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Abstract Tumor metastasis is driven not only by the accumulation of intrinsic alterations in malignant cells, but also by the interactions of cancer cells with various stromal cell components of the tumor microenvironment. In particular, inflammation and infiltration of the tumor tissue by host immune cells, such as tumor-associated macrophages, myeloid-derived suppressor cells, and regulatory T cells, have been shown to support tumor growth in addition to invasion and metastasis. Each step of tumor development, from initiation through metastatic spread, is promoted by communication between tumor and immune cells via the secretion of cytokines, growth factors, and proteases that remodel the tumor microenvironment. Invasion and metastasis require neovascularization, breakdown of the basement membrane, and remodeling of the extracellular matrix for tumor cell invasion and extravasation into the blood and lymphatic vessels. The subsequent dissemination of tumor cells to distant organ sites necessitates a treacherous journey through the vasculature, which is fostered by close association with platelets and macrophages. Additionally, the establishment of the pre-metastatic niche and specific metastasis organ tropism is fostered by neutrophils and bone marrow-derived hematopoietic immune progenitor cells and other inflammatory cytokines derived from tumor and immune cells, which alter the local environment of the tissue to promote adhesion of circulating tumor cells. This review focuses on the interactions between tumor cells and immune cells recruited to the tumor microenvironment and examines the factors allowing these cells to promote each stage of metastasis.
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Introduction

For decades, it was believed that the primary, if not the sole, function of the immune system during malignant growth was to inhibit tumor development through immune surveillance. While certain immune cells such as cytotoxic T cells and natural killer (NK) cells are indeed important mediators of tumor clearance, it is now well accepted that the interactions between tumor and immune cells are more complex and dynamic than previously thought, as many subtypes of immune cells trafficking to the tumor microenvironment also possess potent tumor-promoting activity [1].

Once established, tumors are quite adept at preventing antitumor immune responses, and several defense mechanisms to circumvent immune detection have been described including antigen loss, downregulation of major histocompatibility molecules, deregulation or loss of components of the endogenous antigen presentation pathway, and tumor-induced immune suppression mediated through cytokine secretion or direct interactions between tumor ligands and immune cell receptors [2]. These mechanisms contribute to the process of immunoediting in which tumor cell subpopulations susceptible to immune recognition are lysed and eliminated, while resistant tumor cells proliferate and increase their frequency in the developing neoplasia [3]. However, tumors not only effectively escape immune recognition, but they also actively subvert the normal antitumor activity of immune cells to promote further tumor growth and metastasis.

During early stages of cancer development, infiltrating immune cell populations are primarily tumor suppressive, but depending on the presence of accessory stromal cells, the local cytokine milieu, and tumor-specific interactions, these immune cells can undergo phenotypic changes to enhance tumor cell dissemination and metastasis. For instance, CD4⁺ T cells, macrophages, and neutrophils have all been shown to possess opposing properties depending on...
the inflammatory state of the tumor environment, the tissue context, and other cellular stimuli intrinsic to the altered tumor cells [4, 5]. These features are dependent upon the inherent plasticity of immune cells in response to stimulatory or suppressive cytokines [6]. Notably, the switch from a Th1 tumor-suppressive phenotype such as CD4+ “helper” T cells, which aid cytotoxic CD8+ T cells in tumor rejection, to a Th2 tumor-promoting “regulatory” phenotype, which blocks CD8+ T cell activity, is a characteristic outcome in the inflammatory, immune-suppressive tumor microenvironment [5, 7]. Likewise, M1 macrophages and N1 neutrophils are known to have pronounced antitumor activity; however, these immune cells are often subverted to a tumor-promoting M2 and N2 phenotype, respectively, in response to immune-suppressive cytokines secreted by tumor tissue [8].

Tumor metastasis is responsible for >90% of cancer mortality yet remains the least understood stage of tumor development. Years of research have led to the development of the understanding that successful metastatic spread requires several steps including epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and local invasion, intravasation into the vasculature, transit through the circulatory system, extravasation and seeding at the pre-metastatic niche, and finally, survival and growth at the metastatic site [9, 10]. Each of these stages of metastasis has been shown to require the close association and collaboration between cancer cells, immune cells, and other stromal and inflammatory components of the tumor microenvironment such as cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) and bone marrow-derived cells (BMDCs) [9]. Here, we review our current understanding of the complex interactions between tumor cells and infiltrating host immune cells and how these associations drive tumor progression and metastasis. In particular, we will discuss tumor inflammation and the roles of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), macrophages, neutrophils, regulatory T lymphocytes (Treg cells), and suppressive dendritic cells (DCs) in metastasis. Involvement of immune cells such as macrophages, neutrophils, and platelets in the promotion of circulating tumor cell (CTC) dissemination and colonization will also be discussed as well as the role of the adaptive immune system in establishing the pre-metastatic niche and organotropism.

Inflammation and immune suppression during tumor progression

Inflammation induced by oncogenic stress in the tumor microenvironment, or from tumor extrinsic sources such as recurrent tissue damage or persistent infections, can contribute to the initiation, promotion, and progression of malignant disease. Inflammation can be described as acute or chronic, each of which can have vastly different effects on wound healing and tissue health. Acute inflammation is typically considered to be an innate, first-line defense against tissue damage and infection characterized by an initial influx of plasma and cytokine-secreting immune cells that are recruited to the site of injury to heal the damaged tissue [11]. Subsequently, this pro-inflammatory program must be terminated after healing to avoid negative effects of prolonged exposure to pro-inflammatory cytokines and factors which can further damage the tissue through aberrant cell proliferation and tissue remodeling [12]. Pathologic inflammation, such as chronic inflammation, can perpetuate a cycle of damage and healing that has been linked to many forms of cancer. This dynamic interplay between tumor cells and infiltrating immune cells has positive and negative effects on tumor growth and results in continuous proliferation and cell renewal that has been used to describe tumors as “wounds that do not heal” [13]. It has been well established that patients suffering from prolonged inflammatory conditions such as pancreatitis, inflammatory bowel disease, prostatitis, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease will have greatly increased risk for developing cancer later on in these affected tissues [14, 15]. Not surprisingly, environmental triggers linked to chronic inflammation such as tobacco smoke, asbestos, and obesity have also been shown to contribute to cancer development and progression [16]. Additionally, many pathogens including bacteria and viruses such as Helicobacter pylori, hepatitis B or C viruses, and species of Schistosoma and Bacteroides can initiate an inflammatory response, which eventually progresses to chronic inflammation linked to gastric cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, and bladder and colon cancer, respectively [17, 18].

The association between inflammation and cancer was first made in the nineteenth century by Rudolf Virchow when he observed the presence of leukocytes within tumor tissue and concluded that inflammation may be a driving force in promoting neoplastic growth [19]. Since then, our understanding of the immune system and its critical role in contributing to the initiation, promotion, and progression of cancer has unveiled numerous immune pathways and molecules that could be utilized for therapeutic intervention [20]. While genetic damage, mutations, and deregulated signaling pathways arise during tumor cell development and significantly contribute to the commitment of progeny cells to a malignant course, many studies over the past decade have shed light on how the program of chronic inflammation specifically contributes to tumorigenesis [17].

Perhaps, in most cases of solid malignancy, chronic inflammation does not initiate tumor growth, but rather fosters tumor progression and metastasis by providing a nurturing environment for invasion [14]. Cells with oncogenic stress can trigger inflammation by activating certain transcriptional programs
that lead to remodeling of the tumor microenvironment. For instance, Ras and Myc family members have been shown to directly contribute to inflammation by Myc-mediated recruitment of mast cells to the tumor microenvironment, which promotes angiogenesis and tumor cell dissemination, while Ras-induced expression of interleukin-8 (IL-8) has been shown to promote neovascularization through CXCL8/IL-8 signaling [21, 22]. Release of pro-inflammatory molecules such as IL-1 and HMGB1 by the primary tumor as it undergoes necrosis due to hypoxia has also been shown to promote angiogenesis with the release of growth factors [23]. Coinciding with the increase in tumor vasculature, immune cells with both antitumor and pro-tumor activities have a new pathway to access the tumor microenvironment.

During the course of malignancy, the inflammatory microenvironment hijacks the innate and adaptive immune responses to promote tumor growth, by preventing the recruitment, survival, and function of antitumor immune effector cells [24]. Some of the key factors facilitating this immune suppression are an abundance of inflammatory chemokines and cytokines including granulocyte–macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), CCL2, CCL20, CXCL5, CXCL12, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β), IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, and IL-23, which are secreted by tumor tissue as well as other immune and stromal cells to promote recruitment and suppression of many immune cell types [14]. For instance, IL-10 has been shown to inhibit the differentiation and activation of DCs, which are key activators of antitumor effector cells of the adaptive immune system, such as cytotoxic CD8+ T cells. Tumors also actively recruit Tregs, which are known to suppress both adaptive and innate immune responses. MDSCs and macrophages recruited to the tumor microenvironment from the bone marrow by tumor cells and Tregs are also potent suppressors of antitumor immunity when they are converted to an M2-suppressive phenotype by cytokines such as IL-10 and TGF-β. These recruited myeloid cells further suppress the antitumor immune response by releasing IL-10 to promote Treg function and inhibit effector T and NK cell responses by mechanisms involving the release of arginase and reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (ROS and NOS) [25, 26]. Activation of NF-kB and STAT3 transcription factor signaling in tumor cells has also been shown to be a pivotal mechanism by which tumors evade immune system destruction by inhibiting DC maturation and suppressing the antitumor immune response [27–29].

Similar to Tregs, regulatory B cells (Bregs) have also been shown to play a role in tumor progression to metastasis. Oikhanud et al. demonstrated that a subset of B cells, or “tumor-evoked Bregs,” induce the TGF-β-dependent conversion of resting CD4+ T cells to immune-suppressive Foxp3+ Tregs [30]. Likewise, in other studies, tumor-promoting B cells have been shown to facilitate the conversion of M1 macrophages to a pro-tumoral M2 phenotype through IL-10 secretion [31]. Additionally, B cells are known to promote lymphangiogenesis and may indeed actively promote metastasis as has been shown for B cell-mediated lymphangiogenic metastasis in lymphoma and melanoma [32, 33]. While B cells and DCs do play active roles in antitumor immunity, these and other studies have demonstrated the capacity for the tumor microenvironment to alter DC and B cell function to promote tumor progression. Interestingly, NK cells do not appear to play an active role in tumor promotion; however, induced natural killer T cells may be involved in tumor promotion through immune suppression in certain circumstances [34].

Overall, chronic inflammation modifies the local environment in the affected tissue by providing an abundant source of growth factors, cytokines, chemokines, prosta-glandins, and ROS to promote angiogenesis and metastasis (Fig. 1) [19, 35]. As a result of these mechanisms of inflammation, the tumor microenvironment frequently contains an abundance of immune cells including innate immune cells, such as mast cells, tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), and MDSCs, as well as adaptive immune cells including T and B lymphocytes and DCs that can have tumor-promoting properties [36]. Each of these cell types can affect immune-suppression and will be discussed in detail in the succeeding sections as they relate to tumor progression during each stage of metastatic progression (Table 1) [4].

**Inflammatory immune cells and cytokines contribute to each stage of metastasis**

**Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition**

In the last decade, there has been increasing evidence that malignant cells on the tumor boarder can develop invasive, mesenchymal characteristics that facilitate tumor detachment and acquisition of a migratory phenotype [37–39]. These EMT cells are characterized by a loss of epithelial features such as cell-to-cell adhesions, apical–basal polarity, and reorganization of cytoskeletal filaments with a concomitant induction of mesenchymal characteristics including increased migratory capacity and plasticity [39]. These phenotypic changes are driven by alterations in signal transduction pathways that activate EMT-associated transcription factors such as Snail, Zeb, and Twist family members, which directly alter epithelial gene expression programs, particularly suppression of Cdh1, which encodes the epithelial cell adhesion protein E-cadherin [10, 40]. Reduction of E-cadherin results in loss of epithelial-to-epithelial cell connections at adherens junctions and allows for enhanced cell motility. Interestingly, malignant EMT has been associated
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Snail is a highly unstable protein, being rapidly degraded by caspase-3 and TNF-α-induced TNF receptor-associated factor 2 (TRAF2) ubiquitylation; however, TNF-α induces Snail1 stabilization by activating COP9 signalosome 2 (CNS2), which inhibits Snail1 binding to GSK-3β and SCFβ-TrCP
[50, 52]. Furthermore, Pikarsky et al. demonstrated that TNF-α blockade results in apoptosis and diminished hepatocellular carcinoma progression by suppressing NF-κB activation [27]. Notably, TNF-α signaling was found to be dispensable during early stages of tumor development, but was required for malignant conversion and progression in later stages of disease, indicating that NF-κB is essential for promoting inflammation-associated EMT.

Leukocyte-secreted cytokines such as IL-6 and IL-23 have also been shown to initiate EMT via the activation of STAT3 signaling resulting in Twist expression [53–55]. STAT protein signaling in the inflammatory environment is known to dictate whether the immune response generated is tumor-inhibitory or tumor-promoting. In this case, STAT3 signaling in response to inflammatory cytokines leads directly to the inhibition of E-cadherin expression and loss of cell–cell adhesion.

Furthermore, Snail1 itself has been shown to upregulate the expression of certain pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1, IL-6, and IL-8, which may establish a feedback loop to promote additional EMT events through enhanced inflammatory signals [41]. In melanoma, Snail overexpression leading to EMT has been shown to induce immunosuppression through CCL2 and TSP1 production [56, 57]. These tumor-derived cytokines were found to shift antitumor immune cell populations to regulatory lymphocytes and immune-suppressive DCs.

Tumor hypoxia can also promote EMT through the activation of inflammatory signals that trigger motility programs. For instance, hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha (HIF1α) and TGF-β expression during hypoxia has been shown to initiate Twist and SIP1 expression [41], while in other studies, hypoxia-induced inflammation signals trigger expression of NF-κB, which was directly shown to activate EMT by repressing E-cadherin expression through transcription of Snail and Zeb [58–61]. In fact, NF-κB is one of the most potent inducers of EMT that is also directly stimulated by inflammatory signals. Specifically, NF-κB has been shown to initiate EMT by activating Akt [61], which regulates Snail expression, and Snail can further directly inhibit the expression of certain tumor suppressor genes including the Raf kinase inhibitor protein in melanoma and PTEN in prostate cancer [62, 63]. Furthermore, NF-κB can also affect EMT programs by directly binding to the promoter of miR-488, which establishes a positive EMT feedback loop as miR-488 targets special AT-rich sequence-binding protein-1 mRNA for degradation, which in turn promotes EMT by increasing the expression of Twist and NF-κB activation [64]. Once NF-κB is activated, other feedback loops can be established that maintain inflammatory signals in the tumor environment since
NF-κB controls the expression of several genes encoding pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-1, IL-2, IL-6, TNF-α), chemokines (e.g., IL-8, MCP-1, RANTES, eotaxin), adhesion molecules (e.g., intracellular adhesion molecule [ICAM], vascular cell adhesion molecule [VCAM], E-selectin), and growth factors and enzymes such as COX-2 and iNOS [65]. Indeed, NF-κB signaling has been recognized as the most likely bridge between tumor-associated inflammation and cancer metastasis [41].

TGF-β1 is another critical inflammatory cytokine known to be a potent inducer of EMT, invasion, and metastatic spread [66]. The source of TGF-β in the tumor microenvironment is varied as this cytokine can be produced directly by tumor cells or by CAFs; however, infiltrating immune cells such as macrophages, MDSCs, and Tregs can also produce large quantities of TGF-β1 and are important inducers of EMT [67]. In addition, studies have shown that platelet-derived TGF-β1 can initiate EMT through TGF-β1/Smad and NF-κB signaling pathways in cancer cells [68]. In this study, the authors demonstrated that blocking NF-κB signaling in cancer cells or ablating TGF-β1 selectively in platelets was sufficient to protect against lung metastasis in an in vivo model. Furthermore, recent studies have shown that STAT3 signaling in tumor cells stimulates TGF-β and IL-10 production, which inhibits the function of effector cytotoxic T cells and promotes Treg development, contributing to immunosuppression in the tumor microenvironment.

Table 1: Metastasis-associated immune cells: their recruitment, activation, and contributions to tumor progression and metastasis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immune cell</th>
<th>Stage of metastasis involvement</th>
<th>Recruiting tumor-derived cytokines</th>
<th>Factors inducing pro-tumor activity</th>
<th>Immune-secreted EMT/invasion factors</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumor-associated macrophage (TAM)</td>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>CSF-1, GM-CSF</td>
<td>IL-4, IL-10, IL-13 Arginase-1</td>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td>[44, 66, 67, 104–106, 111, 116, 212]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EGF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Invasion</td>
<td>IL-3</td>
<td>CCL2, CCL22</td>
<td>IGF-1, IGF-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Circulation</td>
<td>CCL2</td>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td>MMP2, MMP9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Immunosuppression</td>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td>ROS</td>
<td>uPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myeloid-derived suppressor cell (MDSC)</td>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>IL-6, uPA/uPAR</td>
<td>IL-6, IL-10, COX-2</td>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td>[67, 213–217]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EMT</td>
<td>IPGE2</td>
<td>PGE2</td>
<td>EGF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Invasion</td>
<td>iNOS</td>
<td>iNOS</td>
<td>MMP9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Immunosuppression</td>
<td>CXCL5, GM-CSF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppressive and regulatory T cells (e.g., Treg)</td>
<td>EMT</td>
<td>IDO, CCL1</td>
<td>IL-4, IL-6, IL-13, IL-23</td>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td>[44, 54, 67, 112, 116, 119, 218–220]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Invasion</td>
<td>CCL1</td>
<td></td>
<td>MMP3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Circulation</td>
<td>CCL17</td>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Immunosuppression</td>
<td>CCL22, CCL28</td>
<td>IL-23</td>
<td>RANKL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dendritic cell (DC)</td>
<td>EMT</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>CCL2, IL-10</td>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td>[56, 57, 67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MMP2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Immunosuppression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MMP3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor-associated neutrophil (TAN)</td>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>IL-8, CXCL1</td>
<td></td>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td>[128, 129, 131, 221–223]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MMP9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Invasion</td>
<td>CXCL2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Circulation</td>
<td>CXCL6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extravasation</td>
<td>GM-CSF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Immunosuppression</td>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>EMT</td>
<td>ADP</td>
<td>ADP</td>
<td>TGF-β1</td>
<td>[68, 119, 122, 224]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Circulation</td>
<td>Thrombin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extravasation</td>
<td>VEGF-A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mast cells</td>
<td>Invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tryptase</td>
<td>[103, 225]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Suppressive DCs may not be actively recruited but are rather converted to an immunosuppressive state by local factors in the tumor microenvironment*
environment [69]. Other inflammatory cytokines including TNF-α have also been shown to upregulate TGF-β expression by tumor stromal cells, such as through AP-1 signaling in lung fibroblasts [70]. While TGF-β1 is initially secreted to control cell proliferation and inflammation, tumor cells eventually lose the ability to respond to its pro-apoptotic and antiproliferative effects through mutation and immune editing, and instead, its pro-EMT-inducing activities prevail. Indeed, the association of TGF-β with oncogenic Ras and p53 has recently been shown to form a mutant p53/Smad complex that nullifies TGF-β tumor-suppressing effects, instead diverting its function to promote metastasis [71]. In accordance with this finding, TGF-β has also been shown to associate with oncogenic Ras to initiate the transcription of Snail during EMT induction [72].

The ability of TGF-β to activate EMT depends on both Smad-dependent and Smad-independent signaling pathways [73]. While the TGFβ/TGFβR/Smad2 signaling axis is required to maintain epigenetic silencing of EMT genes in breast cancer progression [74], TGF-β also signals through noncanonical Smad-independent pathways to regulate mammary epithelial cell (MEC) EMT programs including small GTP-binding proteins, MAPKs, PI3K, and NFκB [75–81]. Investigation of TGF-β signaling during EMT is an active field of research and increasing evidence suggests that TGF-β can facilitate EMT induction through numerous targets. Studies in various cancer models have collectively shown that ZAG, Na, K-ATPase, SKIP, insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3 (IGFBP-3), Dab2, ROCK, TGF-β type I receptor, LIMK, PIAS1, Snail, Twist, Six1, and SIP1 can all be regulated by TGF-β during EMT [82–87]. Additionally, TGF-β signaling in tumor cells can be mediated by members of the miR-30 and miR-200 microRNA families, such as that observed in thyroid carcinoma [88]. Work conducted by our group and others has demonstrated that TGF-β can inhibit miR-200 expression in MECs, which results in the expression of ZEB1, ZEB2, and SIP1, leading to EMT through the downregulation of E-cadherin [89–93]. Likewise, TGF-β inhibition of miR-21 and miR-155 has also been shown to activate an EMT phenotype in MCF-7 breast cancer cells and MECs, respectively [94, 95]. Overall, TGF-β secreted by tumor cells and infiltrating stromal cells can modulate both the genetic and epigenetic landscape of tumor cells to alter and maintain their differentiation into mesenchymal-like cells with invasive capabilities. While these findings are well accepted, some aspects of the linear progression model of metastasis are controversial and EMT-independent routes to invasion and metastasis should also be considered.

Invasion and intravasation

Migration of cells from the primary tumor requires the breakdown of the basement membrane and remodeling of the extracellular matrix (ECM). The proteolytic cleaving and degradation of ECM proteins during tumor invasion is coordinated by a number of enzymes including serine proteases, matrix metalloproteinasises (MMPs), and cysteine cathepsins [9]. In fact, increased expression of these enzymes in human and murine tumor tissues is associated with lower survival rates and worse patient prognosis in a variety of tumor types including colorectal, ovarian, breast, and head and neck cancers [96, 97]. These proteolytic enzymes can be secreted by tumor cells; however, the majority are secreted by resident stromal cells such as fibroblasts and also infiltrating immune cells [98, 99]. Work by several groups has shown that mast cells, neutrophils, and macrophages can secrete proteases that remodel the ECM on the tumor boundary (Fig. 1) [100–102]. Indeed, mast cells recruited to the inflammatory breast tumor environment have been shown to secrete tryptase, which results in enhanced rates of metastasis to the lymph nodes and higher-grade breast tumors [103]. Macrophages in particular have been shown to be key players in tumor cell migration, invasion, and metastasis [104]. In a polyoma middle T antigen model of breast cancer, Vasiljeva et al. demonstrated that macrophages exposed to IL-4 upregulate the expression of cysteine protease cathepsin B (CTSB), leading to increased lung metastases [105]. The secretion of proteases from macrophages in the tumor may in part be regulated by IL-6 production from neoplastic cells. Specifically, Mohamed et al. demonstrated that MDA-MB-231 breast tumor cells secrete IL-6, which in coculture stimulated monocyte proliferation, expression, secretion, and activity of CTSB, MMP2, MMP9, IL-6, and IGFBP-1 [106]. Likewise, in pancreatic islet cancers, CTSB expression by macrophages has been associated with a loss of E-cadherin on neighboring tumor cells [9]. TGF-β secretion by inflammatory macrophages has also been shown to promote neoplastic invasion by activating ILK, which leads to remodeling of renal epithelial cell attachment to the basement membrane through the activation of MMP2 and MMP9, which degrades collagen type IV in the basement membrane [107, 108]. Likewise, in a model of colorectal cancer, immature CCR1+ myeloid cells recruited from the bone marrow and expressing MMP2, MMP9, and CCR1 migrate toward the CCR1 ligand CCL9 expressed in the tumor epithelium and also promote tumor invasion [109].

In addition to myeloid cells, leukocytes are also conspicuous on the tumor periphery and may foster tumor invasion and metastasis [9]. IL-4 produced by T cells has been shown to stimulate cathepsin expression and activity by TAMs in multiple types of cancer [110]. These macrophages further promote tumor cell invasion by secreting factors to stimulate tumor cell migration such as migration-stimulating factor, which can be induced in TAMs by several cytokines found in tumor-conditioned media including macrophage CSF, IL-4, and TGF-β [111]. Lymphocytes on the tumor periphery
may also promote invasion through the expression of lymphotoxin and receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL), both of which mediate IKKα activation in progressing breast and prostate cancer lesions [112]. IKKα accumulation in the nuclei of these cells subsequently results in a reduction of maspin, which has been shown to suppress metastasis.

While many of the proteolytic enzymes secreted by tumor-associated immune cells promote tumor cell motility through EMT and intravasation into the vasculature, some of these proteases can also enhance the presence and/or activity of antitumor leukocytes, B cells, and mast cells in the primary tumor, which can exhibit both tumor-promoting and tumor-suppressive activities [113]. This dual role of the immune system should be noted, as some proteases derived from lymphocytes, macrophages, and DCs such as cathepsin E can directly mediate apoptosis of cancer cells by cleaving TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand from the cell surface, resulting in increased tumor apoptosis and diminished metastasis [114]. However, immune cells secrete other cytokines that promote invasion such as IL-1, TNF-α, and IL-6 that stimulate MMP expression in tumor cells through NF-κB and STAT3 signaling [69]. Furthermore, Th17 and Th2 lymphocytes can program TAMs to foster a metastatic environment via secretion of several cytokines including IL-6 and IL-17 [115, 116]. Additionally, immunosuppressive CD4+ T cells recruited to the tumor environment can secrete IL-4 and IL-13 to convert tumor-suppressive M1 macrophages to a tumor-promoting M2 phenotype, which have been shown to support breast cancer metastasis to the lungs [116]. The tumor-promoting activity of TAMs is further strengthened by depletion studies, which demonstrate that loss of TAMs, or the associated suppressive CD4+ T cells, significantly reduces the frequency of lung metastases [9, 116]. Direct contact between carcinoma cells and TAMs can also mediate tumor metastasis, wherein pre-metastatic tumor cells direct TAM recruitment through the secretion of numerous chemokines or cytokines. In particular, breast cancer cells can recruit TAMs through the production of CSF-1 and CXCL12 [9]. These recruited epidermal growth factor (EGF)+myeloid cells were shown to induce breast cancer metastasis by binding the EGF receptor-expressing breast tumor cells. Similarly, Kim et al. found that Lewis lung carcinoma (LLC) cells were the most potent macrophage activators via TNF-α and IL-6 secretion, which signaled through toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) and TLR6 to induce invasion and metastasis by versican production [117].

Intravasation of invasive cells into the blood or lymph vessels requires that tumor cells take on the amoeboid characteristics exemplified by immune cells such as lymphocytes, which are some of the only cells capable of intravasation and extravasation from tissue to vasculature in order to control inflammation and infection. Likely, the EMT phenotype that confers mesenchymal traits is prerequisite for tumor cells to efficiently intravasate into circulation. Investigation into tumor cell dissemination has provided abundant evidence that intravasation is regulated by prostaglandins (produced by COX-2 signaling), cytokines (e.g., epiregulin, which increases cancer cell survival), and proteases such as MMP that clear ECM proteins blocking access to the endothelium [118]. Inflammation may also promote intravasation through the production of factors that increase vascular permeability.

Circulation and extravasation

It has been estimated that only about 0.01% of disseminating tumor cells that intravasate into the circulation will survive and establish micrometastases at distant sites [9]. Such low rates of survival for circulating CTCs is predominantly attributed to mechanical shear stresses, detachment-induced cell death or “anoikis,” and cell-mediated cytotoxicity occurring in microcirculation [119]. Factors that increase the probability of survival of CTCs include endogenous factors in the blood and inflammatory mediators released by cancer cells or cancer-associated stimuli [117, 120]. Many of these tumor-associated factors and cytokines released into the blood depend on the activation of NF-κB and STAT3 activation in inflammatory immune cells or cancer cells [118]. For instance, a variety of cytokines secreted in the tumor microenvironment including TNF-α, epiregulin, and IL-6 have been shown to promote the survival of cancer cells in circulation [118]. Once CTCs intravasate into the circulation and leave the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment with its milieu of cytokines and growth factors, the tumor cells are no longer protected from immune-mediated recognition and lysis by host immune cells present in the circulation. However, some cytokines produced in the tumor microenvironment can directly link CTCs to macrophages, which can protect them during transit (Fig. 1) [104]. It has even been proposed that CTCs might fuse with macrophages or other BMDCs as has been reported in some animal models, which may provide metastatic cells with myeloid traits that would be beneficial for their survival in circulation [121]. Also, direct association with lymphocytes and aggregation of platelets around CTCs has also been shown to protect circulating metastatic cells from NK cell-mediated lysis [119, 122]. Protection of CTCs from NK cell recognition was found by Palumbo et al. to rely on tumor cell-associated tissue factor (TF) expression (the receptor for coagulation factors VIIa and X on platelets [123]), which was dependent on prothrombin expression, platelet function, and fibrinogen expression in a transgenic mouse model lacking TF [124]. These CTC/platelet/fibrin clots may also reduce susceptibility to shear forces that could otherwise destroy the circulating cancer cells and may
slow their arrest, facilitating adhesion to endothelial cells at distant organ sites [9]. A high platelet count has been shown to be associated with worse patient prognosis and decreased survival in many types of cancer including breast, colorectal, and lung cancer patients [125], and it has been conclusively demonstrated that treatment with anticoagulants can decrease the incidence of metastasis in rodent models and human patients [126].

The migration of metastatic cells in circulation is often dependent upon chemokine gradients including CXCR4, CCR4, CCR7, and CCR9 that direct tumor cells through the vasculature [127]. The CTC’s journey through the vasculature comes to an end upon adhesion to integrins expressed by endothelial cells. It has been shown that this integrin-dependent adhesion is mediated by molecules such as ANGPTL4, which can be upregulated by many cytokines, including TGF-β, that are present in the inflammatory environment [118]. Furthermore, McDonald et al. found in a mouse model of liver metastasis that a systemic inflammatory state enhances CTC attachment in liver tissue through neutrophil-dependent upregulation of adhesion molecules on hepatic sinusoids [128]. Indeed, it has been found that several inflammatory cytokines can act in a long distance manner to increase adhesion molecules on endothelial cells in target organs, and these cytokines are prevalent in the circulation of cancer patients [14]. This inflammation-induced expression of adhesion molecules on endothelial cells greatly increases metastatic cell attachment at distant organ sites.

Migratory arrest depends both on the quality and quantity of adhesion molecules on endothelial cells, as well as the adhesion molecule repertoire on the CTCs themselves and the content of the underlying ECM in the local tissue environment. It has been demonstrated that the adhesion of melanoma cells to the blood vessel wall is mediated by platelets and neutrophils through the production of matrix attachment molecules including β2-integrin/ICAM-1 as well as selectins [122, 128–130]. In another study, Spicer et al. showed that neutrophils promote liver metastasis of LLC H-59 tumor cells by facilitating neutrophil Mac-1/ICAM-1-dependent adhesion to liver sinusoids [131]. Indeed, for multiple types of cancer, inflammation-inducible cell adhesion molecules (CAMs) are frequently associated with metastatic progression and adhesion to endothelial cell walls in distant organ sites [132]. In fact, recent studies demonstrate that VCAM-1 has multiple roles in promoting metastasis by facilitating immune evasion of metastatic cells and promoting recruitment of metastasis-promoting stromal cells, in addition to adhesion of metastatic cells [133]. VCAM-1 expressed on the surface of tumor cells was found to bind to its cognate ligand, α4β1, expressed by T cells, which decreased infiltration of lymphocytes into the tumor by mediating T cell migration away from the source of VCAM-1 [134]. Furthermore, VCAM-1 mediates the interaction between tumor cells and α4β1-positive macrophages and pre-osteoclasts to promote both lung and bone metastasis [135, 136].

One final obstacle that must be surmounted by CTCs for efficient attachment to the endothelial wall is to inhibit or avoid cell death by anoikis [12]. Binding of CTC chemokine receptors CXCR4 and CCR7 to their respective ligands (CXCL12 and CCL21) on endothelial cell lumens not only helps to mediate tumor cell arrest, but also dampens the effects of anoikis by regulating pro-apoptotic and anti-apoptotic Bmf and Bcl-xL proteins [137]. These interactions have been demonstrated to foster CTC cell attachment and block cell death.

Finally, it should be noted that the dissemination of circulating cancer cells from a primary tumor might not always follow a direct route to future metastatic sites in distant organs. It has long been a clinical criteria in disease staging and prognosis to evaluate the presence of lymph node metastases, and it remains controversial whether tumor cells metastasize to distant organs from the lymph nodes or whether the presence of tumor cells in the lymphatic system simply reflects the high invasive potential of these vessels and tissues [138]. Indeed, with no inter-endothelial tight junctions, pericytes, or basement membranes to traverse, metastatic seeding through lymphatics is much more passive than intravasation and extravasation within the blood vasculature [139]. The aggregation of metastatic cells in the lymph nodes or bone marrow may promote their survival and proliferation and provide sufficient time for these cells to adapt to the stresses encountered in the difficult journey to other organ sites. This may also provide additional time for the establishment of a “pre-metastatic niche” before the arrival of CTCs.

Pre-metastatic niche and organotropism

Several studies have shown that primary tumors can “prepare” the local environment of distant target organ sites by mobilizing BMDCs that prepare the tissue for colonization even before the arrival of disseminated tumor cells [140]. Kaplan et al. demonstrated that vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 1 (VEGFR1)-expressing hematopoietic progenitor cells are primed by factors secreted from the primary tumor such as placental growth factor [141]. These progenitor cells subsequently trafficked to pre-metastatic sites and induced the expression of fibronectin on resident fibroblasts by VLA4/fibronectin binding in the lungs of mice. The upregulation of fibronectin was ultimately found to increase metastatic seeding, presumably by enhancing adhesion of CTCs to tissue expressing these adhesion molecules in the lung. It is still unknown whether the resident fibroblasts that
produce fibronectin arise from local MSCs or if they originate from the bone marrow.

Additional studies have revealed that Gr1+CD11b+ myeloid cells localize to the lungs of tumor-bearing mice to mediate changes in the local tissue environment that promotes metastasis. For example, in a study modeling the metastatic spread of breast adenocarcinoma to the lungs, Yan et al. found that these immature myeloid cells suppress IFN-γ production and increase inflammatory cytokines in the pre-metastatic niche, as well as induce the expression of MMP9, which promoted vascular remodeling [142]. This study revealed that a progenitor immune cell population could not only remodel the pre-metastatic lung to enhance immune suppression, inflammation, and tumor proliferation, but could also secrete proteases to restructure the tumor vasculature. These changes create docking sites for circulating metastatic cells. In other studies, mammary tumor cells remotely activated the expression of TARC/CCL17 and MDC/CCL22 in the lungs of mice injected with 4T1 breast tumor cells [143]. While the expression of these chemokines in lung tissue recruited both 4T1 breast tumor cells and other immune cells through CCR4-mediated chemotaxis, this remodeling of the pre-metastatic niche was insufficient to promote the establishment of micrometastases as resident NK cells eliminated the migrating tumor cells. However, the authors also showed that adding CCR4+ Treg cells could promote the outgrowth of metastasis by killing the NK cells via β-galactoside-binding protein. In other model systems, researchers have shown that Mac1+ myeloid cells are recruited to pre-metastatic sites via TLR4 by primary tumor induction of S100A8 and S100A9 secretion at secondary sites [144, 145]. These chemoattractants recruit Mac1+ myeloid cells to enhance the inflammatory state of the pre-metastatic niche, which promotes the migration of primary tumor cells to lung tissue.

Lysyl oxidase (LOX) is another tumor-derived factor secreted in response to hypoxia, which has been shown to foster the development of a pre-metastatic niche [146]. LOX accumulation in the lung has been found to act on ECM proteins by cross-linking collagen IV in epithelial basement membranes and by recruiting CD11b+ myeloid cells to the pre-metastatic tissue, which adhere to the cross-linked collagen IV [147]. These myeloid cells in turn produce MMP2 and enhance the recruitment and invasive capability of BMDCs and CTCs.

While the concept of pre-metastatic niche is still evolving, an abundance of evidence does indicate that the establishment of an inflammatory microenvironment at distant organ sites, either prior to or at the time of metastatic tumor cell arrival, predisposes the development of metastasis through enhanced survival and proliferation of tumor cells. The establishment of micrometastases at distant organ sites is a highly regulated process involving numerous cell intrinsic and extrinsic factors that dictate organ-specific colonization. The barriers to metastatic organ colonization and the composition of the microenvironment are unique to each tissue [118]. Increasing evidence suggests that the outgrowth of initial metastatic seeds can exhibit a period of latency before the detection of overt metastasis for some tumor types. The gap between CTC organ colonization and the detection of micrometastases can require decades as the tumor cells must circumvent immune detection and “educate” the local microenvironment to support their growth and survival. Certainly, cell intrinsic factors and metastasis progression genes dictate to a large degree how successful tumor cells will be in colonizing the parenchyma of a specific tissue. Genes that were selected early on may confer a selective advantage for growth in one tissue type versus another. For instance, it has been shown that osteoclast-mobilizing factors, such as parathyroid-hormone-related peptide (PTHrP) and IL-11, provide a selective growth advantage to metastatic cells in the bone microenvironment, yet provide no growth advantage in the primary tumor [118]. Likewise, the CXCR4 signaling axis has been shown to be very important for breast cancer metastasis to specific tissues [148], while CX3CR1 expression by pancreatic tumor cells mediates tumor cell spread specifically to peripheral neurons expressing the ligand CX3CL1 [149].

Organotropism may be innately tied to the concept of the pre-metastatic niche as certain tumors prime specific organs to support metastatic growth by altering adhesion molecules and ECM components of endothelial cells and fibroblasts in secondary tumor sites through the secretion of inflammatory chemokines, cytokines, and proteases (Fig. 1) [9, 150–152]. Platelets have also been shown to play a key role in this process as described previously and recent evidence shows that platelet-secreted SDF-1 may alter the migration of CXCR4-expressing tumor cells as well as BMDCs to specific sites of metastasis in distant organs [153]. The mechanisms contributing to these microenvironmental changes are poorly understood; however, growing evidence suggests a key role for immune pathways in this process [12]. For instance, during early liver metastasis by melanoma cells in a mouse model, Mendoza and colleagues demonstrated that vascular arrest of CTCs on liver sinusoids triggers a local inflammatory response, wherein tumor-secreted VEGF induces inflammatory cytokines and VCAM-1 expression by sinusoidal endothelial cells, which further promotes CTC arrest and adhesion of metastatic melanoma cells [154]. Likewise, tumor-activated inflammation, cytokine secretion, and release of factors supporting angiogenesis, proliferation, and invasion from liver stellate cells, hepatocytes, and myofibroblasts has been shown to support the survival of CTCs in the liver [155]. In melanoma, 69% of metastatic tumor cells disseminating to the liver have been shown to ectopically express the FcγRIIB inhibitory receptor for IgG, thus...
terminating humoral activation signals initiated by cross-linking the B cell receptor with its inhibitory ITIM motif [156]. By hijacking this immune pathway, melanoma cells were found to escape humoral immunity, and this mechanism was found to promote liver metastasis by providing a decoy for antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity that is mediated by macrophages, neutrophils, and NK cells [157]. Thus, the liver-specific colonization of metastatic melanoma may be promoted by the acquisition of immune inhibitory molecules and traits that aid establishment of metastasis in a heavily immune-monitored tissue. Furthermore, our group has previously shown in xenograft models of breast cancer metastasis that CCL2 signaling can mediate the organ-specific metastasis of human MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells to the lung and bone by promoting macrophage infiltration and osteoclast differentiation, respectively [158].

Immune cell-secreted cytokines such as IL-6, IL-11, and TNF-α are often implicated with metastasis specifically to the bone and bone marrow [12]. For instance, breast cancer cells often secrete these immune cytokines in addition to PTHRP to activate osteoclasts through RANKL, which has been shown to be a key mechanism of breast cancer bone metastasis [159]. Similarly, IL-6 secretion from bone marrow stromal cells has been shown to promote osteolysis through RANKL signaling in osteoblasts, which has been shown to promote metastasis in neuroblastoma [160], while NF-κB signaling in breast cancer cells has been shown to promote osteolysis and bone metastasis by signaling osteoclasts through GM-CSF [161]. Furthermore, tumor-recruited immune glial cells have also been shown to promote tissue-specific breast cancer metastasis selectively in the brain in both mice and humans through tumor-induced immune inflammatory programs [162]. Moreover, TGF-β secretion in the inflammatory tumor microenvironment has been shown to upregulate ANGPTL4 expression on breast cancer cells, thereby facilitating their adhesion and arrest on the endothelium of blood vessels specifically in the lung, promoting both extravasation and metastatic growth [163].

It should be noted that organ tropism during metastasis may not be entirely dependent upon active homing of tumor cells to specific tissues, but rather, this process could simply reflect the proximity of the primary tumor to the secondary organ site as disseminating tumor cells become entrapped in local capillary beds. For instance, breast tumor cells that have detached from a primary tumor spread predominantly to the local lymph nodes, lung, and bone, while colon cancer usually metastasizes to the liver by transit through the hepatic portal blood vessels [9]. Further research is necessary to delineate the specific contributions of each of these mechanisms to organ-specific tropism during metastasis; however, it is highly likely that a combination of many intrinsic and extrinsic factors contributes to this process and that these factors are likely to be varied depending on the tumor type and secondary organ site. The linear tumor progression model that has been highlighted in the metastatic cascade described previously is but one possibility for metastatic spread. Another, but not mutually exclusive, model for metastatic progression is the parallel progression model of metastasis, wherein tumor cells disseminate to secondary sites early on during malignant progression. Evidence for this model is eloquently discussed in other comprehensive reviews [164, 165].

Other stromal components contributing to metastasis through inflammation and immunosuppression

Cancer-associated fibroblasts and immune suppression

While CAFs are not part of the immune stroma, they are some of the most important tumor-infiltrating stromal cells contributing to EMT and cancer progression via their secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines [166, 167]. Normal MSCs are immune stimulatory; however, MSCs recruited to tumor tissues typically become immune-suppressive, inhibiting tumor infiltration of antitumor effector immune cells and reinforcing the immune-suppressive program in the tumor microenvironment. In other cases, resident MSCs or fibroblasts have been shown to acquire a tumor-promoting phenotype through synergistic associations with malignant or premalignant epithelial cells that become stressed due to DNA damage or telomere malfunction [168]. Factors, such as cytokines and growth factors, secreted from these stressed epithelial cells have been shown to “activate” resident fibroblasts, which promote epithelial cell motility [168]. Immune-suppressive MSCs found in tumor tissue have been shown to secrete TGF-β and HGF, which suppress T cell proliferation; indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase, which mediates apoptosis of activated T cells; and HLA-G5 found to promote the expansion of Tregs and inhibit DC differentiation through the loss of TNF-α, IFN-γ, and IL-12 [166]. Overall, CAFs clearly polarize the tumor microenvironment to an immunosuppressive Th2 phenotypic profile. Indeed, the elimination of CAFs in a 4T1 model of breast cancer metastasis has been shown to promote antitumor activity, shift the immune phenotype from Th2 to Th1, reduce macrophage, MDSC, and Treg recruitment, and inhibit angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis [169].

MSCs are recruited to malignant tissues by endocrine and paracrine signaling from tumor cells [170]. Although it is has been demonstrated that CXCR4, CXCR12, and CCL2 molecules play a major role in MSC tumor homing, the exact mechanism of tumor MSC recruitment is still not completely understood [171]. However, once MSCs are recruited to the tumor microenvironment, crosstalk between the tumor cells and stroma stimulates MSCs to differentiate into tumor-
promoting CAFs. These stromal cells have been shown to secrete IL-6 [172, 173], angiopoietin-1 (Ang1) [174], and VEGF [175] that provide signals for growth, tissue regeneration, and angiogenesis, which promotes immune infiltration by providing new routes to the tumor environment. Simultaneously, CAFs also exhibit a strongly pro-inflammatory phenotype by actively secreting CCL5 (also called RANTES) and IL-17B [166]. Karnoub et al. demonstrated in a xenograft model of breast cancer that CCL5 secreted from MSCs activates Akt signaling in tumor cells and induces their extravasation from the circulation, allowing colonization at distal organ sites [176]. Likewise, TGF-β-induced IL-17B secretion by MSC-derived CAFs has been shown to also promote increased tumor cell migration, invasion, and metastasis to the lungs and liver in xenograft mice inoculated with MDA-MB-231 and SUM315 breast cancer cells overexpressing ectopic IL-17B [177]. The function of IL-17B is largely unknown; however, high levels of IL-17B expression have been found in metastatic tissues and this expression is associated with worse patient prognosis [178]. There are five additional IL-17 family member proteins produced by various subsets of immune cells, which are structurally related and can possess both immune-stimulatory and immune-suppressive activity depending on the local cytokine milieu and the various immune cells on which they act [179, 180]. For instance, IL-17A+ CD4+ T cells recruited to the tumor microenvironment have been shown to promote angiogenesis and tumor growth by increasing fibroblast expression of pro-angiogenic cytokines, stimulation of resident macrophages to produce pro-angiogenic cytokines in a TNF-α-dependent manner, and IL-17A has been shown to independently increase the mitogenic activity of bEGF, HGF, and VEGF for vascular endothelial cells [181–183]. In contrast, IL-17B and IL-17C have been shown to induce neutrophil tumor infiltration by inducing TNF and IL-1β expression in monocytes [115, 184]. In addition to pro-angiogenic effects, IL-17A-secreting Th17 immune cells have been shown to recruit neutrophils to the tumor microenvironment through IL-8 production, which is positively associated with tumor progression, lymph node invasion, and metastatic spread [185, 186]. The role of IL-17B is largely unknown; however, it does appear that MSC secretion of IL-17B could facilitate the metastatic process by promoting tumor angiogenesis and the recruitment of neutrophils to the metastatic site.

Immune-mediated angiogenesis

During progression to metastasis, tumor growth inevitably outpaces the available blood supply, thereby limiting access to oxygen and nutrients, which ultimately leads to tumor hypoxia. As the tumor becomes oxygen-starved, hypoxic signals are elicited to trigger neoangiogenesis. However, the developing tumor-associated blood vessels are poorly organized, lacking the classic hierarchical arteriole-to-capillary-to-venule formations, and are highly leaky, which result in an increase in hypoxia and interstitial fluid pressure and drop in pH [187–189]. The impact of this aberrant vasculature essentially inhibits the efficient extravasation of cytotoxic lymphocytes with effector functionality into the tumor microenvironment [188]. BMDCs such as macrophages, MDSCs, neutrophils, and mast cells have all been shown to contribute to angiogenesis through the production of cytokines, growth factors, and proteases, especially VEGF-A, PROK2, and MMPs [21, 100, 190–192].

Hypoxia also supports the development of angiogenesis by stimulating HIF1α signaling in cancer cells to release VEGF and platelet-derived growth factor, which activate endothelial cells to initiate blood vessel growth in a chemokine-directed gradient [193]. Angiogenesis is also dependent upon recruitment of TAMs into cancer lesions, which sense hypoxic signals and produce chemokines and pro-angiogenic factors [147, 194]. Two key angiogenic mediators, Ang2 and VEGF, recruit both TAM progenitor cells and MDSCs, which regulate several important proangiogenesis genes including CXCL1, CXCL8, VEGF, IL-8, and HIF1α through NF-κB, STAT3, and AP-1 signaling [36, 194]. These findings are consistent with the clinical association found between high TAM infiltration and poor outcome in cancer patients [20].

Shojaei et al. recently demonstrated in both murine models and human patients that the antiangiogenic effect elicited by blocking VEGF-mediated angiogenesis was curtailed by infiltration of CD11b+Gr1+ myeloid cells into the tumor tissue [195]. These results suggested that refractory tumor growth in response to the inhibition of angiogenesis is associated with tumor infiltration of TAM and MDSC myeloid-like cells or precursors. Indeed, a series of elegant studies by De Palma and Naldini have conclusively shown that Tie2-expressing macrophages promote angiogenesis through the secretion of several pro-angiogenic enzymes including thymidine phosphorylase and CTSB [191, 196, 197]. Furthermore, the pro-angiogenic capacity of these myeloid cells was enhanced by exposure to tumor-derived Ang2 [196, 197]. Additional studies revealed that Tie2-expressing monocytes/macrophages are also critical for maintaining the viability of newly formed tumor blood vessels [198] and that this tumor-promoting activity could be blocked with IFN-α treatment [199]. Interestingly, studies by Lyden et al. have demonstrated that endothelial and hematopoietic progenitor cells of myeloid origin contribute to the vasculature of growing tumors by direct incorporation into the growing blood vessels, promoting enhanced tumor growth and spread [200].

It is still not entirely clear whether the dominant driver of angiogenesis is hypoxic signals or factors secreted by infiltrating immune cells in response to inflammation-induced
hypoxia. In either case, angiogenesis serves multiple purposes for the progression of tumor development as it not only supports primary tumor growth via increased availability to nutrients, but it also allows greater access for tumor-promoting immune cell infiltration and increases the probability of metastasis by providing new routes for intravasation into the circulation.

Potential for therapy and concluding remarks

The crosstalk that occurs between tumor and immune cells within the tumor microenvironment, the circulation, or at distant metastatic sites has been clearly shown to foster metastatic dissemination. Immune cells as well as the suppressive factors that they secrete represent potential targets for therapeutic intervention. Regardless of their source, cytokines, chemokines, proteases, and growth factors are some of the main factors contributing to immunosuppression and immune-mediated tumor progression. These molecules can be produced by immune, stromal, or malignant cells and can act in paracrine and autocrine fashion to promote each stage of tumor cell invasion and metastasis by enhancing inflammation, angiogenesis, tumor proliferation, and recruitment of additional immunosuppressive and tumor-promoting immune cells. These secreted factors provide the malignant cells with an abundant source of growth and survival signals that perpetuate a supportive microenvironment for tumor metastasis and represent some of the most attractive targets for directed antitumor therapy. Immune pathways provide numerous soluble targets for cancer treatment, and indeed, many drugs to target immune-suppressive molecules are moving forward in clinical trials. For instance, the anti-RANKL (denosumab) antibody has been shown to effectively inhibit bone metastasis in prostate cancer patients [201], while a variety of neutralizing antibodies to IL-1β and IL-1 receptor have been shown to have efficacy in treating metastasis in preclinical animal models [202]. Several agents that target IL-1 or other immune-suppressive cytokines are already approved for the treatment of some inflammatory diseases and are prime candidates for human trials [202]. Additionally, other proteins involved in tumor progression that are induced directly or indirectly by immune cell populations, such as EMT-associated transcription factors, adhesion molecules, and tumor receptors and ligands which mediate immune suppression, could also be targeted with small molecules or blocking antibodies. Antibodies against two surface molecules expressed by suppressive lymphoid cells, anti-CTLA-4 (ipilimumab) [203, 204] and anti-PD-1, have been recently gaining increasing support from clinical trials for their effective treatment for many forms of cancer including advanced melanoma and prostate cancer [205, 206]. Specifically, anti-CTLA-4 has been shown to be particularly efficacious in metastatic melanoma, while anti-PD-1 has only just begun a comprehensive evaluation in clinical trials [204, 207]. Likewise, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs to prevent or treat chronic inflammation and lymphangiogenesis [208–210] and anticoagulants to prevent platelet aggregation on CTCs [211] are just two examples of a multitude of therapeutic agents that could be utilized to prevent immune-mediated tumor progression at unique stages of metastasis. Of course, new methods or biomarkers for the detection of patients at risk of tumor progression or metastasis are also desperately needed to tailor personalized therapy for patients to obtain the best possible clinical outcome.

Thanks to diligent work by numerous researchers, we now have a growing appreciation for the impact that the immune system can have on immunosuppression and tumor promotion during progression to metastasis. These cells and secreted factors may be ideal candidates for therapeutic targeting; however, a difficulty arises in that these molecules can also be expressed by healthy cells and tissues and, in some cases, may help prevent other pathologic states such as autoimmune diseases. A better understanding of the recruitment and polarization of the immune stroma to a tumor-promoting and immune-suppressive phenotype will be critical in the treatment and prevention of metastatic progression. In particular, a greater understanding of the factors involved in the later stages of tumor progression, such as tumor cell circulation, extravasation, and colonization, as well as the establishment of the pre-metastatic niche, will be paramount in our ability to prevent the spread of malignant cells and improve the outcome for patients with this devastating stage of disease.
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