards</td>
<td>812, 828, 830, 831–2, 838–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sources</td>
<td>131, 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards-based evaluation</td>
<td>648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information and communications technology (ICT)</td>
<td>917, 926n3, 957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology in Education Study</td>
<td>844, 846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information technology (IT)</td>
<td>381, 549, 844, 846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed consent</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure, technology</td>
<td>846, 849, 853–4, 855–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingredients method</td>
<td>128–33, 146, 147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>33, 783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovative programs</td>
<td>726–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input evaluation</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inputs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIPP model</td>
<td>31, 32–3, 35, 36, 37–8, 40, 44–6, 775, 778–81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost-effectiveness</td>
<td>129–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational quality</td>
<td>874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicators</td>
<td>811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International studies</td>
<td>959, 960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School profiles</td>
<td>832–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher evaluation</td>
<td>614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US school district evaluation</td>
<td>934, 935, 937, 938, 946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection of schools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School evaluation model</td>
<td>809–10, 814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>907, 919, 920, 923, 925, 926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also Her Majesty's Inspectors of Schools; site visits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspector General Act (US, 1978)</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute for Program Evaluation (GAO)</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional facts</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Policy on Program Evaluation (IPPE, Canada)</td>
<td>738, 739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Review Boards (IRBs)</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutionalization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>6, 771–2, 773, 774, 775–805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>6, 843–70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>734, 735, 736, 738–9, 743–7, 748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>447, 448–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensure tests</td>
<td>625–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also schools; universities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional champions</td>
<td>865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional periods</td>
<td>861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional plan</td>
<td>37–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional support</td>
<td>866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument development</td>
<td>968–70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>199, 200, 212, 217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participatory evaluation</td>
<td>251, 258, 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization-focused evaluation</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTASC see Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrity</td>
<td>232–3, 239–40, 294, 296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intelligence</td>
<td>551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intended curriculum</td>
<td>983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intended users</td>
<td>192, 223–4, 225–9, 230, 231–2, 233–4, 236–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admissible users distinction</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participatory evaluation</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-Agency Commission</td>
<td>402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-American Development Bank</td>
<td>451, 452, 454, 455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-judge reliability</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constructivism</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation use</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactive evaluation/assessment</td>
<td>200, 554–5, 761–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>472, 476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic evaluation</td>
<td>434, 435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject Index</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Europe</strong> 433, 434</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>interests</strong> 170, 180–1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>community</strong> 364</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>conflicts of</strong> 285, 294, 296, 312–13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>deliberative democratic evaluation</strong> 79, 81, 82–3, 84, 89, 97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ethical considerations</strong> 314</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>evaluation use</strong> 226, 231–2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>public</strong> 294–5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>stakeholder groups</strong> 240, 261, 262</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>intermediate school districts</strong> 420, 423, 426</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>internal evaluation</strong> 727</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Australia</strong> 764–5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>government</strong> 347, 348–9, 362</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Israel study</strong> 802–3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>International Adult Literacy Study</strong> 879</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>international assessment</strong> 873, 875, 876, 878–80, 881, 951–78, 979–95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>International Assessment of Educational Progress (IAEP)</strong> 879, 952, 957</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA)</strong> 441, 976</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>curriculum evaluation</strong> 980, 982–3, 986</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>international studies</strong> 878, 879, 951–2, 953, 954–6, 957, 959, 961–3, 964–75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Second International Mathematics Study (SIMS)</strong> 951, 952, 965, 980, 992</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS)</strong> 441, 879, 899</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>cross-national curriculum evaluation</strong> 979, 980, 981, 982–5, 987–94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>international comparative studies</strong> 953–4, 955–6, 964–9, 971, 973–4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>International Development Research Council</strong> 443, 451</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>International Institute for Adult Literacy Methods (IIALM)</strong> 405</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>International Institute for Educational Planning (IIEP)</strong> 476</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>International Monetary Fund</strong> 347</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE)</strong> 845</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>International Study Center</strong> 956, 970, 971</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internet</strong> 827, 840n1, 844, 866</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also World Wide Web</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>interpretation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>educational criticism</strong> 158</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>professional standards</strong> 292, 295</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>qualitative methods</strong> 171, 172, 173–5, 177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>interpretive exercises</strong> 541–2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>interprettivism</strong> 73, 77n1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (INTASC)</strong> 616, 617, 620, 621, 628, 629</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>interventions</strong> 113–14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>cost-effectiveness</strong> 125, 127, 128, 131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ingredients approach</strong> 129–30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>interviews</strong> 131, 172, 729</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>developing countries</strong> 712</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>dynamic assessment</strong> 555</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Manitoba School Improvement Program</strong> 741</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>technology training study</strong> 852</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>intradisciplinary evaluation</strong> 378</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>intuition</strong> 174, 323</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>investment in technology</strong> 844–7, 852, 864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>involvement</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>alternative assessment</strong> 559</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ethical issues</strong> 271–2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>evaluation use</strong> 224, 230, 232</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>increase in</strong> 380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>limiting</strong> 240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>participatory evaluation</strong> 254, 255, 257, 258</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>public</strong> 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>social equity</strong> 366</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>stakeholders</strong> 313–14, 315, 316–17, 424</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also participation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IPPE see Institutional Policy on Program Evaluation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Iran</strong> 407</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRBs see Institutional Review Boards</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ireland</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>examinations</strong> 579, 584–5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IEA findings</strong> 973</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>international assessment</strong> 879–80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>national assessment</strong> 874, 876</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRT see item response theory</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Islamic education</strong> 466, 470</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Israel</strong> 193, 802–3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Issues, Evidence and You’ (IEY) assessment 490–4, 499</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ISTE see International Society for Technology in Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Italy</strong> 430, 579</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>item response theory (IRT)</strong> 490, 505, 510, 513, 514, 515–17, 519–26, 582, 987</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Japan</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>examinations</strong> 578</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IEA findings</strong> 973</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>mathematics</strong> 992</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>technology</strong> 844</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>textbooks</strong> 989</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>job artifacts</strong> 679, 681–2, 687</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>job descriptions</strong> 606</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subject Index

principals 646, 648
specialists/support personnel 677, 678, 685–6
Job Training Partnership Act (JPTA) 139, 145
John Henry effect 104, 105
Joint Advisory Committee 540
Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation 168, 301n1, 425–6, 697
evaluation definition 34, 930
evaluation use 193, 217, 218, 219n7, 223, 224
evaluator role 318
testing for evaluation 274
global evaluation 381
metaevaluations 325, 820
Personnel Evaluation Standards 270, 280, 290–1, 607, 656
metaevaluation 820
specialists/support personnel 686, 688–9
principal evaluation 648, 664
professional culture 402–3, 409
Program Evaluation Standards 270, 280, 281–90, 295–9, 300–1, 403
African adoption of 478
developing countries 711
ethical considerations 309, 311, 313, 315, 319–20, 321–2, 323
federal support 274, 353
institutionalization of evaluation 785–6, 787, 789
international arena 699
metaevaluation 820
model for school evaluation 814
qualitative methods 168, 176
utilization 193, 217, 218, 219n7, 240, 317
violations 311, 317
stakeholders 317
Standards for Evaluations of Educational Programs, Projects, and Materials 280, 281–3, 426
Journal of Teacher Education 634n1
journals 198, 269, 276, 363, 379
Latin America 449
randomized field trials 111, 112, 120
jurisprudential model 26
justice 316, 708
see also social justice
Kelley’s formula 508–9
Kenya 406, 408, 473, 478, 959
Key Stages 912, 915, 917, 919, 920, 924
knowledge
alternative assessment 560, 562
assertability 157
cost-effectiveness 144, 147
UK national assessment 908, 911, 926
learning 361, 362, 366–7, 804
African traditional evaluation 466, 467, 469, 470
alternative assessment 549–50, 551–2, 552, 557, 567, 571
see also subject index
Subject Index

by-doing 803

by-doing collaborative 259

constructivist view 550, 552, 553, 555

Continuous Progress Matrix Sampling

Testing Technique 54, 55

culture of 762

curriculum evaluation 988

democratic evaluation 436

developing countries 712

dynamic assessment 554

feedback 558

goals 592–3

international studies 960

‘learning environment’ 562

Manitoba School Improvement Program

741

national assessment 901

National Professional Development

Program 763

new learning environments 845

opportunity to learn 567, 980, 988

organizational 192, 194, 211, 251, 252, 260

appreciative inquiry 275, 367, 369

evaluative inquiry 814

indicator-based evaluation 812

Interactive Evaluation 762

program evaluation 698, 730

program theory 823

utilization-focused evaluation 230, 231, 234

outcomes assessment 873

peer-to-peer 867

performance assessment 560

planning instruction 535

portfolio assessment 563–4

process use 230

professional development 763, 849

program evaluation 716, 717, 719

school contribution to 657

situational 228

sustainable learning community 269,

275–6, 361–72

teacher evaluation 604

technology 845, 848, 851, 853, 861

UK national assessment 923, 924

learning community 269, 275–6, 361–72, 560,

763

learning curves 773, 844, 853–67

Learning Improvement Fund (Australia)

760

learning organization 231, 775–6, 783, 789,

796–800, 804, 811

see also organizational learning

LEAs see local education authorities

legal environment 340–1

legislation

Canada 738, 739

Education Act (UK, 1988) 912

Elementary and Secondary Education Act

(ESPA, US 1965) 103, 190, 274, 348–9,

724

equal opportunity 418

government influence on evaluation

professions 345

Government Performance and Results Act

(US, 1993) 352, 382

Inspector General Act (US, 1978) 348

Job Training Partnership Act 139, 145

National Defense Education Act (NDEA, US)

724

No Child Left Behind Act (US, 2001) 582

Teacher Quality Enhancement Act (US, 1998)

616, 624

US national assessment 895

Lesotho 473, 477

liberalism 73, 375

liberational evaluation 391

Liberia 470

librarians 865

library media specialists 672, 673, 674, 675,

680–1

licensure 331, 336, 337, 338

principals 652, 656

teacher evaluation 604, 611, 614, 621–3,

624–7, 628, 629, 634, 640–1

linear logistic test model (LLTM) 525

literacy 405–6, 407–8

Africa 476

India 412

international studies 879, 957

Namibia 706

National Literacy Strategy 919

see also adult literacy

litigation 339, 340–1

LLTM see linear logistic test model

local context

Problem Solving model of change 761–2

program evaluation 700, 711–12, 719

local control of schools 71, 72

local custom 5, 711–12

local education authorities (LEAs) 907,

926n1

local evaluation 206, 213, 873, 946

country studies 409

Latin America 394

local government 346, 347

localism 11
Subject Index

localization 473
longitudinal studies 965

Madagascar 407
Malawi 406, 473, 959
Mali 407, 471
malpractice 279, 298, 299, 332–3, 593
see also misuse
management
  Canadian program evaluation 739, 744, 745, 748
  Frontline Management Initiative 756
  international studies 972
  management-orientation 20, 22
  randomized field trials 117–18
  US school district evaluation 935, 936
Management Information Systems (MIS) 407
managerial coziness 69
managerialism 875, 876, 880, 918
Manitoba School Improvement Program Inc. (MSIP) 253, 698, 740–2, 745, 748
manuals 791–3, 794, 798, 799, 970
marginalized groups, Latin America 442, 443, 454–7, 460, 461
see also minorities
Market Data Retrieval survey 845
market mechanisms 771, 911, 918
  Europe 438
  Latin America 453
  market-type mechanisms (MTMs) 432
  market-orientation 83, 437, 438
market-type mechanisms (MTMs) 432
Markov Chain Monte Carlo estimation 527
Massachusetts Educator Certification Tests (MECT) 610–11
matching 107
materials
  Australian program evaluation 760
cost-effectiveness 129, 130, 132
developing countries 705
Mexico 456, 457
planning instruction 536
resource library 791
mathematics
  international studies 953–4, 955–6, 957, 975
National Council for the Teaching of Mathematics 953
Second International Mathematics Study (SIMS) 951, 952, 965, 980, 992
Text Math 142
Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) 441, 879, 899
cross-national curriculum evaluation 979, 980, 981, 982–5, 987–94
international comparative studies 953–4, 955–6, 964–9, 971, 973–4
UK national assessment 908, 912, 914, 916, 917, 918, 919, 921–2
US national assessment 890–1, 896, 897, 898, 902
matrix sampling testing 54
Mauritius 471, 478, 959
measurement
curriculum 982–7
evaluation distinction 2
evaluation use 227
program evaluation 716, 718
quality 429
reliability 500, 510
teacher evaluation 610, 612, 634
see also performance measurement; psychometrics
measurement models
  alternative assessment 549
  psychometrics 490, 493, 495, 502, 505–8, 513, 517–18
Measurement Research Corporation (MRC) 885
MECT see Massachusetts Educator Certification Tests
media culture 392, 398
membership of evaluation associations 333–4
mental models 796, 797–800
mentoring 862
merit 28, 29, 83, 182n3
CIPP model 34, 36, 57–8
evaluation criteria 655
independent judgements 241
participatory evaluation 245
program evaluation 715, 716, 718
responsive evaluation 63, 64, 65
school evaluations 784, 821–2, 823
specialists/support personnel 687
Stufflebeam 930–1
summative evaluation 232
meta-analysis 137–8, 194n1, 378
meta-cognition 553, 557, 558, 563
meta-theory 10, 15
metaevaluation 173, 276, 378
CIPP model 34, 37, 58, 60–1
evaluation profession 371, 383
external 795, 820
participatory evaluation 262
personnel evaluation 607
professional standards 283–6, 297, 298, 299, 300, 322, 325
responsive approach 67
school evaluation 775, 776, 791, 794, 795, 820, 821
methodology 1, 2, 4, 27, 73–4, 104
appreciative inquiry 275
CIPP model 40, 41
cost-effectiveness 145–6, 147
curriculum evaluation 983–4, 985, 986, 994
deliberative democratic evaluation 94
ethical considerations 305, 310–11
evaluation use 227, 228, 232
government influence 347, 348, 350, 355, 356
international comparative studies 951, 963–73, 974
jurisprudential model 26
portfolio assessment 631
Program Evaluation and Methodology Division 348
randomized field trials 104–5, 107–24
requests for proposals 274
responsive evaluation 64
statistical 527
teacher evaluation 617, 620, 628, 630, 631
see also data collection; qualitative methods; quantitative methods
Mexican Council of Educational Research (COMIE) 449
Mexico 442, 444, 447, 448–9, 450, 452, 453–7, 458
micro-politics 252
Middle East
professional culture 401
training material 406
migrants 422
Ministère de l’Éducation (Québec) 744
minorities 70, 82, 410
power-free dialogue 436
United States 418, 422
see also marginalized groups
MIS see Management Information Systems
miscue analysis 556
misevaluation 217–18, 238–9
misrepresentation 309
mission
school evaluation 748, 787
specialists/support personnel 672, 684, 690
misuse 217–18, 238–9, 308, 309, 317
see also malpractice
mixed-method designs 168
model-criticism techniques 511–12, 521
models 19–28, 189, 192
CIPP (context, input, process and product evaluation) model 4, 10, 13, 31–62, 425, 728, 772
institutionalization of evaluation 775, 778–82, 801
principal evaluation 659
school district evaluation 938, 946
IEA conceptual framework 961
institutionalization of evaluation 775, 776–96, 801
knowledge utilization 200
mental 796, 797–800
probability models 500, 505, 506–17
school evaluation 787–96, 808, 814–21, 822–3
student assessment 490–5, 500, 502–5, 506–27
Type I Model of evaluation 786–7
Type II Model of evaluation 787–96
US school district evaluation 938
moderation 567, 570, 594, 916, 917
modus operandi evaluation 12, 66
monetary awards 941, 942
monitoring 20
diagnostic 878, 880
Education for All initiative 408
international 953
performance 878, 880
Monte Carlo analysis 144
motivation for examinations 578, 586, 593
Mozambique 471
MRC see Measurement Research Corporation
MSIP see Manitoba School Improvement Program Inc.
MTMs see market-type mechanisms
multiattribute utility function 138–9
multiple-choice 473, 541, 559, 579
behaviorism 552
critique of 604, 615
gender variations 591
international studies 968
standardization 498
US state-mandated tests 582
weakness of 566
multivariate models 517, 524–5
Myrdal award 352
NAE see National Academy of Education
NAEP see National Assessment of Educational Progress
NAGB see National Assessment Governing Board
Namibia 407, 408, 471, 478, 706, 959
narrative assessments 542

Subject Index 1041
narrative profiles 827–8, 837, 840
narratives 175
NAS see National Academy of Sciences
A Nation at Risk 42
National Academy of Education (NAE) 890, 891–2, 893–4
National Academy of Sciences (NAS) 624, 725, 894–5, 900, 901, 902
national assessment 873, 875, 876–8
Africa 475–6
United Kingdom 554, 905–28
United States 569, 876, 877, 878, 880–1, 883–904
see also public examinations
National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 6, 522, 569, 613, 876, 877, 878, 883, 884, 886–902, 952
National Assessment Governing Board (NAGB) 890, 891, 892–3, 894–5, 900, 902
National Association of Elementary School Principals 426, 656
National Association of Secondary School Principals 426, 656, 829
National Association of State Directors of Teacher Education and Certification 616
National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS) 604, 617, 620, 621, 628, 630–3
National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) 623, 887, 888, 898
National Center for Research on Teacher Learning 848
National Commission on Excellence in Education 42, 874, 889, 951
National Commission for the Principalship 656
National Commission on Teaching and America’s Future (NCTAF) 617, 620–1
National Commission for Citizens in Education 813
National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) 617, 620, 621
National Council on Measurement in Education (NCME) 426, 486, 499, 533, 540, 564, 626
National Council for the Teaching of Mathematics (NCTM) 953
National Curriculum 554, 880
United Kingdom 905–28
United States 190, 902
National Curriculum Council (NCC) 914
National Defense Education Act (NDEA) 724
National Education Association (NEA) 426, 533, 540, 886
National Foundation for Educational Research (NFER) 907, 908
National Institute of Education (NIE) 353, 725, 888–9
National Institute for Educational Planning and Administration (NIEPA) 411–12
National Institutes of Mental Health 351
National Literacy Mission of India (NLM) 412
National Literacy Strategy (NLS) 919
National Numeracy Strategy (NNS) 919
National Opinion Research Center (NORC) 199
National Partnership for Excellence and Accountability in Teaching 848
National Professional Development Program (NPDP, Australia) 763
National Research Council (NRC) panels 354
Program Evaluation Committee 725
student assessment 486, 527, 528n1
teacher evaluation 616, 617, 621, 624–6, 628
National School Public Relations Association (NSPRA) 813
National Science Foundation (NSF) 50, 199, 274, 297, 334, 335–6, 353, 866, 890
National Society for the Study of Education (NSSE) 810
National Staff Development Council 848
National Study of School Evaluation 809
National Tests (NTs) 917
national trends 895–6
nationalism 397
Native Americans 418, 422
naturalism 4, 69, 168, 170, 216
methodologies 73
Southeast Asia 407
NBPTS see National Board for Professional Teaching Standards
NCATE see National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education
NCC see National Curriculum Council
NCES see National Center for Educational Statistics
NCME see National Council on Measurement in Education
NCTAF see National Commission on Teaching and America’s Future
NCTM see National Council for the Teaching of Mathematics
NEA see National Education Association
needs assessment of 460
CIPP model 32, 35–6, 39, 40, 41, 42
evaluation use 215
negative feedback 241
negotiation 223, 228–9, 235
democratic evaluation 435, 436
participatory evaluation 435
Nepal 406
Netherlands 579, 811
networks of support 698, 700, 729
New Labour 919
New Public Management (NPM) 394, 432–4, 437
New Zealand
Educational Review Office 809, 813, 827
examinations 579
IEA findings 973
professional culture 401, 403
school profiles 827
NFBE see Nonformal Basic Education
NFER see National Foundation for Educational Research
NGOs see non-governmental organizations
NIE see National Institute of Education
NIEPA see National Institute for Educational Planning and Administration
Nigeria 467, 469, 473, 476, 478
NLM see National Literacy Mission of India
NLS see National Literacy Strategy
NNS see National Numeracy Strategy
No Child Left Behind Act (US, 2001) 582
nomothetic net 512, 516, 527
nomothetic span 500, 526
non-governmental organizations (NGOs) 347, 357, 404, 406, 472, 702–3, 709
non-curricular program evaluation 777
Nonformal Basic Education (NFBE) 406–7
NORC see National Opinion Research Center
norm-referencing 544, 550, 551, 553, 563, 612
examinations 588, 590
school profiles 828, 830, 834, 835
UK national assessment 910
US state accountability systems 938, 940, 943, 944, 945
normative theories 15
norms 269, 450, 817
North America 409, 956
North Central Association 809
Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory 813, 848
Norway 193
NPDP see National Professional Development Program
NPM see New Public Management
NRC see National Research Council
NSF see National Science Foundation
NSPRA see National School Public Relations Association
NSSE see National Society for the Study of Education
NTs see National Tests
nurses, school 672, 675, 680, 682–3
O-levels 907, 910, 920–1
objectives
classroom evaluation 541, 546
Eight Year Study 801, 884
objectives-orientation 424, 930, 939
planning instruction 536–7
principal evaluation 651–3, 654
Tyler approach 723
UK national curriculum 912, 913
US national assessment 884, 885, 887–8, 890–1, 899, 901
see also goals
objectivism 4, 179
objectivity 18, 161
classroom evaluation 539, 544
external independent evaluators 782, 795
fact-value dichotomy 85–6
psychometrics 65
qualitative evaluation 105, 169
subtheme A 24–5
values 11–12, 13
see also impartiality; independence
objects of evaluation 653–5, 660
observable variables 504–5
observation 116, 171–2, 715, 729
observational studies 108, 140–1
specialists/support personnel 679–80, 686
teacher evaluation 606, 630, 656
Observatorio Cuidadano de la Educación
(Citizen’s Observatory of Education, Mexico) 449, 455, 457
OECD see Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
Office for Standards in Education (OISTED) 809, 920, 923
Office of Technology Assessment (OTA) 846, 847, 851, 854
OISTED see Office for Standards in Education
Ohio Department of Education 628
Ohio State University Evaluation Centers 796–801
on-line evaluation 91–2
one-way sensitivity analysis 143–4
OPAC see Operations Advisory Committee
open forums 90
open-ended testing 551, 559, 561, 968–9
Operations Advisory Committee (OPAC) 886
opportunity cost 127, 135
opportunity to learn (OTL) 567, 980–1, 988
opportunity to teach 931
oral examinations 579, 594
ordinary knowledge 201–3, 212
Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) 404, 976
developing countries 712, 714
International Adult Literacy Study 879
international studies 952, 953, 956–8, 959, 960–1, 962, 964–73, 975
Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) 584–5, 879–80, 952, 957–8, 959–61, 963–73, 975, 976
technology 844, 845
organizational change 849
organizational culture
Canada 737, 748
participatory evaluation 250, 252, 255–6, 260
utilization-focused evaluation 231
organizational learning 192, 194, 211, 251, 252, 260
appreciative inquiry 275, 367, 369
evaluative inquiry 814
indicator-based evaluation 812
Interactive Evaluation 762
program evaluation 698, 730
program theory 823
utilization-focused evaluation 230, 231, 234
see also learning organization
organizational structure 678–9
school profile indicators 828, 829, 830, 831, 832–4, 835–6, 839, 840
teacher evaluation 615, 937
technology 850
unadjusted 932, 939, 947
ownership
ethical considerations 308, 315
evaluation process 224, 230, 231, 239
school evaluation model 816, 819, 821
Oxford English Dictionary 485
P-PE see practical participatory evaluation
Pacific region, Education for All initiative 409
Pakistan 406
Pakot 465–6
Panama 452
PANDAs see Performance and Assessment Reports
paradigms
alternative assessment 550–2
epistemic triangle 398, 399
proliferation 339–40
PARE program (Mexico) 455–6, 457, 458
parent-teacher groups 423
parents
accountability 757
demand for technology skills 865
individual education plans 38
parental education 897
school choice 919
school profiles/report cards 828, 830, 831–2, 833, 836–7, 838–9, 943, 944
US national assessment trends 897
participation
African traditional evaluation 469
Canadian program evaluation 739
democratic evaluation 80, 89, 96, 98, 436
dept of 255–7, 263, 315
ethical considerations 313–17, 324
European approaches 394
evaluation use 192, 209, 230–1, 232
local 170
professional standards 301
US program evaluation 427
see also involvement; participatory evaluation
participatory ethics 69, 75, 77
participatory evaluation (PE) 4, 23–4, 245–65, 379, 728
Africa 394
constructivism 69–70, 75, 77
text 391
developing countries 702, 704, 707, 708, 710, 713
Subject Index

ethical considerations 271–2, 314–16
evaluation use 192, 194, 209, 230–1, 237
increase in stakeholder involvement 380
Manitoba School Improvement Program 740
negotiation 435
program theory 823
partnership 117, 247, 249–50
patient records 43–4
payment-by-results 874, 899
see also performance-related pay
PE see participatory evaluation
peer review 177
peer-to-peer learning 867
PEMD see Program Evaluation and Methodology Division
perception
connoisseurship evaluation 153, 154, 162, 164
qualitative methods 169, 170, 173–4
responsive evaluation 65
perceptual-differentiation 154, 164
performance
Academic Performance Indictor 945
African traditional evaluation 467, 468, 469
assessment 549, 550, 560–3, 565, 566, 567, 568
international studies 969
teachers 631–2
UK examination system 570
Assessment of Performance Unit 908–9, 911
Australian program evaluation 757, 759, 765–6
best performance concept 553, 554, 555
Canadian program evaluation 748
classroom assessment 542, 544, 545
curriculum evaluation 984
examinations 582, 584, 589, 590–1, 595
general scholastic ability 589
goals 592–3
grading 544
international studies 956–7, 969
low-performance schools 649
managerialism 875, 880
measurement 277, 437
critiques of 384
growth of 379, 380, 381, 382–3
Sweden 432
monitoring 878, 880
personnel evaluation 777
principals 605, 645, 653, 654, 656, 659
specialists/support personnel 679, 680, 681–2, 683, 685–6, 687
teachers 612, 618, 631–2, 634
school evaluation 772–3, 783–4, 808–9
school profiles 827, 832, 836
scoring model 504–5
statistical models 511–12
Swedish quality assurance 432
system assessment 873–4
United States
state accountability systems 940, 941, 942, 943, 944
state curriculum standards 537–8
state-mandated tests 542–3, 582
validity 512, 546, 566, 584
Performance and Assessment Reports (PANDAs) 920, 926n6
performance management 22, 875
New Public Management 433, 437
UK national assessment 920
performance reports see school report cards
performance-related pay (PRP) 433, 875, 920
see also payment-by-results
Perry Preschool Project 109, 118, 137, 140, 145
personal factor 205, 207, 214, 216, 225–6, 231
personal mastery 796, 797–800
personality-culture dialectic 392
personnel
CIPP model 59, 778, 779–80
collective problem-solving 812–13
cost-effectiveness 129, 130–1, 132
developing countries 705
evaluation 5, 59, 603–8
evaluation manual 792
institutionalization of 777, 787, 788, 789–93, 794–6
learning organization disciplines 797–800
principals 604–5, 607, 643–69
support staff 606, 607, 671–93
teacher evaluation 603–4, 606–7, 609–41, 673, 674–5, 730
training 664–5
US school district evaluation 935, 937–9, 946
government 357
human capital 843, 844
international studies 972–3, 974, 975
interviews with 131
learning organization disciplines 797–800
Manitoba School Improvement Program 740–1
New Public Management 433
process evaluation 47–8
product evaluation 52
professional standards 280, 290–1
purpose of evaluation 776
qualifications 129, 269, 273, 329–43
  professional standards 290, 294
  teachers 828, 832, 839
rewards 795, 800
school profiles 838, 840
skills 252
technology institutionalization 855–67
utilization of evaluation 206, 207, 214, 229, 231–2
violations of professional standards 311–12
see also evaluation profession; evaluator role; principals; professional development; support staff; teachers
Personnel Evaluation Standards (Joint Committee) 270, 280, 290–1, 607
metaevaluation 820
specialists/support personnel 686, 688–9
Peru 448
Phi Delta Kappa International 351
Philippines 406, 408
philosophy 24
physical education 926n3
PIASA see Programme for International Student Assessment
plagiarism 309
planning
  annual school evaluation plan 784
  CIPP model 32–3, 36
  individual education plans 37–8
  instructional 535–8, 546, 778
  Interactive Evaluation 762
  international studies 972, 974
  Latin America 445
  process evaluation 47, 48
  professional standards 291–2
  stakeholders participation 307
  sustainable communities 365–6
  technology implementation 863–4, 867
pluralism
democratic evaluation 77, 429, 434–5, 436–7
responsive evaluation 4, 11
policy 180, 197, 215, 730n1
Africa 472
Australian program evaluation 754, 755, 765
evaluation profession influence on 354–5
France 430, 431
international studies 880, 956, 959, 960, 963, 964, 973
knowledge utilization 198, 199, 200, 201, 202–3
Latin America 443, 446, 449, 458–9, 461
program evaluator involvement 700
Québec Policy on University Funding 742–7
school profiles 839
teacher evaluation 634
technology 857
UK national assessment 905, 913, 918–19, 924, 925
US national assessment 877, 888
policy elites 394, 442, 445
political model 200
politicization 381–2
politics
  Africa 472, 477
  Australian program evaluation 752
criticism 155–6
deliberative democratic evaluation 80, 86, 90, 93
democratic processes 435–6
educational accountability 616
ethical considerations 313
evaluation profession/government relationship 345–59
France 431
increased prominence 277, 381–2, 384
Latin America 394, 446, 447, 449, 450, 451–3, 460
liberal political agenda 375–6
political culture 392
principal evaluation 651, 665
professional standards 284
school evaluation model 818
teacher evaluation 617–18
UK national assessment 906, 909, 910, 911, 915, 917, 918
US national curriculum project 190–1
US program evaluation 423–4
utilization of evaluation 214, 215, 232, 233–4, 237–8, 239
see also government; policy; state
populations 112–13
portfolio assessment 542, 550, 560, 563–4, 568–9
  Advanced Placement (AP) Studio Art 498–9, 507
  principal evaluation 657–8
  specialists/support personnel 679, 681–2
teacher evaluation 631, 632
positivism 11, 178, 182n4, 376
constructivism conflict 389
epistemic triangle 391
postmodernism 24, 175, 177, 398
posttests 54, 55
poverty
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developing countries</td>
<td>707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>418, 419, 422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>War on Poverty</td>
<td>724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy position</td>
<td>376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensatory programs</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic evaluation</td>
<td>435, 436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical considerations</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Models</td>
<td>25–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource allocation</td>
<td>477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholders</td>
<td>82, 89, 98, 254–5, 261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical participatory evaluation</td>
<td>246, 247, 249–50, 253, 256, 259–60, 262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical considerations</td>
<td>271–2, 314, 315–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in stakeholder involvement</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice</td>
<td>718–19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praxis</td>
<td>389, 409–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praxis tests</td>
<td>628, 629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-post evaluations</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predictive validity</td>
<td>512, 585, 626–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferential model of democracy</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescriptive models</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservice programs</td>
<td>331, 332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President's Committee on Science and Technology</td>
<td>845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretests</td>
<td>54, 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>471, 474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examinations</td>
<td>579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>454–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National assessment</td>
<td>876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK budget</td>
<td>923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See also elementary schools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Language Record</td>
<td>556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation of</td>
<td>5, 604–5, 607, 643–69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome-based</td>
<td>649–50, 653, 655, 661, 662, 663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role-based</td>
<td>646–8, 661, 662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard-based</td>
<td>648–9, 661, 662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School evaluations</td>
<td>782, 783–4, 788, 789, 790, 794, 795, 796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See also personnel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private schools</td>
<td>578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>443, 452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voucher schemes</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private sector</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>446, 450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Public Management</td>
<td>433, 438n2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>352–3, 427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privatization</td>
<td>443, 448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability models</td>
<td>500, 505, 506–17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability-based reasoning</td>
<td>490, 503, 506–7, 511, 513, 517, 527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classical test theory</td>
<td>507–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item response theory</td>
<td>519–20, 521, 523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear logistic test model</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem-solving</td>
<td>25, 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective</td>
<td>812–13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge utilization</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem Solving model of change</td>
<td>761–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIPP model</td>
<td>31, 32–3, 35, 36, 38, 40, 47–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact</td>
<td>719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US school district evaluation</td>
<td>935, 936, 937, 938, 946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIPP model</td>
<td>775, 778–81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator-based school evaluation</td>
<td>811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International studies</td>
<td>959, 960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participatory evaluation</td>
<td>259–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School profile indicators</td>
<td>828, 829, 831, 832, 833–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIPP model</td>
<td>31–2, 33, 35, 36, 38, 40, 50–5, 775, 778–81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US school district evaluation</td>
<td>935, 936, 937, 938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional culture</td>
<td>391, 392, 393, 398, 401–5, 409–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional development</td>
<td>603, 620–1, 624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APU</td>
<td>909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>756, 763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle managers</td>
<td>756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal evaluation</td>
<td>651–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialists/support personnel</td>
<td>687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>847–50, 858, 860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional standards</td>
<td>4, 269, 270–1, 279–302, 303, 330, 374, 402–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical considerations</td>
<td>315, 319–20, 321–2, 323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government influence</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participatory evaluation</td>
<td>261, 262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher evaluation</td>
<td>604–5, 607, 626, 628, 630–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>425, 427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violations</td>
<td>311–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See also standards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionalism</td>
<td>300, 748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing countries</td>
<td>712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative methods</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
professionalization  4, 270, 276, 330–1, 373–4, 640–1
Australia  752
ethical considerations  306
professional standards  280, 297, 299
proficiency-based decisions  516–17
profiles  772, 773, 810, 811, 812, 827–42, 944
program evaluation  2, 3, 5–6, 10, 695–768
Africa  395, 476–8, 479
Australia  751–68
Canada  733–49
CIPP model  778, 780–1, 801
developing countries  697–8, 701–20
Eight Year Study  801–2
evaluation manual  793
institutionalization of evaluation  777, 778, 780–1, 783, 786–7, 789–90, 794
Israel study  803
Latin America  451
learning organization disciplines  797–800
political nature of  460
quasi-evaluation  20–1
student model  503
Type I Model  786–7
United States  417–28, 721–32
utilization-focused  224
Program Evaluation and Methodology
Division (PEMD)  348
Program Evaluation Standards (Joint Committee, 1994)  168, 176, 270, 280, 281–90, 295–9, 300–1, 403
African adoption of  478
developing countries  711
ethical considerations  309, 311, 313, 315, 319–20, 321–2, 323
evaluation funding  274
federal support  353
institutionalization of evaluation  785–6, 787, 789
international arena  699
metaevaluation  820
model for school evaluation  814
utilization  193, 217, 218, 219n7, 240, 317
violations  311, 317
program logic  754, 755
program profile technique  42–3
program theory  378, 823
Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA)  584–5, 879–80, 952, 957–8, 959–61, 963–73, 975, 976
Progressive Education Association  723
progressivism  394, 442–4, 451, 453
projects  721–2, 767n1
propensity scores  108
propriety  178–80, 181, 217, 223, 224
CIPP model  34
principal evaluation  648
Program Evaluation Standards  281, 282, 284–5, 286, 290, 296, 785–6
specialists/support personnel  688
teacher evaluation  607
PRP see performance-related pay
Psychological Corporation  885
psychologists, school  672, 675, 679, 680
psychology  103, 511
constructivist  71
zone of proximal development  553
psychometrics  2, 5, 485, 487, 489–531, 549–50, 554
innate ability  552
international studies  971, 975
objectivity  65
reliability  561
testing  550, 551
public examinations  577–600
public interest  394
public sector
Europe  393, 430, 432–4
market mechanisms  438
New Public Management  432–4, 437
public welfare  294–5
publication of results  925, 926, 944, 974
see also dissemination of results
QCA see Qualifications and Curriculum Authority
qualifications  129, 269, 273, 329–43
professional standards  290, 294
teachers  828, 832, 839
Qualifications and Curriculum Authority
(QCA)  923, 926n6
qualitative methods  2, 105, 167–85, 378
CIPP model  34, 58
constructivism  73
curriculum evaluation  984, 985, 986
deliberative democratic evaluation  90
Europe  430
objective/subjective dichotomy  161
professional standards  286
program evaluation  715, 729
qualitative-quantitative debate  374, 375, 376
randomized field trials  115
requests for proposals  350
responsive evaluation  64
school evaluations  785, 817
school performance indicators  772
school profiles  827, 828
Southeast Asia 407

quality
Canadian program evaluation 734, 739, 740, 747
certification 337
evaluation profession 273
qualifications 331, 332, 333
US school district evaluation 936
indicator-based school evaluation 811, 814
international studies 955, 958–9
measuring 429
New Public Management 433
qualitative methods 170, 173, 175, 176, 181
responsive evaluation 63, 64, 65
schools 141
teachers 611, 613, 619, 622, 625–6, 633
United States 951–2
see also technical quality
quality assurance 48, 118–19
international studies 972–3
managerialism 875
school-based assessment 594
Sweden 431–2
teacher evaluation 611, 627, 631
Quality Counts 2000 (Education Week) 614, 619, 622
Quality-Adjusted Life-Year 136
quantitative methods 2, 104, 168, 169, 177
CIPP model 34, 58
constructivism 73, 74
curriculum evaluation 984, 985, 986, 988, 993
Latin America 444, 445, 446
professional standards 286
program evaluation 715, 729
qualitative-quantitative debate 374, 375, 376
randomized field trials 115
requests for proposals 350
school evaluations 785, 817
school performance indicators 772
school profiles 827
Southeast Asia 407
utility 180
see also psychometrics; statistics
 quasi-evaluation 20–1
 quasi-experiments 108, 350, 424, 727
Québec 698, 734, 735–9, 742–7
Québec Policy on University Funding 742–7
questionnaires
curriculum evaluation 979
international studies 957, 958, 963, 969, 970
see also surveys
questions
classroom evaluation 541
international studies 964–5, 968–9
questioning techniques 539–40
school evaluation 808
see also multiple-choice
race
Latin America 452
racial bias 410
US national assessment trends 896–7
see also ethnicity
random assignment 114–15, 118, 119
randomized field trials (RFTs) 4, 104–5, 107–24
rater models 505, 524
rationalism 11, 70
rationalistic evaluation 407
readiness test 835
reading
beginning programs 15
informal inventories 556
international studies 879–80, 957
miscue analysis 556
national assessments 874, 876, 896, 897, 898, 902
realism 181, 376
reasoning
chain of 495–6, 497, 500, 504
probability-based 490, 503, 506–7, 511, 513, 517, 527
classical test theory 507–11
item response theory 519–20, 521, 523
linear logistic test model 525
recopying 580
Records of Achievement 559
Red Latinoamericana de Educación (REDEC) 447, 451
REDUC see Red Latinoamericana de Educación
reference testing 594
referential adequacy 162
reflection 389
regional services 60
regulation of teacher evaluation 621–3, 627
relativism 4, 24
relevance
Canadian program evaluation 739
program evaluation 717, 718
reliability
alternative assessment 550, 564, 565, 566, 568
classroom evaluation 539, 540
coefficient 510, 513–14
Subject Index

curriculum evaluation 985, 994
examinations 583, 586–6
indices 511, 517
instructional planning 538
inter-judge 163
knowledge 203
marker 567, 569
professional standards 285, 292
psychometrics 550, 561
SATs 555
standardization 567, 568, 570
student assessment 486, 487
generalizability theory 519
item response theory 522
latent class models 526
linear logistic test model 525
psychometrics 489–90, 494, 500, 501, 507, 513–15
teacher evaluation 604, 631, 632, 633, 915
wrong grades 924
religion 400, 472
report cards 810, 811, 812, 827–42, 890, 943–4
reports 233, 286, 785
Australian program evaluation 758, 759, 761, 765
Canadian program evaluation 736, 737, 738, 748n7
CIPP model 38
evaluation design 56
international studies 971, 973
NAEP 891–4, 898–9
process evaluation 48
product evaluation 52
professional standards 284
Program Profile Technique 42–3
qualitative methods 175–6, 181
randomized field trials 120
responsive evaluation 64
SACMEQ project 959
summative evaluation 820
Traveling Observer Technique 50
US state accountability systems 939
see also school profiles
representation 156, 177–8, 182n4, 583
see also construct representation approach
requests for proposals (RFPs) 274, 349, 350, 351, 354
resampling-based estimation 527
Research for Better Schools 813
research communities
Latin America 394, 447–9, 450
Mexico 454, 455, 457, 458
research questions 964–5
Research Triangle Institute (RTI) 885
resentful demoralization 104, 105
resources
allocation of 363, 477
baseline assessment 810
Canadian program evaluation 739, 743, 744, 747, 748
CIPP model 33, 36, 39, 44, 45
cost-effectiveness 125, 127–8, 130, 132, 148
diagnostic monitoring 878
Equal Educational Opportunity Survey 874
evaluation design 57
fair distribution of 366
fiscal responsibility 285
international studies 960
lack of 774
Latin American research communities 448, 458
learning organization disciplines 799
national assessment 875–6
New Public Management 432
planning instruction 536
principal leadership 647
program evaluation 700, 714, 730
program/projects distinction 722
qualitative methods 170
Québec Policy on University Funding 743, 744
school committee 794
school profile indicators 828, 830, 831, 832–4
Swedish quality assurance 432
technology 843, 866
UK national curriculum 913
US school district evaluation 934–6, 937, 946
response rate 967–8
responsibility 306, 309, 646, 654
responsive evaluation 4, 10–11, 63–8, 425, 728, 814
constructivism 69–70, 75, 77
qualitative methods 170
results
dissemination of 227, 233, 251, 293, 782
developing countries 713
Latin America 441–2, 443, 452, 453
public examinations 579
publication of 925, 926, 944, 974
synthesis of 822
review panels 41, 47
rewards 624, 795, 800
principals 652
students 941
technology training 859, 866
RFPs see requests for proposals
Subject Index

RFTs see randomized field trials
right-to-know audiences 56, 58, 293, 295, 312, 424, 785
rights 285
Rockefeller Foundation 109, 117, 120
role-based evaluation 646–8, 661, 662
role-mixing approaches 23–4
RTI see Research Triangle Institute
rural areas 624, 701–2, 704–5
SACMEQ see Southern Africa Consortium for Monitoring Educational Quality
safety issues 33, 831, 839
sampling 566, 583
international studies 966, 967–8, 971, 974
US national assessment 885, 895
San Diego County Effective Schools Survey 813
San Diego County Office of Education 813
SAT see Stanford Achievement Test
SATS see Standard Assessment Tasks
Scandinavia 431–2, 433
Scared Straight programs 110
School Assessment Survey 813
School Based Research and Reform (Australia) 763–4
school charters 758–9, 761, 766
school committee 788, 789–90, 791–2, 794, 797–800
school councils 782, 783–4, 794, 795, 802
school districts 417, 418, 419–20, 421–6, 427, 725
evaluation models 811–13
independent evaluators 782
input evaluation 46
institutionalization of evaluation 801
principal evaluation 661–2, 664
state evaluation 929–49
School Examinations and Assessment Council (SEAC) 555, 914, 917
school profiles 772, 773, 810, 811, 812, 827–42, 944
school psychologists 37, 38
school report cards 772, 810, 811, 812, 827–42, 943–4
schools
accreditation 2, 722, 730, 807, 809–10
Australian program evaluation 754, 755–6, 757–61, 763–5, 766
autonomy 771
Canadian program evaluation 698, 740–2, 745–7, 748n7
CIPP model 59
climatic climate 822–3, 828, 831
competition between 910
context evaluation 41, 42
contribution to learning 657
data 659
developing countries 704–5
effectiveness 671
Eight Year Study 884
evaluation 6, 593–5, 771–4, 807–26
Africa 473, 475
institutionalization of 771–2, 773, 774, 775–805
practices 808–14
school profiles 827–42
state involvement 881
technology 843–70
evaluation use 208
improvement 649, 655, 671, 722
Australia 760–1
Canada 698, 740–2, 745–7
institutionalization of evaluation 794–5
international trends 814
Manitoba Program 740–2, 745–7
purpose of evaluation 782
school councils 783–4
school profiles 833, 834–5, 836
influence on principal leadership 652–3
input evaluation 46
institutionalizing evaluation 771–2, 773, 774, 775–805
international studies 966, 967–8
Latin America 452, 458, 459, 460
league tables 165, 911
local control 71
low-performance 649
Mexico 453–7
model for evaluation 772, 807–26
National Professional Development Program 763
new technologies 773
obstacles to evaluation 774
parental choice 910, 919
participatory evaluation 260
performance 772–3
principal evaluation 605, 652–3
quality 141
randomized field trials 109
sampling 966, 967–8
small 125–6
specialists/support personnel 672–3
student costs 125–6
Sweden 429
systemic change 754
technical rationality 159–60
UK target-setting 919–20
United States
  accountability systems 941–2, 943–4, 945, 947
  educational system 418, 419–20, 427
  improvement 722
  national assessment trends 898
  unity of command 678–9
  validation 162–3
  see also classroom evaluation; school districts
Schools Council (UK) 907

Science
  BEAR Assessment 490–2
  CIPP model examples 60
  international studies 953–4, 955–6, 957, 975
  Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) 953–4, 955–6
  UK national assessment 908, 912, 914, 916, 917, 918, 919
  US national assessment 896, 897, 898
  value-free 18, 19
Science Research Associates (SRA) 885
SciMath MN 974
scoring 543–4, 564, 567, 568
  international studies 955
  psychometric model 550
  reliability 587
  school profiles 834–5
  scoring model 490, 491, 492–3, 494, 502, 504–5
  teacher evaluation 630, 632, 633
  US accountability systems 943–4, 945, 946–7
  see also grades
SEAC see School Examinations and Assessment Council
Second International Mathematics Study (SIMS) 951, 952, 965, 980, 992
Second International Technology in Education Study (SITES) 955
secondary education
  Africa 471, 474
  Canada 734, 735, 748n7
  curricula 595
  examinations 579, 581
  Manitoba School Improvement Program 740–2
  teacher evaluation 622
  United Kingdom 907, 909–10, 911, 923
  see also General Certificate of Secondary Education
secondary employment evaluation 663, 664
Secondary Examinations Council 911, 914
secrecy 441, 442, 450, 451, 453, 461
Secretaria de Educación Pública (SEP) 454, 457
selection items 541, 542, 543–4
selection models 108
self-assessment 559, 560, 562
  Australian program evaluation 759, 761
  principals 657–8, 660
  see also self-evaluation; self-monitoring
self-determination 231, 316
  Europe 432
  Manitoba School Improvement Program 740
  participatory evaluation 246, 251, 262
  self-evaluation 32, 550, 556, 557–9, 563, 908
  Canada 736, 737, 738, 739, 740
  CIPP model 37–9
  Interactive Evaluation 762
  school evaluation 809–10, 811–12, 814, 823
  self-reports 957
  see also self-assessment; self-monitoring
  self-fulfilling prophecy 534
  self-monitoring 550, 553, 557, 558
  see also self-assessment
  self-study 809–10, 823
Senegal 471
sensitivity analysis 131, 142–4, 146
SEP see Secretaria de Educación Pública
service orientation 284, 290, 291
shared vision 796, 797–800
shortcoming 63, 64, 65
signalling 208
SIMS see Second International Mathematics Study
simulation studies 206–7
Singapore 992
site councils 645, 652, 653, 660
site visits
  Sweden 429
  Traveling Observer Technique 50
  United States 423, 424–5
  see also inspection of schools
SITES see Second International Technology in Education Study
situational evaluation 228, 232
skepticism 24, 86, 177
skills
  African evaluation programs 479
  certification/credentialing 273, 338
  Eight Year Study 802
  evaluators 238, 261, 272, 294, 324, 380
  examinations 583, 588, 592, 593
  international studies 957, 958
  knowledge-by-skills matrix 515
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Index</th>
<th>1053</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>leadership</td>
<td>972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>learning community</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>participatory evaluation</td>
<td>252, 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>principals</td>
<td>654, 655, 656, 658, 660, 665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>professional development</td>
<td>849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>teachers</td>
<td>252, 623, 624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>technology</td>
<td>773, 850, 851, 853–4, 858–61, 862–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK national curriculum</td>
<td>912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also competencies; human capital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small schools</td>
<td>125–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMART (Scientific and Mathematical Arenas for Refined Thinking)</td>
<td>562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SoAs see statements of attainment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social capital</td>
<td>366, 460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social change</td>
<td>716, 717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social class</td>
<td>392, 443, 710, 906, 924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also socioeconomic status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social constructions</td>
<td>193, 389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social equilibrium</td>
<td>534–5, 542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social exclusion see exclusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social good</td>
<td>17, 320, 321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social justice</td>
<td>170, 179, 305, 315, 376, 377, 461, 708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social movements</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social problems</td>
<td>25–6, 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Research and Demonstration Corporation</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social science</td>
<td>18, 19, 398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evaluator role</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>knowledge utilization</td>
<td>198, 199–200, 201, 202, 203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methodology</td>
<td>26, 163, 164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value-free</td>
<td>18, 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social services</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social space</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social workers</td>
<td>672, 675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>socialization</td>
<td>467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>socioeconomic status</td>
<td>142, 931, 932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>examination bias</td>
<td>591, 595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>international studies</td>
<td>969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>452, 453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>school profile indicators</td>
<td>832, 833, 835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also social class</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sociology</td>
<td>103, 400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soft knowledge</td>
<td>198, 199, 201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>software</td>
<td>527, 853–4, 856, 864, 866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>songs</td>
<td>468–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>407, 408, 471, 476, 478, 954, 970–1, 973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>international studies</td>
<td>956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>professional culture</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Asia</td>
<td>406–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Africa Consortium for Monitoring Educational Quality (SACMEQ)</td>
<td>476, 952, 954, 958–9, 964–6, 968, 972, 973, 976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soviet Union</td>
<td>432, 581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spearman-Brown formula</td>
<td>513–14, 519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>special education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIPP model</td>
<td>37–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specialists</td>
<td>672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specialists</td>
<td>606, 607, 671–93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>data collection</td>
<td>679–83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>definition</td>
<td>672–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goals and Roles evaluation model</td>
<td>683–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>personnel evaluation standards</td>
<td>688–9, 690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>technology</td>
<td>856, 857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spencer Foundation</td>
<td>888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spreadsheets</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRA see Science Research Associates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>staff see personnel; principals; support staff; teachers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stakeholders</td>
<td>3, 25, 434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accountability</td>
<td>757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>admissible users</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>advocacy teams technique</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African traditional evaluation</td>
<td>467, 469, 479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bottom-up approaches</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian program evaluation</td>
<td>740–1, 747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIPP model</td>
<td>33, 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>constructivism</td>
<td>72, 75–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>context</td>
<td>391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cost-utility</td>
<td>135, 136, 138–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decision making</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deliberative democratic evaluation</td>
<td>79–81, 82–4, 87–9, 90–2, 96–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>democratic evaluation</td>
<td>434–5, 436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>developing countries</td>
<td>709, 711, 712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eight Year Study</td>
<td>884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethical considerations</td>
<td>271–2, 305, 306–10, 312, 313–17, 319–21, 324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evaluation design</td>
<td>55, 56, 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evaluation use</td>
<td>191–2, 209, 216, 223, 225, 228–9, 231–2, 238, 239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>increased involvement</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>limiting participation/involvement</td>
<td>240, 247, 250, 253–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba School Improvement Program</td>
<td>740–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methodology</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>participatory evaluation</td>
<td>192, 209, 245, 247, 251, 253–7, 259–63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>principals</td>
<td>605, 646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>professional standards</td>
<td>284, 294–5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subject Index

qualitative methods 170, 173–4, 175, 176, 179, 180–1
randomized field trials 117–18
responsive evaluation 11, 63, 66, 67
Scandinavia 431
school committee 788
school evaluation model 819, 820, 821, 822, 823
selection 234, 247, 249, 250, 253–5, 262, 314
stakeholder-orientation 170
US school districts 418, 423–4, 425, 427
values 12, 13, 435
Standard Assessment Tasks (SATs) 554–5, 683, 916–17, 924, 925, 926
standardization 550
reliability 567, 568, 570, 587
student assessment 489, 497–9
technology platforms 856
standardized tests 5, 486, 540, 542–3, 830
critique of 552
effectiveness 771
multiple-choice 615
national assessment 877, 880
teacher evaluation 604, 619
United States 424, 427, 613, 721, 722–3, 729
standards
CIPP model 33, 34
classroom evaluation 537–8
constructivism 72
elementary schools 810
institutionalization of evaluation 785–6, 787, 789–90
international studies 878, 963–4, 967
learning organization disciplines 797, 799
Office for Standards in Education 920
principal evaluation 648, 656
school evaluation criteria 817
specialists/support personnel 686, 688–9, 690
standard-based evaluation 648–9, 661, 662, 835
teacher evaluation 611, 616–17, 618–21, 622, 629
technology implementation 864, 865
UK national assessment 908, 909, 910, 911–12, 918–19, 920–2
US national assessment 892–4, 902
US state accountability systems 938–9, 940, 946–7
see also professional standards; technical standards
Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing 499, 564
Standards for Evaluations of Educational Programs, Projects, and Materials (Joint Committee) 270, 280, 281–3, 426
see also Program Evaluation Standards
Standards for Program Evaluation (Evaluation Research Society) 270, 280, 291–3, 295–6, 300
Standards of Reporting Trials Group 120
Stanford Achievement Test (SAT) 940, 941, 945
state
Africa 477
France 431
Latin America 394, 442, 444–7, 448, 449, 450, 453, 458, 461
school evaluation units 881
see also government
state assessments 542–3
statements of attainment (SoAs) 913–14, 916, 918
statistics 29, 108, 113
school profiles 834–5
student assessment 490–5, 502–5, 506–27
see also psychometrics; quantitative methods
steering team 816–17
stereotyping 535
structural corroborations 162
structural equations models 526
Structural Funds 430
structural models 108
STs see Standard Tests
student model 490–5, 502, 503, 506, 507–8
student teachers 52–3
students
achievement
alternative assessment 551
Australia 759
curriculum evaluation 986–7, 988
Eight Year Study 723, 802
Equal Educational Opportunity Survey 874
indicator-based school evaluation 810, 811
international studies 878, 879, 880, 957–8, 961, 964, 966
Latin America 455–6, 457
national achievement tests 830
national assessment 874, 876–7, 891–2, 893–4, 895–8, 899–900
performance monitoring 878
principal evaluation 650
school profile indicators 828, 832, 833, 835
specialists/support personnel 679, 682–3
US state accountability systems 722–3, 939, 940, 943, 944, 945
alternative assessment 551, 553
assessment 2, 3, 5, 485–7, 873
Africa 394–5, 475–6, 479
alternative 551, 553
classroom evaluation 485, 486, 533–48, 560, 562
evidentiary arguments 489, 490, 493, 495–502
feedback 557–60, 562
framework for design 502–5
Latin America 441–2, 443, 446, 451–3
national assessment recommendations 900
psychometrics 485, 487, 489–531
teachers' initial perceptions 535
CIPP model 778, 779
disadvantaged 424, 425, 442, 443, 454–7, 567–8
diversity 545
enrolments
Africa 471
Canada 744
cost-effectiveness 133
Latin America 444, 445
school profile indicators 828
evaluation during instruction 538–40
evaluation manual 792
examination selection for further education 578, 588, 595
fairness criteria 931–2
individual education plans 37–8
institutionalization of evaluation 777, 778, 789–90, 794
Latin America 442, 443, 445, 454–7
learning organization disciplines 797–800
outcomes evaluation 427
Personnel Evaluation Standards 290–1
planning instruction 536, 537
portfolio assessment 563–4
reading assessments 556
retention 445
sampling 967
school committee 788
social equilibrium 534–5
teacher-student ratio 862
teacher-student relations 558–9, 822
technology skills 856, 863, 865
subjectivity 85, 161
qualitative methods 169, 177
responsive evaluation 65
truth 178
values 12–13
subsidiarity principle 437
subtheme A 24–5
Sudan 407
summative evaluation 10, 23, 425
alternative assessment 549
CIPP model 34–7, 38
collaborative 257
consumer-orientation 22
external 804
personnel evaluation 607
principal evaluation 605, 651, 652, 661, 665
responsive evaluation 66
school-based 803, 816, 820
specialists/support personnel 687
teacher decisions 540
UK national curriculum 915
US program evaluation 727
use of 190, 210, 213, 232, 236
western influence on Africa 472
supply items 541, 542
support networks 698, 700, 729
support staff 606, 607, 671–93
data collection 679–83
definition 672–3
Goals and Roles evaluation model 683–7
personnel evaluation standards 688–9, 690
surveys
accreditation programs 335–6
Australian program evaluation 755
Boston Surveys 807
certification 338
CIPP model 41
deliberative democratic evaluation 88
Equal Educational Opportunity Survey 874
ethical considerations 304, 306–9, 310, 311–12
evaluators 242
international 951, 952, 957, 961, 963, 968, 974
product evaluation 51
program evaluation 729
school effectiveness 813
specialists/support personnel 674–6, 679, 680–1, 687
teacher dropouts 924–5

Subject Index 1055
Subject Index

technology 844, 847, 852
US school district evaluation 932–8
utilization of evaluation 198
see also questionnaires; sampling
sustainability
CIPP model 37
technology institutionalization 854, 856, 860, 865, 866
sustainable learning community 269, 275–6, 361–72
Swaziland 471, 473, 477
Sweden 87–8, 429, 430, 431–2
Switzerland 193
syllabi 578, 583
symbolic interactionism 174
symbolic use 199, 200, 209, 210, 212, 217, 251
Syria 407
system assessment 873–6
systematic inquiry 286, 294, 752, 754, 757
systematic observation 680
systems theory/approach 390–1, 398–9, 718, 778, 796, 797–800
T-PE see transformative participatory evaluation
TA see Teacher Assessment
TAAS see Texas Assessment of Academic Skills
tabular profiles 827, 837
TAC see Technical Advisory Committee
tacit knowledge 11, 175
tactical model 200
Tanzania 406, 407, 408, 473, 478, 959
target population 966
targets
attainment 913, 914, 915, 916, 918, 919–20
inducements 878
performance management 875
quasi-evaluation 20
Task Group on Assessment and Testing (TGAT) 912–13, 914–17, 919
task model 490–5, 502, 503–4, 525
TCAP see Tennessee Comprehensive Assessment Program
Teacher Assessment (TA) 554, 594–5, 915–16
Teacher Education Accreditation Council 616
Teacher Quality Enhancement Act (US, 1998) 616, 624
teacher–student ratio 862
teachers
Africa 395
Canadian program evaluation 736–7, 739, 747
career ladder 833
classroom evaluation 486, 533–46
curriculum evaluation 983, 986
developing countries 705, 709
effectiveness 603
evaluation 5, 603–4, 606–7, 609–41, 673, 674–5, 783
school district evaluation 937–9
use of 206
value-added 730
feedback 557–60
grading judgements 544
indicator-based school evaluation 811
individual education plans 37–8
influence of 3, 487
instructional champions 865
large-scale testing 562
Latin America 452, 458
Manitoba School Improvement Program 740–1
mentoring 862
Mexico 454, 455, 456–7
national assessment 877
participatory evaluation 255–6, 258, 259
payment-by-results 874, 899
performance-related pay 433, 875, 920
planning instruction 535–8, 546, 778
portfolio assessment 564
principal evaluation 659–60
professional development 603, 620–1, 624
APU 909
technology 847–50, 858, 860
qualifications 828, 832, 839
recruitment and retention crisis 924–5
school evaluations 776–7, 782–3, 788
school-based assessment 594–5
student teachers 52–3
Teacher Assessment (TA) 554, 594–5
teacher–student relations 558–9, 822
technical support 850, 851, 855, 857–8, 862, 866
turnover 833, 860
UK national curriculum 914, 915–17, 918, 919, 923–4
Work Sample Technique 52–3
workload 595
see also personnel; principals
teaching
Canadian program evaluation 739
connoisseurship evaluation 154, 163
videotapes 164
team learning 796, 797–800
Subject Index 1057

Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) 884, 885, 886, 889
technical quality 233, 315
control over 262
responsiveness trade-off 257
technical rationality 159–60
technical standards 330, 963–4, 967
technical support
school evaluations 796, 803, 850, 851, 855, 857–8, 862, 866
specialists/support personnel 674, 675–6
technology 1, 259, 773, 843–70
data collection 172, 277
institutionalization of 6
program evaluation 700, 729
Second International Technology in Education Study 955
see also computers; information and communications technology
Tennessee Comprehensive Assessment Program (TCAP) 940
Tennessee study 112–13, 114, 116, 117, 119, 939
Tennessee Value-Added Assessment System (TVAAS) 603, 945
tests 2, 3, 168, 612–13, 616–17
adaptive 523
alternative assessment 552
Assessment of Performance Unit 908–9
‘authentic tests’ 911, 916, 917
CIPP model 41, 60
classical test theory 490, 505, 507–11, 513–14, 517, 518–19
classroom evaluation 540–3, 544–5, 560, 562
commercial standardized 542–3
Continuous Progress Matrix Sampling Testing Technique 53–5
curriculum evaluation 979, 981, 982, 987, 992
definition 485–6
high-stakes 53, 612, 899–900
international studies 955, 956, 968, 969, 970–1, 974–5
Latin America 443
national achievement 830
national assessment 873, 876, 877, 894–5, 899–900, 901–2
product evaluation 51
psychometric 550–1
readiness 835
responsive evaluation 64
school profiles 828, 830, 832, 834–5
standardized 5, 486, 540, 542–3, 830
critique of 552
effectiveness 771
multiple-choice 615
national assessment 877, 880
teacher evaluation 604, 619
United States 424, 427, 613, 721, 722–3, 729
standards-based 835
Stanford Achievement Test 940, 941, 945
teachers 610–11, 616–17, 622, 624–7, 629
UK national assessment 908–9, 915–18, 919, 923, 925, 926
United States 552, 568–9, 577, 721, 722–3, 726, 729
national assessment 894–5, 899–900, 901–2
program evaluation 698
school district evaluation 936, 937, 944
standardized tests 424, 427, 613, 721, 722–3, 729
validity 564–5
voluntary national test 894–5
see also assessment; examinations
Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 944
text-context relationships 395
textbooks
cross-national curriculum evaluation 983, 985, 986, 988, 989–92, 993
gender bias 164
teacher’s editions 537
TGAT see Task Group on Assessment and Testing
Thailand 406
thematics 159–60, 174
theory 3–4
ccontext 391
grounded 172
meta-theory 10, 15
theorists 9–10
theory-driven evaluation 24, 378, 728
Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) 441, 879, 899
cross-national curriculum evaluation 979, 980, 981, 982–5, 987–94
international comparative studies 953–4, 955–6, 964–9, 971, 973–4
see also Second International Mathematics Study
Third World program evaluation 697–8, 701–20
see also developing countries
time issues
planning 536
technology institutionalization 857–8
Times Educational Supplement 925, 926
TIMSS see Third International Mathematics and Science Study
top-down approaches 429, 434, 811
deliberative democratic evaluation 88
Scandinavia 431–2
trade unions
Canada 747
Europe 431
Latin America 452, 461n2
training 16, 277, 374, 384–5, 795
accreditation 273, 333, 334–6, 340, 342
African traditional evaluation 466–7, 469
Australia 756, 766–7
Canada 733
certification 331, 333, 334–5, 336–41
CIPP model 34
developing countries 702
Eight Year Study 801, 802
ethical considerations 272, 305, 324
evaluation associations 334
evaluation manuals 791, 793
evaluation use 224, 231, 233, 237
experimental evaluation 139
Frontline Management Initiative 756
government influence 347
international studies 970, 974
Israel study 803
Mexico 455, 456–7
non-Western areas 404, 405–7
participatory evaluation 256
preservice programs 331, 332, 334
principal evaluation 605, 664–5
professional standards 279, 297, 298, 299, 300
program evaluation 716, 717, 718
randomized field trials 109–10, 117
school evaluation models 790
school profiles 838
specialists/support personnel 606, 674, 675, 677
teacher dropouts 924–5
technology 846, 847, 850–2, 855–6, 858–60, 862
see also vocational training
transactional evaluation 23
transformative evaluation 25
transformative participatory evaluation (T-PE) 246, 247, 249–50, 251, 253, 254, 256, 260, 262
ethical considerations 271–2, 314, 315–16
increase in stakeholder involvement 380
transparency 277, 383, 384
Latin America 450
New Public Management 432, 437
teacher evaluation 631
Traveling Observer (TO) Technique 49–50
trend data 836, 837, 839
triangulation 162, 173, 177
triennial school reviews 758, 759–60, 761
trust
evaluation use 215
institutionalization of evaluation 789
organizational 256
social equity 366
truth 165n1, 178, 182n4, 241, 376
Turning the Tide in Schools (TTIS) 755–6, 757, 766
turnover of users 234
TVAAS see Tennessee Value-Added Assessment System
Uganda 473, 476
UN see United Nations
uncertainty 143–4, 500, 501
UNDP see United Nations Development Program
unemployment 907
UNESCO see United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
unethical behaviour 271–2, 299
UNICEF see United Nations Children’s Fund
United Kingdom
alternative assessment 550
Department for Education and Employment 827, 831, 918, 919, 922
Department of Education and Science 908–9, 911–12, 914, 920, 922
Department for Education and Skills 923, 926n4, 926n6
examinations 552, 567, 570, 579, 580, 581
large-scale testing 562, 570
moderation 594
national assessment 554, 905–28
national curriculum 905–28
Records of Achievement 559
see also England; Great Britain
United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) 404, 408, 476, 477
United Nations Development Program (UNDP) 404, 408, 471, 477
United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) 402, 404, 406, 952
Africa 471, 476, 477
Dakar Framework for Action 875
Education for All initiative 408–9, 471
Experimental World Literacy Programme 407, 408
Institute of Education 878
International Institute for Adult Literacy
Methods (IIALM) 405
Latin America 441, 443, 445
program evaluation in developing countries
709, 711
World Declaration on Education for All
875, 876
United Nations (UN) 394, 445, 451, 713
United States
accreditation 2
Agency for International Development 404,
451, 452
alternative assessment 550
CIPP model 31, 34
civil rights movement 70
comparative studies 874
Congress 86
department: context of evaluation 393, 417–28
cost accounting 132
cost-effectiveness 135, 144–5, 145
curriculum evaluation 979, 980, 982, 992
Department of Education 120, 346, 354–5,
582, 616, 804
national assessment 883, 890, 894
technology 846, 847, 848
Department of Health and Human Services
309, 320, 321
Eight Year Study 801–2
Elementary and Secondary Education Act
103
evaluation profession 346, 347, 348–54,
355, 356, 357, 362–3, 753
examinations 5, 577, 581–3, 587, 588, 596
export of education priorities 452
Federal Judicial Center 111–12
General Accounting Office 86, 275, 348,
352, 353, 355, 893
Head Start program 356
objective approach 930
relative effect of interventions 108, 119
government influence on profession 346,
347, 348–54, 356, 357
influence on European evaluation 432
input evaluation 46
institutionalization of evaluation 801
international studies 973, 974
large-scale testing 568–9
league tables 165
national assessment 6, 876, 877, 878,
880–1, 883–904
National Council for the Teaching of
Mathematics 953
performance assessment/measurement
382, 560, 561
Perry Preschool Project 109
principal evaluation 643–69
professional culture 402–3, 410
proficiency levels 591, 593
program evaluation 417–28, 698, 721–32
Program Evaluation Standards 699
quality of education 951–2
randomized field trials 120
reform programs 42
restructuring 461n4
Scared Straight programs 110
school evaluation 807, 809, 810–13
school profiles 827, 828, 830, 831, 836, 837
specialists/support personnel 671–93
standardized tests 424, 427, 552, 613, 721,
722–3, 729
standards 270, 279–302, 537–8, 785
state and school district evaluation 929–49
teacher evaluation 609–41
technology 844–5, 846, 847, 850
utilization of evaluation 190, 193, 198
the West 400
see also American Evaluation Association
United States Agency for International
Development (USAID) 404, 451, 452
United Way 22, 352, 353
units of randomization 113
unity of command 678–9
universities
Africa 478
Canada 734–5, 742–7
examinations 578, 581, 585, 906–7
Latin America 446, 447, 448, 451
non-Western 404
Québec Policy on University Funding 742–7
requests for proposals 274
US program evaluation 423, 801
urbanization 421, 444
Uruguay 443, 452, 453, 876, 878
USAID see United States Agency for
International Development
use definition 208–9, 210–12
use of evaluation see utilization of evaluation
utility
CIPP model 34
cost-utility 133, 134, 135–6, 138–9, 143,
144–5, 146, 148
evaluation use 217, 223, 224, 227, 230,
232, 236
principal evaluation 648, 649, 657
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program Evaluation Standards 281, 284, 286–90, 296, 785–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qualitative methods 180–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specialists/support personnel 689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>teacher evaluation 607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>utilization of evaluation 189–95, 197, 204–219, 223–43, 281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>developing countries 710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethical considerations 309, 317–18, 324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>export of US education priorities 452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>improvement 717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>international studies 973–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>legislative initiatives 345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-Western cultures 411–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>participatory evaluation 245–65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>school evaluation model 815–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>school profiles 838–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>utilization of knowledge 192–3, 197–204, 209, 212, 219, 246, 248, 251, 390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>utilization-focused evaluation 4, 192, 194, 223–43, 814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethical considerations 272, 309, 316, 320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US program evaluation 425, 728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>validation 161–3, 177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>validity 516, 527, 946, 947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alternative assessment 550, 564–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>classroom evaluation 539, 540, 543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>curriculum evaluation 985, 994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evaluation use 232, 233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>examinations 583–6, 594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>instructional planning 538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>licensure tests 626–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiple-choice testing 615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outcome-based evaluation 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>participatory evaluation 262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>professional standards 285, 292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qualitative methods 171, 173, 175, 177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>randomized field trials 104, 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>student assessment 486, 487, 546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item response theory 521–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>linear logistic test model 525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psychometrics 489–90, 494, 499–500, 507, 512–13, 517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>teacher evaluation 604, 619, 620, 626–7, 631–2, 633, 915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>validation 161–3, 177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value 28–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value for money 430, 432, 433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value-added 589, 613, 931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>baseline assessment 810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>self-evaluation 814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specialists/support personnel 682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>program evaluation 730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>school district evaluation 934, 935, 937, 946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>state accountability systems 939, 945, 947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value-free doctrine 18, 19, 21, 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa 707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>appreciative inquiry 368, 369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIPP model 32, 33, 36, 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>community 364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>core 13–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cost-effectiveness 146–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>critique of 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cultural 707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deliberative democratic evaluation 79, 81, 83, 84–6, 86, 87, 94–5, 97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>democratic evaluation 435, 436–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>developing countries 707, 714, 715–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>educational criticism 158–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethical considerations 323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evaluation profession 361–2, 365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evaluation use 223, 234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evaluator bias 308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fact-value dichotomy 11–12, 84–6, 94–5, 374, 435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goal-achievement evaluation 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America 450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>learning community 275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>market-orientation 437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiple stakeholders 819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>objectivity 11–12, 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>participatory evaluation 262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pluralism 10–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>process use 229–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>professional standards 284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>program evaluation 715, 718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>program targets 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qualitative methods 179, 181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>school evaluations 784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subjectivity 12–13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sustainable learning community 361–2, 367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>teacher evaluation 614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value-free doctrine 18, 19, 21, 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela 447, 448, 452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verstehen 171, 182n1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>verticality 706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>videotapes 164, 172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>visitation-based evaluation 809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits to the World of Practice 433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNT see voluntary national test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vocabulary 541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Certificate of Education Advanced Level (VCE A-level) 570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vocational training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subject Index 1061

African traditions 466, 467
Canada 733, 734
federal assistance 419
voice 704, 707, 711
voluntarism 906
voluntary certification 334, 338
voluntary national test (VNT) 894–5

Walter and Duncan Gordon Foundation 740, 742
War on Poverty 418, 419, 724
wars 706
Web-based evaluation tools 772
welfare issues
  professional standards 294–5
  reform 111
  Scandinavia 431
  Welfare-to-Work trials 110
Westat 963
Western Michigan University (WMU)
  Evaluation Center 32, 42, 49, 50, 58, 61n2, 353–4, 814
  professional standards 282, 297, 298
  training 374
Westernization 392, 397, 400, 403, 411, 470
WMU see Western Michigan University
women 467, 897
  developing countries 711
  education/employment programs 109–10
  gender bias 164
  see also gender
Work Sample Technique 52–3
working knowledge 202, 212

World Bank 135, 145, 180, 347, 404, 408, 579, 581
Africa 474–5, 476, 477
Latin America 451, 452, 454, 455, 457, 458
program evaluation in developing countries 709, 711, 713
World Conference on Education for All 875, 876
World Vision 715
World Wide Web
  NAEP findings 901
  randomized trials 121
  school profiles 827, 838
  see also Internet
worth 28, 29, 182n3
CIPP model 34, 36, 57–8
  evaluation of specialists/support personnel 687
  independent judgements 241
  participatory evaluation 245
program evaluation 715, 716, 718
  responsive evaluation 64, 65
  school evaluations 784, 823
  Stufflebeam 930–1
  summative evaluation 232
writing 913–14

youth services 60
Zambia 406, 473, 476, 959
Zimbabwe 406, 959
zone of proximal development 553
International Handbook of Educational Evaluation
Part One: Perspectives / Part Two: Practice
Kellaghan, T.; Stufflebeam, D.L. (Eds.)
2003, XVIII, 1060 p. In 2 volumes, not available separately., Hardcover
ISBN: 978-1-4020-0849-8