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Abstract The ability to be able to follow the chemistry of adsorbates on model catalyst surfaces has, in principle, allowed us to peer inside the “black box” of a catalytic reaction and understand the pathway. Such a strategy is most simply implemented for well-ordered single crystal model catalysts for which the catalytic reaction proceeds in ultrahigh vacuum. Thus, in order to be a good model for the supported catalyst, the single crystal should catalyze the reactions with kinetics identical to those for the supported system. This chapter focuses on catalytic systems that fulfill these criteria, namely alkene and alkyne hydrogenation and acetylene cyclotrimerization on Pd(111). The surface chemistry and geometries of the reactants in ultrahigh vacuum are explored in detail allowing fundamental insights into the catalytic reaction pathways to be obtained.

1.1 Introduction

The role of a heterogeneous catalyst is to open up alternative reaction pathways compared to the corresponding homogeneous-phase reaction, in general, by lowering the reaction activation energy. The ability to follow the chemistry of adsorbates on model catalyst surfaces allows us to peer inside the “black box” of a catalytic reaction and understand the reaction pathway. Accomplishing this relies on being able to study the catalytic reaction chemistry on well-characterized systems that mimic the working catalyst. In general, this implies the model system should catalyze the reaction of interest with kinetics identical to the realistic, often quite complex industrial catalyst. However, it should also ideally be as simple as possible to allow the nature of the catalyst and the reaction pathway to be understood at the molecular level. The simplest, ideal model catalytic systems consist of oriented...
single crystal metals that can be studied in ultrahigh vacuum. In addition, the reaction of interest should take place on the model catalyst surface under ultrahigh vacuum conditions so that the full range of surface analytical techniques can be exploited to understand the chemistry. This means that the heat of adsorption of the reactants should be larger than, or at least of the same order as the reaction activation energy. If this condition is not fulfilled, the adsorbates will merely desorb prior to reaction so that little or no information on the surface reaction pathway can be obtained. Under such circumstances, it is necessary to study the reaction under higher pressures.

One area in which such surface science strategies have proved to be remarkably successful in following rather complex surface catalytic reactions has been in the area of hydrocarbon conversion catalysis [1–3], initially focusing on reforming [4–10] and hydrogenation [11, 12] reactions. This work demonstrated, for example, that hydrocarbons could undergo drastic transformations on noble metal surfaces, such as the conversion of ethylene into strongly bound, but relatively unreactive ethylidyne species on Pt(111) [13–23].

Since the intermediates in such hydrocarbon conversion reactions are often present in low coverages or over narrow temperature ranges, strategies have been developed to graft these intermediates onto surface using organic iodide precursors [24–27]. The relative weakness of the carbon–iodine bond compared to the heats of adsorption of the adsorbed hydrocarbon fragment and chemisorbed iodine means that carbon–iodine bond scission is both thermodynamically and kinetically favored compared to other decomposition pathways, thereby allowing hydrocarbon fragments to be relatively cleanly grafted onto transition metal surfaces. This has allowed the properties of these hydrocarbon fragments to be followed providing a fundamental mechanistic understanding of their chemistry and role in the catalytic hydrocarbon conversion pathways. This has also enabled a comparison between the chemistry occurring on extended transition metal surfaces and organometallic compounds [28].

The following describes results of three, relatively simple chemical reactions involving hydrocarbons on model single crystal metal catalysts that illustrate this general approach, namely, acetylene cyclotrimerization and the hydrogenation of acetylene and ethylene, all catalyzed by palladium. The selected reactions fulfill the above conditions since they occur in ultrahigh vacuum, while the measured catalytic reaction kinetics on single crystal surfaces mimic those on realistic supported catalysts. While these are all chemically relatively simple reactions, their apparent simplicity belies rather complex surface chemistry.

The basic hydrogenation pathway for transition metal catalyzed hydrogenation reactions was first described by Horiuti and Polanyi [29, 30] many years ago and was shown to proceed by the metal surface being able to dissociate molecular hydrogen to form the adsorbed hydrogen atoms, which then add in a step-wise fashion to the alkene or alkyne. At the simplest level, therefore, the metal catalyst lowers the reaction activation energy compared to the gas phase by cleaving the relatively strong hydrogen–hydrogen single bond. The true situation, however, turns out to be more complex since at the temperatures at which the catalytic reactions occur
alkenes and alkynes convert to strongly bound species (ethylidyne and vinylidene) that are relatively unreactive, and hydrogenate much more slowly than the rate at which the catalytic reaction occurs. Detailed studies under ultrahigh vacuum conditions allow the reaction pathway to be explored and the roles of these species in the overall catalytic reaction to be identified; to understand, for example, whether they are mere spectators or participate in the reaction, and how their presence affects the overall reaction kinetics. It should, however, be stressed that this is still an ongoing story and even for such simple systems on well-characterized model catalysts there are still gaps in our understanding.

1.2 Chemistry of Acetylene Cyclotrimerization

This direct conversion of acetylene to benzene (3C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2} \rightarrow C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{6}) was first found to be catalyzed by palladium \[31–33\], although subsequently a wide array of materials was found to catalyze this reaction \[34–38\]. This reaction fulfills the criteria outlined above since it is catalyzed at high pressures by Pd(111) where benzene is formed from acetylene \[39\], as well as proceeding in ultrahigh vacuum where the adsorption of acetylene on a palladium surface, particularly Pd(111), yields substantial amounts of benzene \[31–33\]. Temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) experiments of acetylene on Pd(111) show that benzene is formed in two states at ~280 and ~520 K, where approximately 30% of the adsorbed acetylene is converted to benzene \[40\]. Studies of benzene itself on Pd(111) show that it desorbs at these temperatures \[41\] indicating that benzene is rapidly formed from acetylene on Pd(111) and that benzene formation is product-desorption-rate limited. It has been demonstrated that the high-temperature (~520 K) desorption state is due to flat-lying benzene, while the lower-temperature state is due to the presence of tilted benzene formed due to surface crowding \[34, 41\]; which desorbs at lower temperatures since it is less strongly coordinated to the surface in a tilted orientation than when flat-lying.

The benzene formation rate on Pd(111) under catalytic conditions (i.e., $P$(acetylene) ~20 Torr) is rather insipid with a turnover frequency of $\sim 10^{-2}$ reactions/site/s \[39\]. This reaction is first order in acetylene pressure and has a relatively low reaction activation energy of ~2 kcal/mol.

A small amount of C\textsubscript{4} product is also detected in TPD experiments following acetylene adsorption on Pd(111) suggesting benzene is formed in a step-wise fashion on the Pd(111) surface. It was shown, by grafting a C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{4} intermediate onto the surface using halogen-containing precursors \[42\], that the reaction does indeed proceed in a step-wise fashion where two adsorbed acetylene molecules react to form a C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{4} intermediate, which has been identified as a tilted metallo cyclic species \[43\]. This finally reacts with a third acetylene molecule, presumably via a Diels–Alder cycloaddition reaction, to form benzene. The ultimate rate of benzene formation in ultrahigh vacuum is limited by the product (benzene) desorption rate rather than the rate of benzene synthesis. A relatively simple geometrical model can
be used to account for the benzene yield as a function of acetylene coverage in TPD assuming that acetylene is immobile on the surface on the time scale of the desorption experiment [40, 44]. This model also accounts for the relative benzene desorption yields from different faces of palladium [33], where the (111) face is more reactive than the (100) and (110) surfaces since the hexagonal Pd(111) surface correctly orients the acetylene to form benzene [45]. Larger benzene formation yields have been found using laser-induced thermal desorption where the bulk sample heating rate is much slower (product desorption is induced by a very fast heating of a local region), presumably forming more benzene by allowing some surface mobility [46–48] and the catalytic act has been observed directly using scanning tunneling microscopy [49].

Thus, surface analytical experiments have been able to successfully identify the benzene cyclotrimerization pathway and the nature of the reaction intermediates in ultrahigh vacuum. The question, however, remains whether this pathway also operates at higher pressures. The observation that reaction occurs rapidly in ultrahigh vacuum would imply that the catalytic reaction under high pressures should be fast, while relatively insipid rates are measured experimentally [39]. Measurements of the benzene formation rate as a function of time using an initially clean, supported palladium catalyst reveal that a high initial cyclotrimerization rate decreases to a low steady-state rate as a function of time on stream [50]. Molecular beam results on clean palladium in ultrahigh vacuum reveal a similar phenomenon. This self-poisoning effect is attributed to the formation of stable vinylidene species (CH$_2$=C=) that are detected in surface science experiments when a Pd(111) surface is exposed to acetylene at room temperature [51], and these react to form neither ethylene nor benzene, at least in TPD experiments on vinylidene-covered Pd(111) in ultrahigh vacuum [52].

In order to further explore the role of vinylidene species, the structures of the surface species formed from acetylene on palladium were investigated. At low temperatures, low-energy electron diffraction intensity versus beam energy (LEED I/E) experiments reveal that acetylene adsorbs with the carbon–carbon bond close to parallel to the surface on the hexagonal close-packed (hcp) hollow site, with a bond length of ~1.36 Å [53] and is therefore ~$sp^2$ hybridized. This structure is in good agreement with theoretical calculations [54]. Acetylene exhibits a well-defined $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3} R30^\circ$ LEED pattern at a coverage of 0.33 monolayers (where, in the following, coverages are referenced to the atom site density on the Pd(111) surface) and the saturation coverage is somewhat higher at ~0.45 ML.

At room temperature and above, where the catalytic reaction is carried out, acetylene is converted into a vinylidene species [51]. The structure of vinylidene, again determined from LEED I/E measurements, is depicted in Fig. 1.1. It bonds with the C=C bond tilted somewhat with respect to the surface normal and the measured structure is in accordance with that calculated using density functional theory [55, 56]. The saturation coverage of vinylidene at ~300 K is ~1 ML. Furthermore, a vinylidene overlayer is found on the surface during palladium-catalyzed reactions of acetylene [13], which suggests that acetylene cyclotrimerization proceeds in the presence of a vinylidene-covered surface, rather
than on clean Pd(111). Analogously, strongly bound ethylidyne species are found on ethylene hydrogenation catalysts (see below), and it has been suggested that alkenes can still access the catalyst surface at sufficiently high pressures in spite of the presence of ethylidyne species [14–19], implying these surface layers are sufficiently flexible or mobile to accommodate additional species [57]. High-pressure CO adsorption was used to further explore this notion on vinylidene-covered Pd(111) [58]. A vinylidene-covered surface was pressurized with carbon monoxide and investigated using reflection-absorption infrared spectroscopy (RAIRS) to monitor the coverage of carbon monoxide on the surface. Figure 1.2 plots the adsorbed CO stretching mode intensity (at ~1800 cm$^{-1}$) as a function of pressure on vinylidene-covered Pd(111).

The CO vibrational frequency indicates that CO adsorbs on the metal surface in spite of the presence of a vinylidene overlayer. Clearly, additional CO adsorbs onto the vinylidene-covered surface at sufficiently high pressures (several Torr). Adsorption is completely reversible and a Langmuir isotherm plotted with the data is shown (Fig. 1.2). Measurement of the variation in initial CO coverage with pressure as a function of temperature reveals that the heat of adsorption of CO on vinylidene-covered Pd(111) is 8±1 kcal/mol, significantly lower than the value of ~35 kcal/mol measured for CO on clean Pd(111) in ultrahigh vacuum [59, 60]. Since carbon monoxide adsorbs onto the palladium surface, the strength of the CO–Pd bond should be ~35 kcal/mol, and the lower value on the vinylidene-covered surface is presumably due to the additional work required to create room for the carbon monoxide. The CO coverage is calibrated from the change in infrared absorbance of CO on Pd(111) as a function of coverage at low coverage. It should be noted that infrared absorbances are often not reliable measures of coverage due to dipole–dipole coupling effects [61] but this is likely to be less of a problem at low coverage.

These results suggest that acetylene should also adsorb onto palladium in the presence of a vinylidene monolayer at sufficiently high pressures. As noted above, surface science experiments conclude that benzene is formed from acetylene on Pd(111) under UHV conditions by an initial reaction between two acetylene molecules to form a C$_4$H$_2$ intermediate [42, 43], followed by reaction with a third acety-
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PAIRS of CO adsorption on vinylidene/Pd(111) at 300 K.

\[ \Theta = 0.32 \Theta_{\text{sat}} \]

**Fig. 1.2** Adsorption isotherm for CO on a vinylidene-saturated surface collected at a sample temperature of 300 K. The saturation coverage calculated from the integrated absorbance of CO on the clean surface is estimated to be \( \sim 32\% \) of that which can be accommodated on clean Pd(111). The line plotted through the data is a Langmuir isotherm. (Reproduced with permission from [58]. Copyright 2001, American Institute of Physics.)

...lene molecule to yield benzene in a step-wise fashion. This reaction requires a relatively large ensemble of atoms on the surface [62, 63]. While it is feasible that a single acetylene molecule could adsorb onto a vinylidene-covered surface, it is not likely to accommodate such large ensembles. However, reaction between acetylene and vinylidene to form a metallocycle has been observed in organometallic molecules [64–66]. It is therefore possible that adsorbed acetylene could react with adsorbed vinylidene on Pd(111) under high-pressure conditions to form benzene. If this proposal is true, gas-phase acetylene and adsorbed vinylidene should react at the same rate at which benzene is synthesized catalytically. This postulate was tested by forming a \(^{13}\)C-labeled vinylidene layer and reacting it with \(^{12}\)C-labeled acetylene and following the change in the coverage of labeled vinylidene on the surface as a function of time. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) is selected to follow this reaction since \(^{12}\)C is NMR invisible, while \(^{13}\)C can easily be detected [67]. Unfortunately, NMR is not sufficiently sensitive to detect adsorbates on single crystal surfaces, so this experiment was carried out using alumina-supported palladium to increase the surface area. Infrared spectroscopy was used to confirm that vinylidene species are formed on Pd/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) [68] since the CH\(_2\) bending mode is detected both on Pd(111)
and Pd/Al₂O₃ following acetylene adsorption at 300 K confirming that vinylidene is also deposited onto the high-surface-area sample. The assignment to a CH₂ (rather than a C=C) mode was confirmed using ¹³C-labeled acetylene where only a small shift in vibrational frequency was found. However, such as small shift precludes infrared spectroscopy being used to follow ¹³C-vinylidene replacement [20, 21]. The infrared spectra collected following adsorption of ethylene onto Pd(111) and Pd/Al₂O₃ at room temperature were also compared and both display an intense methyl bending mode at 1329 cm⁻¹ and a weaker C–C stretching mode at 1098 cm⁻¹ [22, 23], confirming that ethylidyne (CH₃–C≡) species are also formed from ethylene on both the surfaces. This will be discussed in greater detail below. These results imply that the surface chemistry of Pd/Al₂O₃ strongly resembles that found on Pd(111) in accordance with the observation that both Pd(111) and supported palladium catalyze hydrogenation reactions with identical kinetics.

An alumina-supported palladium sample was exposed to ¹³C₂H₂ to form a ¹³C-labeled vinylidene monolayer and the resulting NMR signal collected. The sample was then exposed to ¹²C₂H₂. The experimentally determined benzene formation rate measured at 300 K [39] suggests that exposing vinylidene to 345 Torr of acetylene for 130 s should remove ~63% of it. The NMR spectra resulting from this experiment are shown in Fig. 1.3 and reveal that surface species are removed by reaction with gas-phase ¹²C-acetylene at the same rate at which the reaction proceeds [68]. This is indicated by the vertical lines in Fig. 1.3, which represent the integrated areas under the NMR spectra, and considered to be proportional to the coverage of ¹³C-labeled vinylidene. The line labeled A₀(exp) indicates the initial ¹³C-labeled vinylidene (¹³CH₂=¹³C≡) coverage (spectrum 1.3a), the line labeled A₀(calc) is 37% of A₀(exp) – the amount expected to remain after reaction with ¹²C₂H₂ and calculated as described above – and Aₜ(exp) represents the amount found experimentally from spectrum 1.3b. These values are clearly in good agreement. The resulting product gas mixture was analyzed by mass spectroscopy and the mass spectrum of the benzene formed during this experiment is shown as an inset, which shows a peak at 80 amu confirming the ¹³C-labeled vinylidene initially present on the surface has undergone reaction to form benzene. These results clearly indicate that adsorbed vinylidene species, although unreactive in ultrahigh vacuum [52], are rendered labile under high gas pressures. This high-pressure reaction pathway is summarized in Scheme 1.1.

There are two routes by which benzene can form from acetylene on Pd(111). The first found under UHV conditions occurs by a pathway in which benzene is produced via a C₄H₄ intermediate formed from two adsorbed acetylenes, that rapidly reacts with a third acetylene to form benzene. An alternative, but much slower reaction occurs between vinylidene and acetylene to form the C₄H₄ intermediate as shown in Scheme 1.1. The existence of two distinct pathways accounts for the decrease in activity of the high-surface-area catalysts as a function of time on stream [50]. Reaction initially occurs on the clean surface by the first pathway to rapidly form benzene. However, vinylidene species accumulate on the surface so that reaction then proceeds by the slower pathway depicted in Scheme 1.1.
**Fig. 1.3** $^{13}$C-magic-angle spinning NMR spectra of vinylidene formed on alumina-supported palladium (a), and after exposure to $^{12}$C$_2$H$_2$ (345 Torr, 130 s). Shown as an *inset* is a mass spectrum of the benzene that is formed. (With kind permission from Springer Science and Business Media.)

**Scheme 1.1** Proposed benzene formation pathway for acetylene under catalytic conditions
1.3 Effect of Hydrogen Addition on the Benzene Formation Rate

The effect of hydrogen on the Pd(111)-catalyzed rate of benzene formation was also explored to provide insights into acetylene hydrogenation since, as shown below, Pd(111) catalyzes acetylene hydrogenation. It would thus be expected a priori that hydrogen addition should result in a decrease in the benzene formation rate since acetylene is being removed from the surface. In contrast, as shown in Fig. 1.4, the benzene formation rate increases substantially when hydrogen is added to the reaction mixture.

As noted above, the Pd(111) model catalyst is covered by vinylidene species during cyclotrimerization catalysis in the presence of acetylene alone. An infrared interrogation of a Pd(111) model catalyst during acetylene hydrogenation reveals the presence of some ethylidyne in addition to vinylidene. This suggests that
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**Fig. 1.4** Plot of the benzene formation rate as a function of hydrogen pressure catalyzed by Pd(111). (With kind permission from Springer Science and Business Media.)
adsorbed vinylidene species can react with hydrogen to form ethylidyne. Ethylidyne species will be discussed in greater detail below, but they can be identified by infrared spectroscopy from an intense vibrational mode at ~1329 cm\(^{-1}\) [22, 23]. Displayed in Fig. 1.5a is the intensity of the ~1329 cm\(^{-1}\) (ethylidyne) mode on Pd(111) as a function of hydrogen pressure for the reaction of hydrogen with 5 Torr of acetylene.

In the absence of hydrogen, the surface is completely covered by vinylidene species (Fig. 1.1) while with increasing hydrogen a larger proportion of the surface becomes covered by ethylidyne species and the ethylidyne coverage reaches a maximum at a hydrogen pressure of ~15 Torr. Note, however, that the coverage does not attain the saturation coverage found on clean Pd(111) (\(\Theta_{sat}=0.25\)) so the surface is covered by a mixture of ethylidyne and vinylidene moieties. As noted above (Fig. 1.2), carbon monoxide can adsorb onto a vinylidene-saturated surface at high pressures. However, the increased ethylidyne coverage provides a more

**Fig. 1.5** (a) The ethylidyne coverage at 300 K as a function of hydrogen pressure in the presence of 5 Torr of acetylene, (b) the CO coverage on the surface following reaction. (With kind permission from Springer Science and Business Media.)
open surface and allows more carbon monoxide to adsorb onto the surface (see Fig. 1.5b). This hints at the true complexity of an apparently simple hydrogenation reaction, arising from the presence of strongly bound carbonaceous species on the surface. The implications of this on the hydrogenation kinetics will be explored below.

This chemistry was investigated further by measuring the kinetics of vinylidene reaction with hydrogen using infrared spectroscopy. In this case, a saturated vinylidene overlayer is allowed to react with high pressures of hydrogen. The formation of ethylidyne species is found, and the time variation in the ethylidyne coverage, measured from the intensity of the ethylidyne infrared signal, is plotted in Fig. 1.6 using a hydrogen pressure of 0.1 Torr, which shows the ethylidyne coverage initially increases, attains a maximum, and then decreases. Performing a similar experiment for an initially ethylidyne-covered surface shows that this is also removed by reaction with high pressures of hydrogen [69]. The data presented in Fig. 1.6 therefore represent a reaction in which there is an initial conversion of vinylidene to ethylidyne, causing an increase in ethylidyne coverage which is then titrated from the surface by hydrogen causing the signal to decrease as depicted in Scheme 1.2.

![Fig. 1.6](image)

**Fig. 1.6** Plot of ethylidyne coverage versus time from reaction of a vinylidene-covered Pd(111) surface with hydrogen, where $d[Eth]/dt$ is the rate of change of ethylidyne coverage. (Reproduced from [102] with permission from Elsevier.)
The kinetic equations for this simple sequential reaction can be solved analytically and the rate depends on two rate constants, $k_1$ and $k_2$ (see Scheme 1.2). The value of $k_2$ is measured independently by similarly monitoring the time dependence of the intensity of the ethylidyne infrared signal of an initially ethylidyne-covered surface in the presence of hydrogen using infrared spectroscopy [69].

The results of this experiment are shown in Fig. 1.7, which displays the plots of the rate constants for ethylidyne removal from both clean Pd(111) (▲) and alumina-supported palladium (■) as a function of hydrogen pressure. The values for these two samples are in good agreement, in accordance with the observation that a Pd(111) single crystal is a good model for the supported hydrogenation catalyst (see below).

This reveals the reaction rate is first order in hydrogen pressure and the implications of this on the overall reaction kinetics will be explored below. Since $k_2$ is known, the kinetic data shown in Fig. 1.6 can then be fit into a single parameter ($k_1$) and the fit is shown as a solid line. The resulting value of $k_1$, the rate constant for the conversion of vinylidene to ethylidyne, also varies with hydrogen pressure and its dependence is shown in Fig. 1.8, which also exhibits a first-order hydrogen pressure dependence. It is interesting to note that the rate constant for ethylidyne removal ($k_1 = 3.1 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-2} P(H_2) \text{s}^{-1}$, Fig. 1.8) is very close to that for vinylidene-to-ethylidyne conversion ($k_2 = 3.2 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-2} P(H_2) \text{s}^{-1}$, Fig. 1.7). The vinylidene saturation coverage ($\Theta_{\text{sat}}(\text{vinylidene}) = 1.0 \text{ ML}$ [51]) is larger of ethylidyne ($\Theta_{\text{sat}}(\text{ethylidyne}) = 0.25 \text{ ML}$ [14, 15]) so the picture is more complicated than depicted in Scheme 1.2. This may mean the conversion of vinylidene to ethylidyne is limited by the removal rate of vinylidene to make space on the surface to accommodate the ethylidyne.

These results indicate that the origin of the acceleration in the rate of acetylene cyclotrimerization due to the addition of hydrogen measured above (Fig. 1.4) arises from a combination of the formation of a more open ethylidyne-covered surface, and possibly also the removal of the ethylidyne once it has been formed to produce regions of relatively clean palladium.

It is evident that acetylene cyclotrimerizes rapidly on clean Pd(111) as described above. This, therefore, raises the question whether acetylene cyclotrimerization reactions can also occur on the ethylidyne-covered portion also. This is addressed
by the data shown in Fig. 1.9, which displays TPD data for hydrogen and benzene desorption following exposure of acetylene to clean and ethylidyne-saturated Pd(111).

The top spectra show the hydrogen desorption profiles for acetylene adsorbed on clean and ethylidyne-saturated Pd(111). These spectra are typical for the thermal decomposition of ethylidyne and vinylidene species indicating that both are thermally stable at well above 400 K. More important are the bottom benzene (78 amu) desorption spectra. The top spectrum, collected following acetylene adsorption on clean Pd(111) shows the two peaks due to flat-lying (at ~520 K) and tilted (~280 K) benzene discussed above. The bottom spectrum shows a strong benzene desorption signal, indicating that acetylene cyclotrimerizes to benzene both on clean and ethylidyne-saturated surfaces. Interestingly, the spectrum for desorption from ethylidyne-saturated Pd(111) exhibits only a single peak due to the desorption of tilted benzene. Evidently, the crowding due to the presence of a saturated ethylidyne overlayer precludes the formation of flat-lying benzene and forms benzene at a lower temperature than on the clean surface.

**Fig. 1.7** Plot of the rate constant ($k_2$) for ethylidyne hydrogenation on Pd(111) as a function of hydrogen pressure (Reproduced from [102] with permission from Elsevier.)
The picture that emerges is one in which the nature of the strongly bound carbonaceous overlayer controls the catalytic reaction pathway. In the absence of hydrogen, the benzene formation rate is relatively low since the surface rapidly becomes covered by vinylidene species (Fig. 1.1) so that benzene is formed slowly by a reaction between vinylidene species and acetylene that adsorbs at high pressures between the vinylidenes (Scheme 1.1). When hydrogen is added to the reaction mixture, in addition to hydrogenating acetylene to ethylene, hydrogen can also react with vinylidenes to form ethylidyne and these may also be titrated from the surface, thereby creating a more open surface, which allows sufficiently large ensembles to be created so that benzene is now able to form more rapidly by reaction between adsorbed acetylenes via the pathway found under ultrahigh vacuum conditions. In catalytic parlance, this would be identified with the presence of two types of “active sites,” one of which is created by hydrogen addition.

It should be emphasized that the proportion of benzene formed in the reaction is much lower than the yield of ethylene formed by acetylene hydrogenation.

**Fig. 1.8** Plot of rate constant \( (k_1) \) for vinylidene conversion to ethylidyne on Pd(111) as a function of hydrogen pressure. (Reproduced from [102] with permission from Elsevier.)
However, measuring the hydrogen pressure dependence of a reaction that does not involve hydrogen (acetylene cyclotrimerization) enables these effects to be disentangled in a way that would not be easily possible by studying the hydrogenation reaction alone. These results set the stage for understanding the catalytic hydrogenation of alkenes and alkynes and establishing the effect of the presence of ethylidyne and vinylidene on the hydrogenation kinetics.

### 1.4 Alkene and Alkyne Hydrogenation

These are classical catalytic reactions and have been the subject of fundamental study for many years [70–80]. Most transition metals catalyze hydrogenation reactions to a greater or lesser extent and the reaction kinetics for all of these are...
remarkably similar showing a positive order in hydrogen pressure between about 0.8 and 1.4, depending on the reaction conditions, and a generally zero or negative dependence on hydrocarbon pressure, indicating that hydrogen adsorption is blocked by the hydrocarbon [79]. Activation energies for the reaction are also remarkably constant, typically being between about 8 and 11 kcal/mol, and the reaction is not structure sensitive. Fundamental studies of ethylene hydrogenation catalyzed by a Pt(111) model single crystal [12] using a high-pressure reactor incorporated into an ultrahigh vacuum system revealed a surface covered by ethyldyne species after reaction [14, 15]. Sum-frequency generation [16–19] and infrared [20–23] experiments demonstrate that these are present during reaction. Such carbonaceous layers have subsequently been found to be rather ubiquitous, with only the nature of the hydrocarbon species varying with the type of catalyst and reactant. Thus, as shown above for example, acetylene forms vinylidene following adsorption on Pd(111) at ~300 K [13] and relatively thick carbonaceous layers are found on molybdenum following catalysis [81–83].

### 1.4.1 Acetylene Hydrogenation

This reaction is of particular interest since palladium is capable of selectively hydrogenating acetylene to ethylene in the presence of excess ethylene and is used to provide pure ethylene feedstocks for subsequent polymerization reactions [84]. Insights into the nature of the surface during reaction with acetylene and hydrogen described above are used as a basis for understanding the hydrogenation catalysis.

Acetylene is rehybridized following adsorption on clean Pd(111) at low temperatures, and is oriented with the carbon–carbon axis parallel to the surface. TPD experiments of acetylene on hydrogen-covered Pd(111) [85] still reveal the formation of benzene, but with a lower yield than from the clean surface. Some butene is formed at ~300 K due to hydrogenation of the C$_4$ metallocycle providing further confirmation of its participation in the benzene formation pathway. In addition, a relatively intense ethylene feature appears at ~280 K, due to acetylene hydrogenation, where the hydrogenation rate is first order in hydrogen coverage. Assuming that hydrogenation occurs via a step-wise (Horiuti–Polanyi [29, 30]) pathway, the reaction must proceed via a vinyl intermediate. This can be grafted onto Pd(111) using vinyl iodide, where the vinyl species hydrogenate more rapidly than adsorbed acetylene indicating that the rate-limiting step is the addition of hydrogen to acetylene to form the vinyl intermediate [86].

The rate of acetylene hydrogenation on a clean Pd(111) model catalyst has been measured using a high-pressure reactor incorporated in an ultrahigh vacuum chamber [87]. This allows the reaction to be carried out on clean, well-characterized samples. This yields a kinetic rate equation (in units of reactions/site/s) of the form:
\[ \text{Rate} = 5 \pm 1 \times 10^6 P(H_2)^{0.04 \pm 0.02} P(C_2H_2)^{-0.66 \pm 0.02} \exp(-9600 \pm 200/RT) \]  

where a site is taken to be an exposed palladium atom on a (111) surface [88]. Reaction proceeds with an activation energy of 9.6 ± 0.2 kcal/mol when using hydrogen and 9.1 ± 0.1 kcal/mol when using deuterium; consistent with hydrogen addition being involved in the rate-limiting step. The measured reaction kinetics are typical for transition metal catalyzed hydrogenation reactions, which have reaction activation energies ~10 kcal/mol, a zero or negative order in hydrocarbon pressure and some positive order between ~1.0 and ~1.5 in hydrogen pressure. These values are also in very good agreement with kinetic data collected for other supported palladium catalysts [74, 77, 89–99], confirming that palladium provides a good model for a supported acetylene hydrogenation catalyst. Clearly, the reaction kinetics are more complex than can be accounted for by a simple Horiuti–Polanyi pathway [29, 30], where the reaction rate is proportional to hydrogen coverage and would therefore yield a hydrogen pressure dependence substantially lower than unity. Evidently, the change in the nature of the carbonaceous layers on the surface, identified above, will affect the overall reaction kinetics. This is illustrated in the following by investigating the hydrogen pressure dependence of palladium-catalyzed acetylene hydrogenation.

The proportion of the surface covered by ethylidyne or vinylidene species, depends on the hydrogen pressure due to the reactions depicted in Scheme 1.2. Both the coverage of carbon monoxide (Fig. 1.5b) and the rate of acetylene cyclotrimerization (Fig. 1.4) vary linearly with hydrogen pressure, as a result of the first-order hydrogen pressure dependence of vinylidene-to-ethylidyne conversion (Fig. 1.8) and ethylidyne titration from the surface (Fig. 1.7). This suggests that the number of “surface sites” \( N_s \) available for reaction varies with hydrogen pressure, \( P(H_2) \) and can be expressed as:

\[ N_s = A + BP(H_2) \]  

The parameter \( A \) represents the number of “sites” available at very low hydrogen pressures corresponding to the intercepts in Figs. 1.4 and 1.5b due to adsorption on a vinylidene-saturated surface. The parameter \( B \) represents the additional “sites” that are made available by reaction with hydrogen to form the more open vinylidene + ethylidyne-covered surface, exemplified by the slopes of Figs. 1.4 and 1.5b. Clearly, the acetylene coverage depends on its pressure so that \( A \) and \( B \) will also depend on acetylene pressure.

Assuming hydrogen dissociatively adsorbs on the surface and that the reaction rate is proportional to the hydrogen atom coverage as suggested by surface science experiments, the hydrogen pressure dependence of the acetylene hydrogenation rate can be expressed as:

\[ \text{Rate} \propto \sqrt{P(H_2)} \times (1 + \gamma P(H_2)) \]  

where \( \gamma = B/A \) so that for \( \gamma = 0 \), the reaction order is 0.5, and for very large values of \( \gamma \) the reaction order tends to 1.5. These results also suggest that since \( B \) depends on
the conversion kinetics of vinylidene to ethylidene, $\gamma$ should depend on temperature; therefore, the reaction order should also be temperature-dependent. There has been some suggestion in the literature that indeed the hydrogen reaction order depends on temperature [70–80] and Fig. 1.10 shows the results for acetylene hydrogenation catalyzed by a Pd(111) single crystal [88].

Figure 1.10 shows the plot of the reaction order in hydrogen versus reaction temperature and reveals that the reaction order varies between 1.03 at 300 K to 1.25 at 365 K. This data can be converted into values of $\gamma$ versus temperature using (1.3); a plot of $\ln(\gamma)$ versus $1/T$ is linear with a slope corresponding to an energy of $4.3\pm0.2$ kcal/mol. These results emphasize the complexity of apparently simple hydrogenation reactions, where the reaction kinetics are not only controlled by the elementary reaction steps on the surface but also by the presence of strongly bound carbonaceous layers. Thus, in order to rationalize the observed catalytic reaction kinetics and to obtain a fundamental, molecular level understanding of the experimentally measured kinetics and thereby fully understand the catalytic reaction pathway, it is sufficient not only to follow the reaction under ultrahigh vacuum conditions, although this is indeed a prerequisite for a full understanding, but also to understand the nature of the surface and how this varies under high reactant pressures [100–102].

Fig. 1.10 Reaction order in hydrogen for acetylene hydrogenation catalyzed by palladium as a function of reaction temperature. (Reprinted from [88] with permission from Elsevier.)
1.4.2 Ethylene Hydrogenation

There are clear similarities between palladium-catalyzed acetylene and ethylene hydrogenation since both occur in the presence of a relatively unreactive carbonaceous overlayer. In the case of acetylene hydrogenation, this is a combined ethyldyne and vinylidene layer while in the case of ethylene hydrogenation this consists of only ethyldyne species. Since ethyldyne species are removed by high pressures of hydrogen (see Fig. 1.7), the general picture can therefore be expected to be similar to ethylene hydrogenation where, in this case, a more open surface is formed by ethyldyne removal.

Ethylene adsorbs above a bridge site on Pd(111) at low temperatures in ultrahigh vacuum with the carbon–carbon bond parallel to the surface with a bond length of ~1.42 Å, as depicted in Fig. 1.11, which shows the structure determined from LEED I/E measurements [103]. As noted above, adsorption at room temperature results in the formation of ethyldyne species with a carbon–carbon bond length of ~1.32 Å, located above a threefold hollow site also depicted in Fig. 1.11, again determined using LEED.

![Depiction of di-σ-bonded ethylene and ethyldyne species on Pd(111).](Reprinted from [103] with permission from Elsevier.)
A small amount of ethane is detected in TPD following ethylene adsorption on a hydrogen-covered Pd(111) surface. In this case, a sequential addition of hydrogen according to a Horiuti–Polanyi pathway forms an ethyl intermediate and grafting this onto Pd(111) from ethyl iodide reveals that the addition of the first hydrogen to form an ethyl species is the rate-limiting step [104]. The overall picture appears to be similar to acetylene hydrogenation where the surface is covered by a strongly bound (in this case, ethylidyne) species and the reaction occurs in the presence of ethylidyne which is removed from the surface by hydrogen (Fig. 1.7).

The situation is, more complex since co-adsorbed hydrogen also affects the adsorption state of ethylene as illustrated by the data of Fig. 1.12. This displays the ethylene TPD traces collected following the adsorption of ethylene on hydrogen-covered Pd(111). The strong bonding on the clean surface is indicative of di-σ-bonded ethylene shown in Fig. 1.11, while the decrease in desorption temperature with increasing hydrogen coverage reveals the formation of more weakly π-bonded ethylene. The different forms of ethylene can be distinguished by infrared spectroscopy. Figure 1.13 shows the infrared spectra of ethylene adsorbed on hydrogen-covered surfaces, again measured as a function of hydrogen coverage. This shows that the feature at ~1100 cm\(^{-1}\) due to di-σ-bonded ethylene decreases in intensity as the hydrogen coverage increases, while the peak due to π-bonded ethylene at ~930 cm\(^{-1}\) increases in intensity with increasing hydrogen coverage, in accordance with the data displayed in Fig. 1.12. The situation for ethylene hydrogenation is

![Fig. 1.12](image-url)
even more complex than for acetylene hydrogenation since adsorbed hydrogen weakens the adsorption of ethylene to form π-bonded species. Hydrogen can adsorb both on top and below the surface on Pd(111) [105–108] where the proportion can be varied by changing the adsorption temperature. By examining the coverage of π- and di-σ-bonded ethylene as a function of the proportion of surface and subsurface hydrogen, it is possible to show that rehybridization is caused exclusively by the presence of subsurface hydrogen [109].

The kinetics of ethylene hydrogenation catalyzed by a Pd(111) single crystal model catalyst have been measured using a high-pressure reactor incorporated into an ultrahigh vacuum chamber [110] where the hydrogenation rate (in units of reactions/site/s) is given by:

$$\text{Rate} = 3.0 \times 10^{-3} P(H_2)^n P(C_2H_4)^{0.6 \pm 0.1} \exp\left(-10600 \pm 300/RT\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.4)$$

and is again typical of noble metal catalyzed hydrogenation reactions [77–80] so that the clean metal sample provides a good model for the working catalyst.

Note that both carbon monoxide and ethylene can adsorb onto ethylidyne-covered Pd(111) [111, 112]. It has been shown above that ethylidyne adsorbed on Pd(111) can react with hydrogen at high pressures [20, 113] so that a similar situation occurs for ethylene hydrogenation as for acetylene hydrogenation. The data of Fig. 1.7 show the rate of ethylidyne removal increases linearly with hydrogen pressure. It is therefore anticipated that the reaction rate will also vary with hydrogen pressure as shown in (1.4). This can be confirmed directly by plotting the ethylene
hydrogenation rate $\sqrt{P(H_2)}$ versus $P(H_2)$. These results are displayed in Fig. 1.14 and show the equation is obeyed by the experimental kinetic data.

The general picture for ethylene hydrogenation is similar to that found for acetylene hydrogenation, where the measured reaction kinetics can only be fully understood by including effects due to the participation of the ethylidyne overlayer. While this hydrogenates much more slowly than adsorbed ethylene and so only contributes in a minor way to the reaction products, its presence on the surface does substantially affect the reaction kinetics.

### 1.5 Summary

It has been shown that detailed, molecular-level reaction mechanisms can be obtained for catalytic reactions by a combination of surface analyses carried out in ultrahigh vacuum combined with experiments carried out under higher pressures. This approach is illustrated for relatively simple catalytic reactions that occur on
metal single crystals that mimic the working catalyst for which the elementary steps of the reaction occur in ultrahigh vacuum. The results reveal the surface reaction pathways for apparently relatively simple hydrogenation and cyclotrimerization reactions can be quite complex. In particular, rather than occurring on the clean surface, reaction proceeds on a rather dynamic surface whose nature varies with reaction conditions. Clearly, in order for a catalyst to be active for hydrogenation reactions, it must be capable of cleaving hydrogen–hydrogen bonds. This in turn suggests that, as found experimentally, it is also capable of cleaving carbon–hydrogen bonds, resulting in the formation of stable and therefore unreactive ethylidyne and vinylidene species. Alkenes and alkynes can still adsorb onto the surface in the presence of these overlayers but the repulsive interactions between the strongly bound layers and the reactant hydrocarbons lowers the heats of adsorption of the reactants and prevents further decomposition. That is, in the ideal case the surface responds to the reactive environment to passivate itself to allow the reaction to proceed. Furthermore, fully understanding the rather complex catalytic kinetics for apparently rather simple catalytic reactions requires a complete understanding of the chemistry of these strongly bound layers in order to account for the experimentally measured reaction kinetics.
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