JAMS by the Numbers

5.888 Impact Factor | 315,956 Yearly article downloads | 8+ Percent acceptance rate (2010-2015)

Rankings
- Highest impact factor among marketing journals for 2016
- Less than 10% self-citation rate
- Included in the Financial Times top 50 journals list

Metrics
- 500+ submissions per year
- 175 editorial review board members
- 40% non-US authors
- 40 days or less turnaround for first-round reviews
- 6 issues per volume, 40-50 articles per year

For more information, please see our full benchmark report at www.springer.com/jams.
**Area Editors**

- Michael Ahearne, University of Houston
- Dipayan Biswas, University of South Florida
- Michael Brady, Florida State University
- Marnik Dekimpe, Tilburg University
- Eric Fang, University of Illinois
- Dhruv Grewal, Babson College
- Rebecca Hamilton, Georgetown University
- Kelly L. Haws, Vanderbilt University
- Mark B. Houston, Texas A&M University
- John Hulland, University of Georgia
- Tomas Hult, Michigan State University
- Shailendra P. Jain, University of Washington
- Satish Jayachandran, University of South Carolina
- Constantine S. Katsikeas, University of Leeds
- V. Kumar, Georgia State University
- Son K. Lam, University of Georgia
- Martin Mende, Florida State University
- Vikas Mittal, Rice University
- Neil A. Morgan, Indiana University
- J. Andrew Petersen, Penn State University
- Linda Price, University of Oregon
- Lopo Rego, Indiana University
- Anne Roggeveen, Babson College
- Maura L. Scott, Florida State University
- Shrihari Sridhar, Texas A&M University
- Rajkumar Venkatesan, University of Texas at Austin
- Stefan Wuyts, Koç University

**Research Priorities**

- Meta-analyses on managerial constructs and research domains
- Editorials on current topics relevant to managerial research in marketing
- Research in service marketing, sales management, B2B, and retail domains
- Consumer-based strategy research including field experiments, managerially-controllable moderators, and objective outcomes
- Managerial research investigating dynamic effects using longitudinal and/or secondary data
- Review papers on managerially relevant topics

**Review Process**

1. **Author submits paper**
2. EIC evaluates for fit with JAMS and assign to 4 reviewers
3. ERB/ad hoc reviewers complete evaluations (25 days)
4. EIC evaluates paper/reviews and assigns AE if not rejected
5. AE evaluates paper
6. EIC evaluates AE and reviewer comments
7. **Author receives invitation for revision**
8. EIC rejects paper at first round before AE
9. EIC rejects paper at first round after AE
10. EIC desk rejects at first read

**Common Reasons for Rejection**

- Single survey with all main effects hypotheses
- Behavioral research with little link to managerial research and few moderators that are managerially relevant
- Not positioned well for JAMS
- Lack of linkage to extant managerial research in marketing (e.g., JM, JAMS)
- Low managerial impact or contribution
- Narrow methods paper or scale development papers