Ethical policies of Food Science and Biotechnology

Conflict of Interest
When an author or the institution of the author has a relationship, financial or otherwise, with individuals or organizations that could influence the author’s work inappropriately, a conflict of interest may exist. Examples of potential conflicts of interest may include but are not limited to academic, personal, or political relationships; employment; consultancies or honoraria; and financial connections such as stock ownership and funding. Although an author may not feel that there are conflicts, disclosure of relationships and interests that could be viewed by others as conflicts of interest affords a more transparent and prudent process. All authors must disclose any actual or potential conflict of interest. FSB may publish such disclosures if judged to be important to readers.

The Conflict of Interest statement should list each author separately by name:
- Kim declares that he has no conflict of interest.
- Lee has received research grants from Food Company A.
- Park has received a speaker honorarium from Drug Company B and owns stock in Food Company C.
- If multiple authors declare no conflict, this can be done in one sentence: Kim, Lee, and Park declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- If all the authors declare no conflict, this can be done in one sentence: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Statement of Human and Animal Rights
When reporting experiments on human subjects, authors should indicate whether the procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000 and 2008. Any study including human subjects or human data must be reviewed and approved by a responsible institutional review board (IRB). If doubt exists whether the research was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration, the authors must explain the rationale for their approach, and demonstrate that the institutional review body explicitly approved the doubtful aspects of the study. When reporting experiments on animals, authors should be asked to indicate whether the institutional and national guide for the care and use of laboratory animals was followed.

- For studies with animals include the following sentence: ‘All institutional and national guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals were followed.’
- For articles that do not contain studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.
While it is not absolutely necessary, we recommend to include the following sentence, just to make sure that readers are aware that there are no ethical issues with human or animal subjects:

‘This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.’

**Statement of Informed Consent**

Patients have a right to privacy that should not be infringed without informed consent. Identifying information, including patients’ names, initials, or hospital numbers, should not be published in written descriptions, photographs, and pedigrees unless the information is essential for scientific purposes and the patient (or parent or guardian) gives written informed consent for publication. Informed consent for this purpose requires that a patient who is identifiable be shown the manuscript to be published. Authors should identify individuals who provide writing assistance and disclose the funding source for this assistance.

Identifying details should be omitted if they are not essential. Complete anonymity is difficult to achieve, however, and informed consent should be obtained if there is any doubt. For example, masking the eye region in photographs of patients is inadequate protection of anonymity. If identifying characteristics are altered to protect anonymity, such as in genetic pedigrees, authors should provide assurance that alterations do not distort scientific meaning and editors should so note.