

# Chapter 2

## Operators and Systems in the Plane

### 2.1 Operators in the Plane: First Definitions

We will work in the plane  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with coordinates  $(x, t)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A differential operator  $P$  of order  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  is defined by

$$(Pu)(x, t) = \sum_{k+l \leq m} a_{kl}(x, t) \partial_x^k \partial_t^l u(x, t).$$

Here, the coefficients  $a_{kl}$  are  $C^\infty$ , given functions (to simplify). The operator

$$P_m = \sum_{k+l=m} a_{kl}(x, t) \partial_x^k \partial_t^l$$

is the “principal part” of  $P$ , the rest  $P - P_m$  being the “lower order terms.”

**Definition 2.2.** Assume  $a_{0m} \neq 0$ . The Cauchy problem for the differential operator  $P$  with initial surface  $\Sigma = \{t = 0\}$  and data  $(u_0, \dots, u_{m-1}, f)$  is the problem of finding  $u \in C^m$  such that

$$Pu = f, \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \dots, \quad (\partial_t^{m-1} u)(x, 0) = u_{m-1}(x).$$

Here  $f \in C^0$  and the  $m$  functions  $u_0, \dots, u_{m-1}$  are given with  $u_k \in C^{m-k}$ .

We remark that if  $u \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])$  is a solution of the Cauchy problem, all traces  $(\partial_t^k u)(x, 0)$  are known from the data; in fact, using the equation

for  $t = 0$ , we obtain  $(\partial_t^m u)(x, 0)$  from

$$a_{0m}(x, 0)(\partial_t^m u)(x, 0) + \sum_{k+l \leq m, l < m} a_{kl}(x, 0) \partial_x^k u_l(x) = f(x, 0).$$

Differentiating any number of times with respect to  $x$  yields  $\partial_t^m \partial_x^p u(x, 0)$ . Differentiating the equation once with respect to  $t$  gives us  $\partial_t^{m+1}(x, 0)$ , and so on.

**Definition 2.3.** For fixed  $(x, t)$ , the roots of the polynomial equation in  $\tau$

$$\sum_{k+l=m} a_{kl}(x, t) \tau^l = 0$$

are denoted by  $-\lambda_1(x, t), \dots, -\lambda_m(x, t)$ , and the  $\lambda_i$  are called the characteristic speeds of  $P$ .

This terminology can be understood from Example 1.16. Note that this equation involves only the coefficients of the principal part of  $P$ .

**Definition 2.4 (Hyperbolicity).** We say that  $P$  is hyperbolic in  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  if all the characteristic speeds  $\lambda_i$  are real in  $\Omega$ . We call it strictly hyperbolic if they are also distinct.

In dealing with the Cauchy problem, we will *always* make the assumption that  $P$  is hyperbolic. If  $P$  is strictly hyperbolic, the functions  $\lambda_i$  are  $C^\infty$  by the implicit function theorem, and we will order them

$$\lambda_1(x, t) < \dots < \lambda_m(x, t).$$

We can also write  $P = a_{0m} \Pi(\partial_t + \lambda_i(x, t) \partial_x) + Q$ , where  $Q$  is an operator of order  $m - 1$ . Hence the principal part of  $P$  is just a product of *real* vector fields (modulo lower order terms).

Example 2.5. The one-dimensional wave equation (also called “vibrating string” equation) is ( $c$  being a positive constant)

$$P = \partial_t^2 - c^2 \partial_x^2 = (\partial_t - c \partial_x)(\partial_t + c \partial_x) = (\partial_t + c \partial_x)(\partial_t - c \partial_x).$$

The operator  $P$  is associated to the quadratic form  $\tau^2 - c^2 \xi^2$ , the level sets of which are hyperbola in the plane  $(\xi, \tau)$ . This explains the denomination “hyperbolic.” More generally, for  $a$  and  $b$  real constants satisfying  $a^2 - 4b > 0$ , the operator

$$P = \partial_t^2 + a \partial_{xt}^2 + b \partial_x^2$$

is strictly hyperbolic.

Example 2.6. The operator  $P = \partial_t^2 - x^2 \partial_x^2 = (\partial_t + x \partial_x)(\partial_t - x \partial_x) + x \partial_x$  is hyperbolic, but not strictly hyperbolic for  $x = 0$ .

Example 2.7. The operator  $P = \partial_t^2 - t^2 \partial_x^2 = (\partial_t + t \partial_x)(\partial_t - t \partial_x) + \partial_x$  is hyperbolic, but not strictly hyperbolic for  $t = 0$ .

Example 2.8. The Tricomi operator  $P = \partial_t^2 + t \partial_x^2$  is strictly hyperbolic for  $t < 0$ , hyperbolic but nonstrictly for  $t = 0$ , and not hyperbolic for  $t > 0$ .

## 2.2 Systems in the Plane: First Definitions

**Definition 2.9.** A first order system is an operator of the form

$$L = S(x, t) \partial_t + A(x, t) \partial_x + B(x, t),$$

where  $S$ ,  $A$ , and  $B$  are  $C^\infty$   $N \times N$  matrices, and  $L$  acts on  $C^1$  vectors in  $\mathbf{C}^N$  by

$$LU = S \partial_t U + A \partial_x U + BU.$$

**Definition 2.10.** Assume  $S$  invertible. The Cauchy problem for the system  $L$  with initial surface  $\Sigma = \{t = 0\}$  and data  $(U_0, F)$  is the problem of finding  $U \in C^1$  such that

$$LU = F, U(x, 0) = U_0(x),$$

where  $F \in C^0$  and  $U_0 \in C^1$  are given.

**Definition 2.11 (Hyperbolicity).** The system  $L$  is hyperbolic if all the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of  $S^{-1}A$  are real. These eigenvalues are called the characteristic speeds of  $L$ . We call  $L$  strictly hyperbolic if the characteristic speeds are distinct. The system is symmetric hyperbolic if  $S$  and  $A$  are hermitian and  $S$  is positive definite.

The importance of symmetry is not obvious and is explained in Exercise 13. See also Chapter 7, Section 7.3, where the notion is discussed in detail.

If  $L$  is strictly hyperbolic, the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i(x, t)$  are  $C^\infty$ , since they are simple roots of a polynomial (the characteristic polynomial) with  $C^\infty$  coefficients. Assume that, in the domain  $D$  where we work, we can choose a basis of smooth eigenvectors  $(r_1(x, t), \dots, r_N(x, t))$  of  $S^{-1}A$ . Then

$$P(x, t)^{-1} S^{-1}(x, t) A(x, t) P(x, t) = \Lambda(x, t),$$

where  $P$  has the eigenvectors  $r_i$  as its columns, and  $\Lambda$  is diagonal. Setting  $U = PV$ , we find that the Cauchy problem

$$LU = F, U(x, 0) = U_0(x)$$

is equivalent to the Cauchy problem

$$\partial_t V + \Lambda \partial_x V + CV = G, \quad V(x, 0) = V_0(x) = P^{-1}(x, 0)U_0(x),$$

with

$$C = P^{-1} \partial_t P + \Lambda P^{-1} \partial_x P + P^{-1} S^{-1} B P, \quad G = P^{-1} S^{-1} F.$$

The principal part of the system is now diagonal, the functions  $V_i$  satisfying the  $N$  equations

$$(\partial_t + \lambda_i(x, t) \partial_x) V_i(x, t) + \Sigma C_{ij}(x, t) V_j(x, t) = G_i(x, t), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

We think of this new system as *scalar equations coupled* through the coefficients  $C_{ij}$ . If  $L$  has constant coefficients  $S$  and  $A$  and is homogeneous (that is,  $B \equiv 0$ ), then  $C \equiv 0$  and we just have a collection of  $N$  scalar equations, which can be solved as explained in Chapter 1.

**Important Remark:** We explained in Chapter 1 why the Cauchy problem for a nonreal field could not be well-posed in the sense of Hadamard. Since a system with different speeds  $\lambda_k$  can be diagonalized, it follows that hyperbolicity is a necessary condition for the Cauchy problem for the system  $L$  to be well-posed.

## 2.3 Reducing an Operator to a System

Just like one does for ordinary differential equations, one can reduce scalar operators of order  $m$  to  $m \times m$  first order systems. Assume that the operator  $P$  contains no terms of order less than  $m - 1$ .

- If  $u$  is a  $C^m$  solution of the Cauchy problem

$$Pu = f, \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \dots, (\partial_t^{m-1} u)(x, 0) = u_{m-1}(x),$$

we introduce as new unknowns the  $m$  functions

$$U_0 = \partial_x^{m-1} u, \dots, U_k = \partial_t^k \partial_x^{m-1-k} u, \dots, U_{m-1} = \partial_t^{m-1} u.$$

Then  $U$  is a  $C^1$  solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t U_0 &= \partial_x U_1, \dots, \partial_t U_{m-2} = \partial_x U_{m-1}, \\ \partial_t U_{m-1} &= -(a_{0m})^{-1} \Sigma_{k \geq 1} a_{kl} \partial_x U_l + (a_{0m})^{-1} f, \\ U_0(x, 0) &= \partial_x^{m-1} u_0(x), \dots, U_{m-1}(x, 0) = u_{m-1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

• Conversely, if  $U$  is a  $C^1$  solution of the above Cauchy problem, we define  $u$  by

$$\partial_t^m u = \partial_t U_{m-1}, \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \dots, (\partial_t^{m-1} u)(x, 0) = u_{m-1}(x).$$

Then we obtain successively

$$\partial_t^{m-1} u = U_{m-1}, \quad \partial_x \partial_t^{m-2} u = U_{m-2}, \dots, \quad \partial_x^{m-1} u = U_0,$$

and  $u$  turns out to be a  $C^m$  solution of the Cauchy problem for  $P$ . Just as we did in Section 2.3, we emphasize the fact that, since an operator can be reduced to a system with the same characteristic speeds (see Exercise 9), these speeds must be real in order for the Cauchy problem to be well-posed.

Example 2.12. In the case  $m = 2$ ,  $U_0 = \partial_x u$ ,  $U_1 = \partial_t u$ , we obtain from the wave equation  $P = \partial_t^2 - c^2 \partial_x^2$  the system

$$\partial_t U_0 = \partial_x U_1, \quad \partial_t U_1 = c^2 \partial_x U_0 + f.$$

If we modify the procedure slightly by setting

$$U_0 = c \partial_x u, \quad U_1 = \partial_t u,$$

we obtain a *symmetric* system. We can even try right away

$$U_0 = \partial_t u + c \partial_x u, \quad U_1 = \partial_t u - c \partial_x u,$$

and obtain a *diagonal* system. We note that  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  are just then the factors of  $P$ .

Example 2.13. For  $P = \partial_t^2 - x^2 \partial_x^2$  of Example 2.6 above, we can try the same approach, setting

$$U_0 = x \partial_x u, \quad U_1 = \partial_t u.$$

Then

$$\partial_t U_0 = x \partial_x U_1, \quad \partial_t U_1 = x \partial_x U_0 - U_0 + f,$$

and again we obtain a *symmetric* system.

Example 2.14. If we try the same procedure for  $P = \partial_t^2 - t^2 \partial_x^2$ , setting

$$U_0 = t \partial_x u, \quad U_1 = \partial_t u,$$

we obtain now the system

$$\partial_t U_0 = t \partial_x U_1 + \frac{U_0}{t}, \quad \partial_t U_1 = t \partial_x U_0 + f,$$

which is *singular* on  $\{t = 0\}$ . The difference with Example 2.13 is not just a consequence of our awkwardness: It reflects a true difference in the behavior of the solutions of the Cauchy problems.

Example 2.15. For the Tricomi operator we use  $U_0 = \partial_x u$ ,  $U_1 = \partial_t u$ ; To get a nice system, we multiply the first line by  $-t$  and obtain the symmetric system

$$-t\partial_t U_0 + t\partial_x U_1 = 0, \quad \partial_t U_1 + t\partial_x U_0 = f.$$

Note that the system is symmetric hyperbolic exactly when  $t < 0$ .

If the operator  $P$  has terms of order less than  $m - 1$ , one can try to express them in terms of the new unknowns. For instance, if  $m = 2$ ,  $u(x, t) = u_0(x) + \int_0^t U_1(x, s) ds$ , etc. The obtained system will not be strictly speaking a first order system, but the additional (integral) terms can be handled as zero order terms and cause no trouble.

For the operator in Example 2.13, if one chooses  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  as indicated in order to obtain a symmetric system, it will not be possible to express smoothly a lower order term such as  $a(x, t)\partial_x u$  with the help of  $U$ , unless  $a(0, t) = 0$ . In fact, it can be shown that the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for  $P = \partial_t^2 - x^2\partial_x^2 + a(x, t)\partial_x$  requires precisely this condition. Thus, turning a nonstrictly hyperbolic operator into a hyperbolic symmetric system is a subtle issue, one that requires sometimes additional conditions on the lower order terms, called “Levy conditions.”

## 2.4 Gronwall Lemma

The following elementary lemma will be useful here and later on.

**Lemma 2.16 (Gronwall Lemma).** *Let  $A, \phi \in C^0([0, T[)$  such that, for  $0 \leq t < T$ ,*

$$\phi(t) \leq C + \int_0^t A(s)\phi(s)ds.$$

*Assume that  $A \geq 0$ . Then  $\phi(t) \leq C \exp(\int_0^t A(s)ds)$ .*

The proof is left as Exercise 3.

## 2.5 Domains of Determination I (A priori Estimate)

**Definition 2.17.** For a hyperbolic operator  $P$ , the field  $\partial_t + \lambda_i \partial_x$  is called the  $i$ -characteristic field, and its integral curves are called  $i$ -characteristics of  $P$ . The same definition holds for first order systems.

Note that we have shown that  $P$  is equal to the product of its characteristic fields (up to lower order terms) and that a system can be reduced to the diagonal system of its characteristic fields (modulo zero order coupling terms).

**Definition 2.18.** A closed domain  $D \subset \mathbf{R}_x \times [0, \infty[$  with base

$$\omega = D \cap \{t = 0\}$$

is a domain of determination of  $\omega$  for an operator  $P$  (or a system  $L$ ) if for any  $m = (x_0, t_0) \in D$ , and all  $i$ , the backward  $i$ -characteristic (that is, for  $t \leq t_0$ ) drawn from  $m$  reaches  $\omega$  while remaining in  $D$ .

Example 2.19. Consider the wave equation, and take  $\omega = [a, b]$  on the  $x$ -axis. A triangle  $D$  bounded by a line through  $(a, 0)$  (with positive slope) and a line through  $(b, 0)$  (with negative slope) is a domain of determination if the lines have slopes respectively less than  $c$  and greater than  $-c$ . The biggest possible  $D$  is bounded by lines with slopes  $c$  and  $-c$ , respectively. More generally, as a consequence of the usual comparison theorem for solutions of ordinary differential equations (see Appendix, Theorem A.7), we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.20.** For a strictly hyperbolic operator or system, the biggest domain of determination  $D$  with base  $\omega = [a, b]$  on the  $x$ -axis is the curved triangle bounded by the  $x$ -axis, the fastest characteristic (corresponding to  $\lambda_m$ ) from  $(a, 0)$ , and the slowest characteristic (corresponding to  $\lambda_1$ ) from  $(b, 0)$ .

For a domain of determination  $D$ , we will denote by  $p_i(m)$  the point where the backward  $i$ -characteristic  $\gamma_i(m) = \{(x_i(t, m), t)\}$  drawn from  $m$  meets  $\omega$ . We can now prove the following *a priori estimate*.

**Theorem 2.21.** Let  $D$  be a compact domain of determination with base  $\omega$  on the  $x$ -axis for a first order strictly hyperbolic system  $L$ . Set  $D_t = \{x, (x, t) \in D\}$ . Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that, for any  $U \in C^1(\bar{D})$ ,

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|U(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(D_s)} \leq C \{ \|U_0\|_{L^\infty(\omega)} + \int_0^t \|(LU)(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(D_s)} ds \}.$$

**Proof:** As explained in Section 1.6, we reduce the Cauchy problem  $LU = F, U(x, 0) = U_0(x)$  to the problem

$$\partial_t V + \Lambda \partial_x V + CV = G, V(x, 0) = V_0(x).$$

Integrating the equation for  $V_i$  along the  $i$ -characteristic between 0 and  $t$ , we obtain

$$V_i(m) = (V_0)_i(p_i(m)) + \int_0^t [G_i - (CV)_i](x_i(s, m), s) ds.$$

We fix  $t$  and take the sup norm in  $x$  to get, for some numerical constant  $C_1$ ,

$$\|V_i(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(D_t)} \leq \|V_0\|_{L^\infty(\omega)} + C_1 \int_0^t \{\|F(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(D_s)} + \|V(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(D_s)}\} ds.$$

We set now  $\phi(t) = \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|V(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(D_s)}$ . Summing the above inequalities over  $i$ , we obtain for  $0 \leq t' \leq t \leq T$  (with another constant  $C_2$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(\cdot, t')\|_{L^\infty(D_{t'})} &\leq C_2 \|V_0\|_{L^\infty(\omega)} + C_2 \int_0^T \|F(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(D_s)} ds \\ &\quad + C_2 \int_0^t \|V(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(D_s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum in  $t'$  we get for  $t \leq T$

$$\phi(t) \leq A + C_2 \int_0^t \phi(s) ds, \quad A = C_2 \|V_0\|_{L^\infty(\omega)} + C_2 \int_0^T \|F(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(D_s)} ds.$$

Using the Gronwall lemma, we finally get  $\phi(t) \leq C_3 A$ , which is the desired result.  $\square$

In particular, the theorem implies the uniqueness of a possible solution to the Cauchy problem in  $D$ . From the proof of the theorem, we see that it can be extended to a noncompact domain (for instance, a strip  $\{0 \leq t \leq T\}$ ), provided the appropriate obvious assumptions on the coefficients of  $L$  have been made. Such a theorem is called an **a priori estimate**, since it applies to any  $U$ .



## 2.6 Domains of Determination II (Existence)

We prove now an existence theorem in a domain of determination  $D$ , chosen as in Section 2.5.

**Theorem 2.22.** *Let  $D$  be a compact domain of determination with base  $\omega$  on the  $x$ -axis for a first order strictly hyperbolic system  $L$ . Let  $F \in C^1(D)$  and  $U_0 \in C^1(\omega)$ . Then there exists a unique solution  $U \in C^1(D)$  of the Cauchy problem*

$$LU = F, U(x, 0) = U_0(x).$$

**Proof:** *Step 1.* We resume the notation of the proof of Theorem 2.21. We first prove that the system on  $V$ , written in integral form

$$V_i(m) = (V_0)_i(p_i(m)) + \int_0^t [G_i - (CV)_i](x_i(s, m), s) ds,$$

has a  $C^0$  solution in  $D$ . To this aim, we define a sequence  $V^n \in C^0(D)$  by

$$V_i^{n+1}(m) = (V_0)_i(p_i(m)) + \int_0^t [G_i - (CV^n)_i](x_i(s, m), s) ds, \quad V^0 = 0.$$

Introducing  $\delta^n(t) = \|V^{n+1}(\cdot, t) - V^n(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(D_t)}$ , we obtain by subtracting the equations for  $n+1$  and  $n$  and taking the supremum for fixed  $t$  as before,

$$\delta^n(t) \leq C_1 \int_0^t \delta^{n-1}(s) ds.$$

We claim now that for some constants  $c_0$  and  $c_1$ , we have for all  $n$ ,  $\delta^n(t) \leq c_0 c_1^n t^n / n!$ . For  $n=0$ , this is certainly true for  $c_0$  big enough, which we now fix accordingly. Assume that this is true for  $n$ : then we get from the above inequality and the induction hypothesis

$$\delta^{n+1}(t) \leq C_1 \int_0^t c_0 c_1^n \frac{s^n}{n!} ds = C_1 c_0 c_1^n \frac{t^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}.$$

This shows that the claim is true if  $c_1 \geq C_1$ . If  $t \leq T$  in  $D$ , we obtain then

$$\|V^{n+1} - V^n\|_{L^\infty(D)} \leq c_0 \frac{(c_1 T)^n}{n!},$$

which is the general term of a convergent series. Hence,  $V^n$  converges uniformly in  $D$  to some  $V \in C^0(D)$ , which is a solution of the system on  $V$  written in integral form.

*Step 2.* However, this does not imply that  $V$  is  $C^1$  and satisfies the differential system! To handle this difficulty, we set  $W^n = \partial_x V^n$ , which is

allowed since in fact  $V^n$  belongs to  $C^1(D)$  if  $F$  and  $U_0$  do. Differentiating with respect to  $x$  the integral expression of  $V^{n+1}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W_i^{n+1}(m) = & \partial_x[(V_0)_i(p_i(m)) + \int_0^t G_i(x_i(s, m), s) ds] - \int_0^t [(\partial_x C)V^n \\ & + CW^n]_i(x_i(s, m), s)(\partial_x x_i(s, m)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Just as before, we prove that  $V^n$  and  $W^n$  converge uniformly in  $D$  to continuous functions  $V$  and  $W$ . This implies that  $V$  admits a continuous partial derivative  $\partial_x V = W$ . Since

$$\partial_t V^{n+1} + \Lambda \partial_x V^{n+1} + CV^n = G,$$

$\partial_t V^n$  also converges uniformly to a continuous function. Hence  $V$  admits continuous partial derivatives and is in  $C^1$ . We can then differentiate the system in integral form satisfied by  $V$  to recover the original system, and this finishes the proof.  $\square$

## 2.7 Exercises

1.(a) Consider in the plane  $\mathbf{R}_{x,t}^2$ , the wave operator  $P = \partial_t^2 - \partial_x^2$ . Prove that any  $C^2$  function  $u$  of the form  $u(x, t) = \phi(x + t)$  or  $u(x, t) = \psi(x - t)$  satisfies  $Pu = 0$ . Deduce from this an explicit formula for the solution  $u$  of the homogeneous Cauchy problem in a domain

$$D = \{(x, t), t \geq 0, t + |x| \leq a\}.$$

(b) Find explicitly the solution of the Cauchy problem  $Pu = f$  in  $D$  with zero Cauchy data on  $\{t = 0\}$ .

2. Let  $D$  be the unit closed disc in the plane with coordinates  $(x, y)$ , and  $\partial D$  the unit circle. What are all the  $C^2$  solutions of the equation  $\partial_{xy}^2 u = 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ ? in  $D$ ? Show that the boundary value problem in  $D$

$$\partial_{xy}^2 u = f, u|_{\partial D} = u_0$$

does not have a unique solution. If we impose the stronger boundary conditions  $u = \nabla u = 0$  on  $\partial D$ , show that the corresponding boundary value problem in  $D$  has at most one solution. Write down necessary conditions on  $f$  for such a solution to exist.

3. Prove the Gronwall lemma (Section 2.4)

(Hint: Set  $\psi(t) = C + \int_0^t A(s)\phi(s)ds$ , and solve the differential inequality on  $\psi$ ).

4. We consider a  $C^2$  real solution  $u$  of the wave equation

$$Pu = (\partial_t^2 - \partial_x^2)u = 0$$

in the cylinder  $\mathcal{C} = \{(x, t), t \geq 0, a \leq x \leq b\} \subset \mathbf{R}_{x,t}^2$ . Assume that  $u$  satisfies the boundary conditions

$$u(a, t) = 0, (\partial_t u + \partial_x u)(b, t) = 0.$$

(a) Define the energy of  $u$  at time  $t$  by

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b [(\partial_t u)^2 + (\partial_x u)^2](x, t) dx.$$

By computing  $\int_{\mathcal{C} \cap \{0 \leq t \leq T\}} (Pu)(\partial_t u) dx dt$ , show

$$E(T) - E(0) = - \int_0^T (\partial_t u)^2(b, t) dt.$$

The energy is said to “dissipate” along the boundary  $\{x = b\}$ .

(b) Show that for  $t \geq 2(b - a)$ ,  $u \equiv 0$  (so much energy dissipated that there is nothing left!).

5. Prove an a priori estimate analogous to that of Theorem 2.21 for a second order strictly hyperbolic operator  $P$ .

6. Prove an existence theorem analogous to that of Theorem 2.22 for a second order strictly hyperbolic operator  $P$ .

7. Let  $P$  be a strictly hyperbolic operator of order two in  $\mathbf{R}_{x,t}^2$ , and  $u \in C^2(\mathbf{R}_x \times \mathbf{R}_t^+)$  be a solution of  $Pu = 0$ . Assume that the Cauchy data of  $u$  vanish outside  $[a, b]$ . Let  $x = x_1(t)$  be the 1-characteristic of  $P$  through  $(a, 0)$ , and  $x = x_2(t)$  the 2-characteristic through  $(b, 0)$ . Prove that the support of  $u$  is contained in the set

$$\{(x, t), t \geq 0, x_1(t) \leq x \leq x_2(t)\}.$$

8. Consider a strictly hyperbolic homogeneous operator  $P$  with constant coefficients. Show that if  $D$  is not a domain of determination of its base  $[a, b]$  for  $P$ , no uniqueness can hold for the Cauchy problem in  $D$ .

9. Prove that when an operator  $P$  is reduced to a first order system  $L$  as in Section 2.3 the characteristic speeds are the same for  $P$  and  $L$ .

10. Let  $A$  be a real square matrix. Show that if there exists a hermitian positive definite  $S$  such that  $SA$  is hermitian, then the eigenvalues of  $A$  are

real. Conversely, if all eigenvalues of  $A$  are real and distinct, there exists such an  $S$ . Explain why this is relevant for hyperbolic systems.

11.(a) Let  $P$  be the wave operator with real coefficient  $c \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$

$$P = \partial_t^2 - c^2(x, t)\partial_x^2, \quad 1/2 \leq c \leq 2.$$

Prove for all  $u \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$  the identity

$$\begin{aligned} (Pu)(\partial_t u) &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_t [c^2(\partial_x u)^2 + (\partial_t u)^2] - \partial_x [c^2(\partial_x u)(\partial_t u)] \\ &\quad + 2c(\partial_x c)(\partial_x u)(\partial_t u) - c(\partial_t c)(\partial_x u)^2. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Assume that in the strip  $S_T = \{0 \leq t \leq T\}$  for some constant  $C$ ,

$$|\partial_x c| + |\partial_t c| \leq C.$$

Assume for simplicity that  $u$  is real and that  $u(\cdot, t)$  has compact support for all  $t$ . Using the formula of (a) to compute  $\int_{S_t} (Pu)(\partial_t u) dx ds$ , prove for  $t \leq T$  the inequality

$$E(t) \leq E(0) + C_1 \int_0^t E(s) ds + C_1 \int_0^t \|f(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2} E^{1/2}(s) ds,$$

where  $Pu = f$  and  $E(t) = (1/2) \int [c^2(\partial_x u)^2 + (\partial_t u)^2] dx$ . Proceed then as in Exercise 17 of Chapter 1, using the Gronwall lemma, to establish the a priori  $L^2$  inequality

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq t} E^{1/2}(s) \leq C_2 E^{1/2}(0) + C_2 \int_0^t \|f(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2} ds, \quad t \leq T.$$

Such an a priori inequality in  $L^2$  norm is called an “energy inequality.”

12. We keep the notation of Exercise 11. Let  $D$  be a compact domain of determination for  $P$ , and set  $D_T = \{(x, t) \in D, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ . On the nonhorizontal part  $\Lambda$  of the boundary of  $D_T$ , we denote the components of the unit outgoing normal by  $(n_x, n_t > 0)$ . Proceeding as in Exercise 11, prove the a priori inequality

$$\begin{aligned} E(T) + \int_{\Lambda} (n_t^2 - c^2 n_x^2) \frac{(\partial_t u)^2}{2n_t} d\sigma &\leq E(0) + C_1 \int_0^T E(t) dt \\ &\quad + C_1 \int_0^T \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} E^{1/2}(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $d\sigma$  is the length element on  $\Lambda$  and  $E$  is now defined by an integration on  $D \cap \{t = T\}$ . If  $|n_x| \leq n_t/c$  on  $\Lambda$ , this yields exactly the same energy inequality as in Exercise 11. Show that this condition on  $\partial D$  is always satisfied for a domain of determination (this is a remarkable fact, since it shows that the method of proof does not require more assumptions than what is known to be necessary anyway).

13.(a) Let  $L = S\partial_t + A\partial_x + B$  be a symmetric hyperbolic system, where we take for simplicity  $S$  and  $A$  to be real. Prove, for all real  $U \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ , the identity

$$2{}^t U L U = \partial_t({}^t U S U) + \partial_x({}^t U A U) - {}^t U (\partial_t S + \partial_x A - 2B) U.$$

Give appropriate conditions on the coefficients of  $L$  in a strip  $S_T = \{0 \leq t \leq T\}$  to obtain, as in Exercise 11, the energy inequality

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|U(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2} \leq C_1 \|U_0\|_{L^2} + C_1 \int_0^t \|f(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2} ds.$$

(b) We keep the notation of Exercise 12 and set  $E(t) = \int_{(x,t) \in D} |U(x, t)|^2 dx$ . Prove the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(\cdot, T)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\Lambda} {}^t U (n_t S + n_x A) U d\sigma &\leq C_2 \|U_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C_2 \int_0^T E(t) dt \\ + C_2 \int_0^T \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} E^{1/2}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Show that the conditions

$$n_x > 0 \Rightarrow n_t + \lambda_1 n_x \geq 0, \quad n_x < 0 \Rightarrow n_t + \lambda_N n_x \geq 0$$

imply that the matrix  $n_t S + n_x A$  is nonnegative. Prove then an energy inequality analogous to that of (a). Are these conditions always satisfied for a domain of determination?



<http://www.springer.com/978-0-387-87822-5>

Hyperbolic Partial Differential Equations

Alinhac, S.

2009, XII, 150 p., Softcover

ISBN: 978-0-387-87822-5