INSTRUCTIONS FOR AUTHORS

For more than 45 years Ambio has brought international perspective to important developments in environmental research, policy and related activities for an international readership of specialists, generalists, students, decision-makers and interested laymen. From 2019 and onwards we will publish monthly issues of which two to four each year will be special issues.

Ambio:
- explores the link between human activities and the environment;
- addresses the scientific, social, economic, and cultural factors that influence the condition of the human environment;
- encourages multi- or interdisciplinary submissions with explicit management or policy recommendations.

Each new submission is assessed by the editor-in-chief and one of the associate editors. We will reject a manuscript after editorial review if:
- it does not fulfil the journal scope (e.g. lacking a clear link between human activities and the environment);
- it is unlikely to be of interest to a broad international readership because of too narrow scope or if it does not provide novel insights into the subject area;
- authors have not convincingly argued that the general implications of the work transcend that of the studied area (for case studies);
- there are flaws with the methodology;
- is poorly presented and unclear.

A decision to reject after editorial review is normally taken within a week. Up to 50% of papers submitted to Ambio are rejected after editorial review. This reduces the burden on the refereeing community and enables authors to submit, without delay, to another journal. For manuscripts that pass the editorial assessment, the associate editor secures reports from at least two external reviewers. A first decision after external peer review is taken on average 65 days after submission.

If you are uncertain whether your manuscript is suitable for publication in Ambio, please send an e-mail with the abstract to the editor-in-chief bosoderstrom@kva.se
Article categories

Papers published in Ambio fall into four main categories. Regardless of article category, your submission will be subject to double-blind peer-review by two (or more) researchers with expert knowledge in the field.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article category</th>
<th>Word limit including references but excluding figures and tables</th>
<th>Max no. of references</th>
<th>Max no. of figures</th>
<th>Max no. of tables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research Article</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perspective</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Research Article
An original piece of work (original research) devoted to new findings and results of topical environmental research. The study should be introduced with non-specialists in mind. A Research Article should be organized as follows: Abstract, Keywords, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusion, Acknowledgements, References and Author Biographies.

Ambio encourages multi- or interdisciplinary studies where both environmental and human aspects are dealt with to some depth regardless of the main approach and focus of the study. All articles must meet different disciplinary standards and traditions. For studies including a social science approach, please describe the Theoretical Framework – including clarification of theoretical assumptions – under a separate title placed in between the Introduction and Materials and Methods sections.

Perspective
Provides a forum for authors to discuss topical environmental issues, ideas or models from a personal viewpoint. Perspectives typically are argument driven, and arguments need to be solidly anchored in published research and relevant theoretical/analytical frameworks. Perspective papers do not need the empirical sections of a Research Article, although the methodology should be described briefly if analyses have been undertaken. They should be more forward looking than Reviews. They may be opinionated but should remain balanced and objective. Papers that aim at bridging the gap between research and its implementation are particularly welcome. Perspective articles are peer reviewed, and special guidelines for reviewers are given to emphasize that the manuscript is intended to evoke new ideas and stimulate debate, or new experimental approaches and practices.

Review
Focuses on one topical aspect of a research field rather than providing a comprehensive literature survey. It should not be focused on the authors’ own work. Reviews can be controversial but, if that is the case, opposing viewpoints should briefly be given. A Review should be narrative, the language simple and directed towards a non-specialist readership, novel concepts defined and specialist terminology explained. All Reviews should, in addition to the main text, include Abstract, Keywords and Author Biographies.

Comment
Reflections on recently published papers in Ambio may be submitted as Comments. Abstract, Keywords or Author Biography are not included. Authors of the original article will be invited to submit a response to your Comment.
Preparation of the manuscript

Please take particular care to adhere to the guidelines marked in yellow to avoid unnecessary delays prior to peer review or in the post-acceptance process.

Editors and reviewers should be focusing on the quality of science and not the format. To make the submission process easier, we invite you to submit your manuscript as a single file including all key sections in the different article categories, but without any particular format requirements. Only when your manuscript is at the revision stage will you be requested to format according to Ambio's style. This way you will not have to spend valuable research time formatting (or re-formatting) your manuscript prior to first submission. Naturally, you are welcome to format already the first submission according to the below guidelines.

However, we always request that you submit the title page, acknowledgements, and author biographies separately so that we can ensure that your manuscript is anonymized to allow double-blind peer review.

Springer will screen all manuscripts for quality – checking for plagiarism, English language, ethics compliance – prior to forwarding them to the editorial office of Ambio. This rapid service (24–48 hours) is to make sure that there is no major text overlap with published material, that the English is readable/understandable, and that the research is in accordance with scientific and publishing ethics.

Cover letter
Submission of a manuscript must be accompanied by a cover letter that includes a short paragraph that describes the main findings of your submission, and its significance to the field of research on the human environment. Please do not copy and paste from the abstract. The cover letter should also include the category of the paper.

Language
Both American or British English are accepted as long as it is used consistently.

Manuscript
Use double-spacing throughout the text. The article should be submitted as Word, LaTeX, PDF, RTF, or TXT file for text. All manuscript files should be formatted to contain line numbers. The manuscript should include:

- Title Page,
- Acknowledgements,
- Author Biographies,
- Abstract,
- Keywords,
- Main text of article,
- References,
- Figures/Illustrations, Tables.

The title page, acknowledgements and author biographies must be submitted as a separate document in Editorial Manager. This will not be sent to reviewers to allow the review process to be double-blind.

a) Title Page
In addition to manuscript title and word count, the title page must contain the full names, positions and institutional mailing addresses of all authors as well as telephone number and e-mail address of the corresponding author. Please keep the title short since such articles often are downloaded and cited more.

b) Acknowledgements
Keep them brief.
c) Author Biographies
Author Biographies should be included for Research Articles, Reviews and Perspectives.
Example:
[Name of Author] is a [Professor/Associate Professor, doctoral candidate, etc] at the [name of University/Institute]. His/her research interests include [example: political ecology and political economy of aquaculture development].
Address: [full current postal address].
e-mail: [current e-mail address]

d) Abstract
A short abstract, consisting of not more than 150 words, should indicate the scope, methods used, main results and one or two concluding “significance sentences” to allow readers from many fields of science to understand why your paper is important. Abstracts of a Review or a Perspective should indicate the scope and the main points of the article. A Comment does not have an abstract.

e) Keywords
Provide 4 to 6 keywords or keyword phrases in alphabetical order.

f) Main text of article
Articles in the category 'Research Article' should contain the following sections: Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusion, References. A more free format is allowed for articles in the categories 'Review' and 'Perspective', but Introduction, Discussion and References are mandatory to include. It is not mandatory with separate sections for 'Comment' articles.

  Reporting of data: When experiments have been performed, or in other situations where applicable, mean effect size, sample size and some measure of variability (e.g., standard deviation, standard error, coefficient of variation) must be explicitly given.

  Numerals and units of measure: Use thousand million instead of billion or use 10^9. Run together numbers with up to four digits (i.e. 1000 and not 1,000 or 1 000). Numbers with more than four digits should be given as, for example, 10 000 or 100 000 (and not 10,000 or 100,000). Use the decimal point: e.g., 1.25. Metric and Celsius units must be used. Use the expression: km hr^{-1} not km/hr or km per h, g L^{-1} not gm per liter. Convert currencies to appropriate USD exchange rates. Specialized technical or scientific terms, abbreviations and acronyms should be explained the first time they are used.

  Number conventions: Do not use excessive numbers of digits when writing a decimal number to represent the mean of a set of measurements. The level of significance should be given with a maximum of three figures (e.g., p < 0.001). Do not use three figures for non-significant tests (e.g., show p > 0.7 and not p > 0.700). Be consistent in the use of number of digits when writing a decimal number and how to show significance levels throughout the manuscript.

  Species names: At first mention in the text, common species names must be followed by an italicized scientific name within parentheses, for example, bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops aduncus). Do not capitalize common names of animal or plant species. Scientific (Latin) names are italicized for genus and species, but not for classes, orders and families. Varieties may also be italicized.

g) References
In the main article: You are requested to use the author/year format of referencing in the text. If there are three or more authors use the name of the first author followed by "et al.". Add a, b, c etc. to distinguish between two or more references with the same author name and year.

Always list a string of references in chronological order, e.g. (Black 1985; Smith and Baker 1995a, b; Carruthers et al. 1999). Use ";" to separate references.
Personal communications (Carruthers, pers. comm.), submitted manuscripts and other unpublished data (Carruthers, unpubl.) should be referred to in the running text and not given as notes or in the reference list. Avoid references to grey literature, to non-scientific publications and to publications that are not immediately accessible to the reader.

Reference lists: For references starting with the same surname and initials, firstly list single-author works in chronological order, secondly list two-author works in alphabetical order of the second author, and thirdly list multi-author works arranged only chronologically. All authors’ names up to 8 should be given. The abbreviation et al. should be used for papers with more than 8 authors, and following the first 8 names. Names of journals should be written in full. Please provide first and last pages for excerpts from journals, books, etc. In references to books, bulletins and reports, give number of pages, the city and the publisher.

If a paper is written in a foreign language, give the title in English and indicate at the end of the reference the language in which the paper is written as follows: (In Swedish). If the paper has an English summary add (English summary). You are more than welcome to provide URL links to the doi of articles, see example in the box below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Books</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Book chapters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Web material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
h) Figures or other illustrations, Tables
Figures should be submitted on separate pages and numbered consecutively. Figure legends should be fully explanatory. Please illustrate your article with high-quality colour photographs as these are often very informative (and free of charge to authors). Photos and figures should be of high quality (a minimum resolution of 400 dpi in one of the formats TIFF, JPG, PPT for photos and vector EPS [Encapsulated PostScript]) for figures containing both text and line drawings. Please note that raster format figures are not accepted since they loose quality when scaled up. This will speed up the post-acceptance process considerably. Copyright permission must be obtained for material copied from other publications. Figures should be prepared in a form that allows for reduction/increase in size. Be sure to explain all abbreviations used. Whenever possible the information in a table should instead be presented in a figure. Please consult a recent issue of Ambio when preparing your manuscript. Free access articles can be downloaded at: www.springer.com/environment/journal/13280

Electronic Supplementary Material
Ambio encourages the use of Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM). A hyperlink from the online PDF will redirect the reader directly to the online material.

The ESM offers authors the opportunity to publish material that forms the basis for an article. The ESM is therefore directly relevant to the article, however, the paper must be able to stand alone without it. Examples of typical supplementary materials include questionnaires, specifications of methodology, background data sets, multimedia files, and additional illustrations. ESM should not include any preliminary data or analyses. The ESM will be made available to reviewers, but they are not requested to peer review it.

In the main article and in the ESM, all Supplementary material should be denoted by a “S” preceding the number (Table S1, Fig. S1, Appendix S1 etc.). Do not cross-reference between article and Electronic Supplementary Material; instead include a separate list of references in ESM.

Please remember to:
- include a title page to the ESM according to the example below;
- to submit all ESM in one document;
- to convert text documents to PDF (no other formats allowed);
- Springer will not copy-edit ESM, you will provide the final version.

Ambio

Electronic Supplementary Material
This supplementary material has not been peer reviewed.

Title: The Anthropocene: From global change to planetary stewardship

Authors: Will Steffen, Åsa Persson, Lisa Deutsch, Jan Zalasiewicz, Mark Williams, Katherine Richardson, Carole Crumley, Paul Crutzen, Carl Folke, Line Gordon, Mario Molina, Veerabhadran Ramanathan, Johan Rockström, Marten Scheffer, Hans Joachim Schellnhuber, Uno Svedin
Online submission

You can only submit your manuscript online using this link: www.editorialmanager.com/ambi/. All correspondence, including notification of the editor-in-chief's decisions and requests for revision, takes place by e-mail.

Under the heading "Additional Information", authors need to answer "yes" to a number of statements regarding the manuscript. This is required information to be able to proceed with the online submission. Ambio follows the general guidelines on publishing ethics issued by Springer. When methods involve interviews and other forms of involvement of respondents please make sure that you adhere to what is written under "Research involving human participants and/or animals".

When your manuscript is at the revision stage all co-authors will be asked for verification: "Could you please verify that you are affiliated with this submission?". Please respond to this e-mail as soon as possible to avoid unnecessary delay in the review process of your revised manuscript.

All manuscripts submitted to Ambio are accepted for consideration with the understanding that they have not been published elsewhere and are not under consideration by any other journal. Ambio is a member of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), see http://publicationethics.org/

Peer review

The author(s) should suggest three to five potential reviewers who are qualified to judge the manuscript objectively, providing full names, institutions, and current e-mail addresses. Please ensure that reviewers represent broad international coverage. There should be no conflicting interests between authors and potential reviewers, which could interfere with reviewer objectivity (e.g., collaborating/co-authoring a paper within the past five years; author's advisor or student within the past five years; working at the same place as the authors; professional/private/business relationship with the authors). Please note that Ambio employs a double-blind peer review process (both authors and reviewers are anonymous).

Invitations to revise the manuscript

When you are invited to revise your manuscript, you will normally have 60 days (for 'major revision') or 30 days (for 'minor revision') to submit a revised manuscript. Carefully consider all comments of the associate editor and reviewers in a "response to reviewers" letter. In this letter you should write, for each comment, whether changes to the manuscript have been made as a result of that comment; if this is the case add page and line numbers to your response, and if this is not the case then explain the reason.

To make the work of editors and reviewers easier you should also clearly show in the revised manuscript where (major) changes have been made; either by marking the revised text in yellow or submitting two files: one with all track changes accepted and one with the track changes function still activated.

Accepted papers

Upon acceptance of your article you will receive an e-mail from Springer Author Services with the title: "Your article in Ambio: Information Required" with a link to the website "MyPublication". Please check your spam folder if this e-mail does not come within a few days.
There you will have the option to order Open Access (Open Choice) of your accepted article, paper offprints (you will receive a free electronic PDF-offprint), and a poster of the issue cover in which your article appears. Once this information has been given, your article will be processed and you will receive a first proof of your article, normally within three weeks.

**Open Access**
About half of all articles in *Ambio* are published Open Access. More information what Open Access means and about different ways to cover the publication fees can be found [here](#). Please also check whether your institution is part of the [Springer Compact agreement](#). Even if you do not publish Open Access you may free of charge distribute a link for downloading a read-only version of your article through the [SharedIt-initiative](#). Finally, all Ambio articles are freely available for downloading via PubMed Central after a 12-month embargo period.

**No charges**
Publication of color illustrations is free of charge in the print and online version of *Ambio*, and there are no page charges.

**Proof reading**
The purpose of the proof is to check for copy-editing, typesetting or conversion errors and the completeness and accuracy of the text, tables and figures. Substantial changes in content, e.g., new results, corrected values, title and authorship, are not allowed without the approval of the editor-in-chief. After online publication (Online First), no further changes can be made.

**Online First**
The article will be published online after receipt of the corrected proofs. This is the official first publication citable with the doi (digital object identifier). After release of the printed version, the paper can also be cited by issue and page numbers.
Special Issues

Each year *Ambio* publishes between two and four special issues on topical research themes. Articles published in special issues are widely appreciated by our readership and belong to the most highly cited papers in *Ambio*. A special issue normally contains between 10 and 15 articles. If you are interested in publishing a "special section" of 3 to 4 thematic articles in a regular issue, please contact the editor-in-chief directly.

**When to submit proposals**

We strongly encourage research groups to submit thematic proposals for future special issues in *Ambio*. Proposals are welcome at any time, but twice a year – 1 April and 1 October – the editors will evaluate all proposals based on scientific soundness and interest to our readership. Please plan 12–18 months ahead due to the intense competition for publication space. Proposals will be peer reviewed by all associate editors, and you will receive feedback. Normally we accept about half of all submitted proposals.

**Requirements of the proposal**

Your proposal should contain a general introduction to the subject, a clear rationale, clearly stated research questions or specific objectives, a description of implementation, and specific outcomes/benefits to the readership and potential spin-offs. A tentative list of article titles including lead authors is a requirement; it is even better if abstracts are available.

Furthermore you should give the names and affiliations of all project group members as well as state the name(s) of potential guest editor(s). An independent guest editor nominated by the project group coordinates the peer-review process. The guest editor should be independent from all authors and project group members, and must be approved by the editor-in-chief, who makes all final publication decisions.

A time table should be included as well as information on when you would want the special issue to be published.

The proposal should be sent to the editor-in-chief Bo Söderström (bo.soderstrom@kva.se)

**What we offer**

The highest level of editorial support is given to these high-priority articles throughout the review and publication processes. Starting in 2019, open access will no longer be mandatory for articles published in special issues, thus there will be a mix of articles published open access and non-open access in each special issue. In part due to the Springer Compact Deal, roughly half of all articles in *Ambio* are today published open access. Articles published behind a pay-wall can still be widely disseminated via the SharedIt initiative where authors can post links to read-only full-texts free of charge. All *Ambio* articles are freely available via PubMed Central after an embargo period of 12 months.

Two complimentary print copies of the issue will be sent to the project leaders who may also order further print copies at a substantially reduced price.

More information general for all Springer journals can be found at: http://www.springer.com/authors/manuscript+guidelines?SGWID=0-40162-6-795467-0

Ambio’s website on Springer: http://www.springer.com/journal/13280

To submit a manuscript to Ambio: http://www.editorialmanager.com/ambi/